# Existence of positive solutions for the Kirchhoff type equations involving general critical growth in $\mathbb{R}^N$

Ting Xiao, Qiongfen Zhang\*

College of Science, Guilin University of Technology, Guilin, Guangxi 541004, PR China

**Abstract:** In this paper, we consider the following nonlinear Kirchhoff type problem

$$-\left(a+\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx\right) \Delta u + V(x)u = f(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where  $N \geq 3$ , a is a positive constant,  $\lambda \geq 0$  is a parameter. Under some sufficient assumptions on V(x) and f(u), the existence of positive solution to the above problem is proved by variational methods and Mountain Pass Theorem. Specially, with the aid of a cut-off function and a monotonic trick, we obtain the boundedness of Palais-smale sequences. In this paper, we consider variable potential V(x) and more general f without Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition and the monotonicity of f(u)/u. Thus, our results improve the previous results in the literature.

**Keywords:** Kirchhoff type equation; Positive solutions; Cut-off function; Variational methods

Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J20; 35J60

#### 1. Introduction

Consider the following Kirchhoff type problem

$$\begin{cases}
-(a+\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx) \Delta u + V(x) u = f(u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\
u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), & u > 0,
\end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where  $N \geq 3$ , a is a positive constant,  $\lambda \geq 0$  is a parameter,  $F(t) = \int_0^t f(s)ds$ . V(x) is a positive continuous potential. This type of equation is an extension of the classic d'Alembert wave equations for free vibration of elastic strings, because it takes into account the effects of the strings' length changes during vibration. For the purpose of stating our statement, V(x) and f(u) are assumed to satisfy the following basic assumptions:

(V0) 
$$0 < V(x) \le \liminf_{|x| \to \infty} V(x) := V_{\infty} < \infty \text{ for any } x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \text{ And, for each } M, \text{ meas}\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : V(x) \le M\} < +\infty.$$

(F1)  $f(x) \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, +\infty)$  and there exists C > 0 such that  $|f(t)| \leq C(|t| + |t|^{p-1})$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and some  $p \in (2, 2^*)$ , where  $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$  for  $N \geq 3$ .

As problem (1.1) involves the term  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx$ , it is no longer a local problem, which causes some analytical difficulties. Moreover, in recent years, in purely mathematical research and practical applications,

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: qfzhangcsu@163.com, qfzhang@glut.edu.cn (Q. F. Zhang).

non-local operators have appeared in the description of various phenomena, such as physics and chemistry [1], obstacle problems [2], optimization and finance [3], etc.

If we consider problem (1.1) on a bounded smooth region  $\Omega$  and  $\lambda \equiv b$  is a positive constant, then problem (1.1) becomes to the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\left(a+b\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}dx\right)\Delta u+V(x)u=f(u), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u=0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

Problem (1.2) originally derived from the following problem

$$u_{tt} - \left(a + b \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx\right) \Delta u = f(x, u), \tag{1.3}$$

which is a practical equation proposed by Kirchhoff [4] in the process of studying the classical D'Alembert wave equation of the free vibration of the retractable rope. For more details of problem (1.3) in physical aspects, please see [5, 6] and the references therein. The early classical research of Kirchhoff equations is dedicated by Bernstein [6] and Pohožaev [7]. However, the Kirchhoff-type equation was greatly brought into focus only after Lions [8] investigated problem (1.2) involving an abstract framework. For more researches on Kirchhoff-type equations, please refer to the literature [9, 10] and the references therein. Under various conditions on potential V(x) and nonlinearity f(x), the existence, non-existence and multiplicity of problem (1.2) have been studied in the literature by variational methods. On unbounded domains, many existence and multiplicity results are also obtained for problem (1.2). For example, in [11], Xie discussed problem (1.2) with an asymptotically 4-linear nonlinearity f and the existence of a least energy nodal solution for the problem was obtained by variational methods. With the aid of a monotonic technique and a new version of global compactness lemma, Li and Ye [12] proved that problem (1.2) has a positive ground state solution when  $f(u) = |u|^{p-1}u$  with  $p \in (2,5)$ . Chen and Tang [13] obtained the existence of infinitely many high energy solutions for problem (1.2) on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  by using Symmetric Mountain Pass Theorem. Especially, they introduced some new techniques to overcome the competitive effect of non-local terms. For more recent results related to Kirchhoff equations, please see e.g. [14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24].

