Original Research Article

Study of clinical and etiological pattern of anterior uveitis in western odisha

Abstract

Background and Objectives: Uveitis is a potentially sight-threatening disease affecting people from all over the world. It attributes to 5-20% of legal blindness in developed countries and 25% of blindness in the developing world. Uveitis includes a varied group of intraocular inflammatory conditions that may occur at any age but affect mostly working-age people. The average annual incidence of uveitis has been reported as approximately 14- 17/1,00,000. Aim is to study the clinical and etiological pattern of anterior uveitis. Objectives are to evaluate the clinical pattern of anterior uveitis, to study the etiological pattern of anterior uveitis, to identify the complications of anterior uveitis, to assess the treatment outcome. Methods: A prospective clinical study was done in the Department of Ophthalmology, Rourkela, Odisha during September 2019 - March 2021 (18 months) between the age group of 20-80 years. Each patient was called for follow up on 1st day, 2nd day, 1 week, 3 weeks, 6 weeks from the day of presentation The complications like posterior synechiae, complicated cataract, raised IOP, macular edema were noted and the response to treatment was recorded and evaluated in each patient. Results and conclusion: Despite all efforts to identify the cause, the most common cause of anterior uveitis remained idiopathic (48.6%) followed by immune related cause (20.3%). Visual acuity was 6/12 or worse in majority of the patients at presentation and following medical line of treatment most patients regained visual acuity of 6/9 or better after 6 weeks, which was statistically significant (p<0.0001).

Keywords: Aetiology, anterior, pattern, uveitis

Introduction: "Uveitis is a potentially sight-threatening disease affecting people from all over the world. It attributes to 5-20% of legal blindness in developed countries and 25% of blindness in the developing world" (1)

"Uveitis includes a varied group of intraocular inflammatory conditions that may occur atanyagebutaffectmostlyworking-agepeople"

"Uveitis is defined as the inflammation of the entire uveal tract affecting any of its threeconstituents: Iris, Ciliary body and Choroid. The uveitis can be classified in differentways. It can be classified in differentways. It can be divided into Anterior, Intermediate, Posterior, and Panuveitis based on the primary anatomical location of the inflammation. Anterior uveitis often causes a painful red eye with mild to moderate vision loss, but its long-term sequelae contribute significantly to the total burden. The treatment for uveitisits elf can result in both ocular and systemic complications. The morbidity associated with the disease is moderately high." (3)

The differential diagnosis of anterior uveitis can be accomplished by a thorough eyeexaminationandphysicalassessment. The correct diagnosis of uveitis is often challenging ast hese patients present with a plethora of ocular as well as systemic signs and symptoms. In most cases, uveitis is idiopathic and clinical spectrum of disease overlap with variedetiology. Despite improved understanding of the etiopathogenesis and evolution of advanced diagnostic techniques, the etiology of uveitis still remains elusive in a significant number of cases.

Material and Methods: A prospective clinical study was done in the Department of Ophthalmology, Rourkela, Odisha during September 2019-March2021(18months) between the age group of 20-80 years. Data was collected from the patients after informed consent. Patientsfulfilling the inclusion and exclusion criteria were taken. A standard clinical proforma wasfilled in all cases which included salient feature in history, visual acuity using Snellen's visual acuity chart, clinical findings, laboratory investigations and the final etiology. Allpatients were examined underslitlamp.

Details on disease severity, laterality, chronicity, ocular signs and associated systemic conditions were noted. Presentation was present in only one eye and bilateral if both eyes presented with active inflammation.

⁽²⁾ Theaverageannualincidenceofuveitishasbeenreported as approximately 14-17/1,00,000.

Inbilateralcasesonlyoneeyewastakenforthestudy. A short differentialdiagnosis was made in each case. Subsequently, a tailored laboratory investigation wascarriedout. Finaletiological diagnosis was made based on history, clinical features, laborator yinvestigations and systemic evaluation by other medical specialities. The anterior uveitis was considered to have idiopathic etiology when it was not associated with HLA-B27 haplotype and neither with defined clinical syndromes nor with definitive etiology. (4)

All patients were treated medically with topical steroids (prednisolone acetate 1%) andtopicalcycloplegicmydriatics(atropine,cyclopentolateorhomatropine). Steroid's frequenc y was titrated according to severity of uveitis. Appropriate treatment was given wheneveretiology was known.