Although the Kirchhoff-type Dirichlet problem have been extensively studied, as far as we know, there is very little literature on Kirchhoff-type problems (such as (1.1)), which involved parameters. Very recently, Xu [25] studied the following nonlinear Kirchhoff type equations

$$\begin{cases}
-(a+\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx) \Delta u + V(x) u = |u|^{p-1} u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\
u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), & u > 0,
\end{cases}$$
(1.4)

where  $N \geq 3$ , a is a positive constant,  $\lambda$  is a parameter, 1 and the potential <math>V(x) satisfies  $(V_0)$ . We point out that they obtained the existence of at least one positive solution and nonexistence of nontrivial solutions for problem (1.4) by using variational methods and a cut-off technique. Problem (1.4) is the special form of the problem (1.1), in other words, problem (1.1) is more general than problem (1.4). Hence, our results can be seemed as the complementary work of [25].

In [26], Li et al. considered the following nonlinear Kirchhoff type equation

$$\left(a + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \lambda b \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 dx\right) [-\Delta u + bu] = f(u), \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$
(1.5)

where  $N \geq 3$ ,  $\lambda$  is a parameter, a, b are positive constants. When the nonlinearity f is subcritical, the existence of at least one positive radial solution was proved in [26] for  $\lambda \geq 0$  is small, by using variational methods and a cut-off functional.

Motivated by the above mentioned literatures, we consider more general nonlinear Kirchhoff type elliptic problem (1.1) in this paper. The main purpose of this paper is to obtain the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for problem (1.1) when f is subcritical. To prossess this, we must overcome the following three main difficulties: (I) Due to the emergence of the term  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx$ , problem (1.1) is a non-local problem, which means that equation (1.1) is not a point-wise identity. This causes some mathematical difficulties and makes the study of (1.1) more interesting; (II) The second main difficulty comes from subcritical growth. We should show the boundedness of Palais-Smale ((PS) for short) sequences without the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz ((AR) for short) condition. Indeed, it is not easy to verify the existence of bounded (PS) sequences. In order to overcome this difficulty, we shall adopt the technique used in [26]; (III) We need to get the convergent subsequence of the bounded (PS) sequence. Since V(x) is non-constant, the method used in [25] cannot be applied to our results. We will make some assumptions on  $\nabla V(x)$  and use some tricks to solve this problem.

In this paper, due to focusing on the positive solution of (1.1), we assume that f(s) = 0 when s < 0. Moreover, we shall make the following assumptions.

(V1)  $(\nabla V(x), x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $(\nabla V(x), x) \leq \frac{a\theta}{2x^2}$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , where  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes the usual inner product,  $\theta \in (0,1)$ .

(F2) 
$$\lim_{t\to 0^+} \frac{f(t)}{t} = 0$$
.

$$\begin{split} & \text{(F2)} & \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{f(t)}{t} = 0. \\ & \text{(F3)} & \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t} = \infty. \end{split}$$

Our main results are given in the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** Assume that  $N \geq 3$ , a is a positive constant,  $\lambda \geq 0$  is a parameter. If the conditions (V0)-(V1) and (F1)-(F3) hold. Then there exists  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that for all  $\lambda \in [0, \lambda_0)$ , problem (1.1) has at least one positive solution.

When  $\lambda = 0$ ,  $V(x) \equiv b$ , the problem (1.1) reduces to

$$-a\Delta u + bu = f(u), \quad in \quad \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{1.6}$$

Then we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1.2. Assume  $N \geq 3$  and b is a positive constant. If the conditions (F1)-(F3) hold, then problem (1.6) has at least one positive solution.

**Theorem 1.3.** Under the conditions of Theorem 1.1 and N > 3, there exists  $\lambda_1 > 0$  such that problem (1.1) has no nontrivial solution when  $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$ .

**Remark 1.4.** In this paper, we considered the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for the more general Kirchhoff type problems than the work in the literature. We must point out that the authors in [25] and [26] both considered the general nonlinearity f which only involves subcritical growth. Compared to [26], the nonlinearity f(u) in our results is more general. Besides, since V(x) is not a constant, the methods used in [25] is not suitable for problem (1.1). So we use a "monotonic" trick and make assumption on  $\nabla V(x)$ to obtain our results. In Section 2, the condition (V1) guarantees that for enough large T > 0, there exists  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 \leq T^2$  for any  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$  in Lemma 2.9. It is pointed out that our results extend the mentioned results to a certain extent.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, some framework are demonstrated. In Section 3, the proofs of the main results are given. In section 4, the conclusion is given. In the following,  $C_i$  denotes different positive constants in different spaces.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we first introduce some marks. Let  $H := H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  be the usual Sobolev space equipped with the inner product and norm

$$\langle u, v \rangle_H = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\nabla u \nabla v + uv) dx, \quad \|u\|_H = \langle u, u \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We define the working space by

$$E = \left\{ u \in H : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) u^2 dx < +\infty \right\}$$

endowed with the inner product and norm

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (a \nabla u \nabla v + V(x) u v) dx, \quad ||u|| = \langle u, u \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The norm of the usual Lebesgue space  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is denoted by  $\|\cdot\|_p$ . Since V(x) satisfies the condition  $(V_0)$ ,  $\|\cdot\|$  is equivalent to the standard norm  $\|u\|_H$  on  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Since the embedding  $E \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is continuous for  $p \in (2,6)$ , there exists  $\gamma_p$  such that

$$||u||_p \le \gamma_p ||u||, \quad \forall u \in E.$$

From [27], we can know that the continuous embedding  $E \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is compact for  $p \in (2, 2^*)$ . In this paper, we consider the existence of positive solution of problem (1.1), so we suppose that f(s) = 0 for s < 0. Obviously, assume that the conditions (F1)-(F3) hold, then, weak solutions to problem (1.1) are the critical points of the functional  $I_{\lambda}(u)$  defined in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  by

$$I_{\lambda}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (a|\nabla u|^{2} + V(x)u^{2}) dx + \frac{\lambda}{4} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u|^{2} dx \right)^{2} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(u) dx.$$
 (2.1)

By the condition (F1), it is easy to examine that  $I_{\lambda} \in C^1(E,\mathbb{R})$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ , and

$$\langle I_{\lambda}'(u), v \rangle = \left( a + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u \nabla v dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) u v dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u) v dx, \quad u, v \in E.$$
 (2.2)

Firstly, we shall show that  $I_{\lambda}$  has the mountain path geometry when  $\lambda$  is small.

**Lemma 2.1**. For  $\lambda \geq 0$  small, the functional  $I_{\lambda}$  satisfies the following conditions.

- (i) There exists  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\rho > 0$  such that  $I_{\lambda}(u) > \alpha$  for  $||u|| = \rho$ .
- (ii) There exists an  $e \in B_{\rho}^{c}(0)$  such that  $I_{\lambda}(e) < 0$ , where  $B_{\rho}(0)$  is a ball centred at origin with radius  $\rho$ .