Eachpatientwascalledforfollowupon 1stday,2ndday,1week,3weeks,6weeksfromtheday of presentation. BCVA and IOP was noted at each follow up. During each visit thepatientswerecheckedunderslitlampbiomicroscopyforkeraticprecipitates,cells,aqueousfla re,posteriorsynechiae.Thecomplicationslikeposteriorsynechiae,complicatedcataract,raised IOP,macular edemawere noted and the response to treatmentwasrecordedandevaluatedineachpatient.

Results: Total **74 patients** were studied. It included patients from 20 to 80 years of age, both malesand females. Study was conducted for the period of 18 months.

Table 1: Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of the patients

characters	Number(n=74)	Percentage(%)
Age (Years)		
20-30	17	23
31-40	24	32
41-60	19	25
61-80	14	20
Gender		
Male	43	58
Female	31	42
Laterality		
Unilateral	70	94.5
Bilateral	4	5.5
Clinical Presentation		
Acute	63	85
Chronic	7	10
Recurrent	4	5
Type of inflammation		
Granulomatous	10	13.5

Outof74 patientsstudied15(20%)ofpatientsshowed immunerelated causeand9(12.2%)showed infective cause. In 5(6.7%)patients the cause of anterior uveitis was blunt trauma. Other causes like fuch sheterochromic iridocyclitis, phacolytic, inflammatory boweld is ease, were found in 9(12.2%) patients. Remaining 36(48.6%) were found to be idiopathic

.

Table2:Distributionaccordingtoetiologyofanterior uveitis

Etiology	Number ofcases	Percentage
Idiopathic	36	48.6
Immunerelated	15	20.3
Infective	9	12.2
others	9	12.2
Traumatic	5	6.7
Total	74	100.0

Out of 74 patients studied 14(18.9%) showed persistent posterior synechiae. 1(1.4%) hadcystoidmacularedemaand1(1.4%)hadsecondaryglaucoma. Secondarycataractdevelopedi n1(1.4%)patient. Rest57(77%) showed no complications.

Table3: Distribution of cases according to complications

Complications	Number	Percentage
Cataract	1	1.4
Secondaryglaucoma	1	1.4
Cystoidmacularedema	1	1.4
Nocomplications	57	77.0
Posteriorsynechiae	14	18.9
Total	74	100.0

sentation,20patientsat1week,19patientsat3weeksand6patientsattheendof6 weeks. 6\18VA was found to present for 20 patients at presentation, 15 at 1 week and 9at 3 weeks, 1 at 6 weeks. 6\24VA was found to present for 15 patients at presentation, 9 at1week,3at3weeks. 6\36VAwasfoundtobepresentfor9patientsatpresentation,3at1 week, 1 at 3 weeks. 6\60 VA was found to be present for 3 patients at presentation , 1 at1 week.Pl+PR+andCF5mwasfoundtobe presentfor1patientatthedayofpresentation ad 1 day respectively. At the end of 6 weeks 46 patients gained 6\6 VA and21patients gained6\9VA.

Table4: Visual acuity at presentation and during follow up

						X
VA	PRESENTATION	1STDAY	2NDDAY	1 WEEK	3WEEKS	6WEEKS
6/6	0	0	0	0	6	46
6/9	0	0	0	26	36	21
6\12	26	26	26	20	19	6
6\18	20	20	20	15	9	1
6\24	15	15	15	9	3	0
6/36	9	9	9	3	1	0
6/60	3	3	3	1	0	0
PL+PR+	1	1	0	0	0	0
CF 5M	0	0	1	0	0	0

Discussion: In our study out of 74 patients 43 were males (58%) and 31(42%) were females.

Table5:GenderComparison

Gender	Current study	Rathinam etal ⁽⁵⁾	Al ezandro Rodriguezetal ⁽⁶⁾
Males	58%	61.3%	38.9
			%
Females	42%	38.7%	61.1
			%

In our study,the maximum number of cases reported were around the age group of 31-40years.In older age group, uveitis was phacolytic in origin. This is similar to other studieslikeSinghet

al. (7) and Rathinametal (5) which showed same age predilection. Children (1%) and elderly (14%) were less commonly affected similar to the study by Ben Ezra et al. (8) and Favre et al. (9)

Table6:LateralityComparison

	Currentstudy	Rathinametal ⁽⁵⁾
Unilateral	94.5%	85.3%
Bilateral	5.5%	14.7%

Table7: Comparison of Etiological Patterns of Anterior Uveitis

Studies	Idiopathic anterioruveitis(%)		
Currentstudy	48.6		
Japanbased ⁽¹⁰⁾	42.3		
US Abased ⁽¹⁰⁾	34.9		
Turkeybased ⁽¹⁰⁾	43.2		
Dipankaretal ⁽¹¹⁾	45.51		
YellambkarSTetal ⁽¹²⁾	46.6		
SudhaMadhavietal ⁽¹³⁾	42.0		
Biswasetal ⁽¹⁴⁾	58.6		
Singhetal ⁽⁷⁾	24.7		

Table 8: Chronicity Comparison

	Current study	Rathinam et al (5)
Acute	63%	71.9%
Chronic	7 %	24.3%
Recurrent	4 %	3.8%

Table9: Comparison of Type of Inflammation

	Present	Rathinametal (5)	Alezandro Rodriguezet al ⁽⁶⁾	Sudha Madhaviet al ⁽¹³⁾
Granulomatous	13.5%	18.8%	12.4%	10%
Non- granulomatous	86.5%	81.2%	87.6%	90%

Table 10: Comparison of etiologicalFactors of Present Study with otherStudies

Sl. No	etiology	CurrentSt udy	Rathinamet al ⁽⁵⁾ (n =5028)	Singh et _{al(7)} (n= 607)	Henderlyet _{al} (n=167)
1	Idiopathic	36	44.6	61.3	43.52
2	Blunttrauma	5	7.7	-	2.52
3	Phacolytic	2	3.5	-	-
4	Herpeszoster	6	8.6	1.8	8.99
5	Tuberculosis	3	4	7.9	-
6	Septicfocus		_	-	-
7	Immune related	15	7.1	-	-
8	Fuchs' heterochromicirid ocyclitis	5	8.4	5.1	6.47
9	Leprosy	<u> </u>	2.1	0.8	
10	IBD	2	-	-	1.08

[&]quot;Inourstudy,anterioruveitiswasfoundtobeassociatedwithdiabetesmellitusin10patients(13.5%) and hypertension in 9(12.2%) patients. All those who had diabetes mellitus wereabove 50 years of age. In a study of uveitis presenting in elderly, it was noted that diabetesshouldprobablybe considered riskfactor for uveitis development". (15)

InourstudyVisualacuitywas6/12orworseinthemajority(71.8%)ofeyesatpresentation.Followin g treatment most eyes regained visual acuity of 6/9 or better (74.3%). In few

eyeswithcomplicated cataractormacularedema, visual acuity improved only marginally.

Inourstudynocomplicationswereseenin57patients(77%). Complicationswerecommonly noted in chronic and recurrent cases. Most common complication observed waspersistentposteriorsynechiaein14patients(18.9%), cataractin1patient(1.4%). Secondarygla ucomawasseenin1patient(1.4%), macularedemawasseenin1patient(1.4%). Rothovaetal (16)reported cataractin19% of cases and glaucomain11%

Conclusion: This study reflects the clinical and etiological pattern of anterior uveitis at our centre. Despite all efforts to identify the cause, the most common cause of anterior uveitis remained idiopathic (48.6%) followed by immune related cause (20.3%). Infective like Herpes Zoster and **Tuberculosis** accounted for 12.2% causes Fuch'sheterochromiciridocyclitis, Phacolytic uveitis and Inflammatory Bowel disease associated anterior uveitis formed 12.2% of the cases. 6 % of cases were due to traumatic causes. Anterior uveitis was found to be more common in 31-40 years age group (32%). Males (58%) were more commonly affected than females (42%). The presentation was more unilateral (94.5%) than bilateral. The incidence of non-granulomatous type of inflammation (86.5%) was more than granulomatous (13.5%). The onset of anterior uveitis was mostly acute (85%) as compared to chronic (10%) and recurrent (5%). In this study, anterior uveitis was found to be associated with diabetes mellitus in 13.5% and hypertension in 12.2% patients. In 77% of cases no complication was seen. Visual acuity was 6/12 or worse in majority of the patients at presentation and following medical line of treatment most patients regained visual acuity of 6/9 or better after 6 weeks, which was statistically significant (p<0.0001).

Ethical Approval:

As per international standard or university standard written ethical approval has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

Consent

As per international standard or university standard, patients' written consent has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

References

- 1. MiserocchiE, FogliatoG,ModoratiG,BandelloF. Reviewontheworldwideepidemiologyofuveitis EurJOphthalmol.2013;23:705–17.
- 2. NussenblattRB.The naturalhistoryofuveitis.IntOphthalmol1990;14:303–308.
- 3. Weinberg RS. Anterioruveitis. Ophthalmol Clin North Am. 1993;6:23–8.
- 4. NussenblattRB,WhitcupSM.Uveitis:Fundamentalsandclinicalpractice,3rded.Mosby:Philadelphia;2004.
- 5. RathinamSR, NamperumalsamyP. Globalvariationandpatternchanges inepidemiologyofuveitis.IndianJ Ophthalmol2007;55:17383.
- 6. Rodriguez A, Calonge M, Pedroza-Seres M, Akova YA, Messmer EM, D'Amico DJ, et al.Referralpatternsofuveitisina tertiaryeye care center.ArchOphthalmol1996:114:593-9.
- 7. Singh R, Gupta V, Gupta A. Pattern of uveitis in a referral eye clinic in North India. IndianJ Ophthalmol2004;52:121-5.
- 8. BenEzraD,CohenE, MaftzirG.Uveitis inchildrenandadolescents.BrJOphthalmol2005;89:444-8.
- 9. FavreC,TranVT,HerbortCP.Uveitisintheelderly.KlinMonatsblAugenheilkd1994;204:3 19-22.
- 10. Dandona L, Dandona R, John RK, McCarty CA, Rao GN. Population based assessment of uveitis in an urban population in southern India. Br J Ophthalmol 2000;84:7069.
- 11. Das D, Bhattacharjee H, Das K, Tahiliani PS, Bhattacharyya P, Bharali G, et al. Thechanging patterns of uveitis in a tertiary institute of Northeast India. Indian J Ophthalmol2015;63:735-7.
- 12. OzdalMP, YaziciA, TufekM, OzturkF. Epidemiologyofuveitisinar eferral hospitalin Turke y. TurkJ MedSci2014;44:337-42.
- ChamsH,RostamiM, MohammadiSF, etal.
 Epidemiologyandprevalenceofuveitis.IranianJournalofOphthalmology2009;21(4):4-16.
- 14. BiswasJ,NarainS,DasD,
 GaneshSK.PatternofuveitisinareferraluveitisclinicinIndia.IntOphthalmol1996;20:223
 8.
- 15. PowerJW.Introductiontouveitis.In:AlbertDM,JakobiecFA,AzarDT,GragoudasES,Pow er SM, Robinsom NL, editors. Principles and Practice of Ophthalmology. 2 nd ed.,Vol.2.Pennsylvania (PA):WBSaunders Company;2000.p.1189-216.
- 16. RothovaA, Suttorp-vanSchultenMS, FritsTreffersW,KijlstraA. Causesandfrequencyof blindness in patients with intraocular inflammatory disease. Br J Ophthalmol1996;80:332-6.
- 17. HenderlyDE,Genstler AJ,