*Proof.* (i) From the Sobolev embedding  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for 2 and the condition (F1), we obtain

$$I_{\lambda}(u) \ge I_{0}(u) \ge \frac{1}{2} ||u||^{2} - \frac{C}{2} |u|_{2}^{2} - \frac{C}{n} |u|_{p}^{p},$$

where C > is a constant. Let  $\{e_j\}$  is an orthogonal basis of E and define  $X_j = \mathbb{R}e_j$ ,

$$Y_m = \bigoplus_{j=1}^m X_j, \quad Z_m = \bigoplus_{j=m+1}^\infty X_j, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Set

$$\eta_m(s) = \sup_{u \in Z_m, ||u|| = 1} ||u||_s, \quad \forall \ m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad 2 < s < 2^*.$$

Due to the result of [27], since the embedding  $E \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is compact for  $p \in (2, 2^*)$ , there holds

$$\eta_m(s) \to 0 \quad as \quad m \to \infty.$$
(2.3)

Then we have

$$I_{\lambda}(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \frac{C}{2} \eta_m^2(2) \|u\|^2 - \frac{C}{p} \eta_m^p(p) \|u\|^p$$

By (2.3), there exists an integer  $M \ge 1$  such that

$$\eta_m^2(2) \le \frac{1}{2C} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_m^p(p) \le \frac{p}{4C}, \quad \forall \ m \ge M.$$
(2.4)

Hence, we can take some  $\rho \in (0,1)$  with  $||u|| = \rho$  such that

$$I_{\lambda}(u) \ge \frac{1}{4} ||u||^2 (1 - ||u||^{p-2}) = \alpha > 0.$$

(ii) Take  $e \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that  $I_0(e) < 0$ , Obviously,  $e \in B^c_\rho(0)$ . Then for  $0 \le \lambda \le \frac{-8I_0(e)}{3(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla e|^2 dx)^2}$ ,

$$I_{\lambda}(e) = I_{\lambda}(e) + \frac{\lambda}{4} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla e|^2 dx \right)^2 \le \frac{1}{3} I_0(e) < 0.$$

**Lemma 2.2**. When N=4 with  $\lambda$  large or when  $N\geq 5$  with  $\lambda\geq 0$ ,  $I_{\lambda}$  is bounded from below in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

*Proof.* By (F1) and (2.1), we have

$$I_{\lambda}(u) \ge \frac{a}{2} \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x) u^{2} dx + \frac{\lambda}{4} \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{4} - \frac{C}{2} \|u\|_{2}^{2} - \frac{C}{p} \|u\|_{p}^{p}. \tag{2.5}$$

From Holder's inequality, we have

$$|u|_p \le |u|_2^r |u|_{\frac{2N}{N-2}}^{1-r}, \quad u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$
 (2.6)

where  $\frac{r}{2} + \frac{(N-2)(1-r)}{2N} = \frac{1}{p}$ . From Sobolev imbedding Theorem, there exists a constant d > 0 such that

$$||u||_{\frac{2N}{N-2}} \le d||\nabla u||_2, \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$
 (2.7)

From (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p dx \le d||u||_2^{\frac{2N + (2-N)p}{2}} ||\nabla u||_2^{\frac{N(p-2)}{2}}, \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$
 (2.8)

Since  $p \in (2, 2^*)$ , we have  $0 < \frac{2N + (2 - N)p}{2} < 2$ , then from Young's inequality and (2.8), for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a constant  $d(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p dx \le \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx + d(\varepsilon) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{N}{N-2}}.$$
 (2.9)

By (2.3), we can choose  $\varepsilon$  such that  $(\frac{pC+2C\varepsilon}{2p})\eta_k^2(2)<\frac{1}{4}$ , then from (2.5) and (2.9), we have

$$\begin{split} I_{\lambda}(u) & \geq & \frac{a}{2} \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x) u^{2} dx + \frac{\lambda}{4} \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{4} - \left(\frac{C}{2} + \frac{C\varepsilon}{p}\right) \|u\|_{2}^{2} - \frac{Cd(\varepsilon)}{p} \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{\frac{2N}{N-2}} \\ & \geq & \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^{2} + \frac{\lambda}{4} \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{4} - \left(\frac{C}{2} + \frac{C\varepsilon}{p}\right) \eta_{k}^{2}(2) \|u\|^{2} - \frac{Cd(\varepsilon)}{p} \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{\frac{2N}{N-2}} \\ & \geq & \frac{1}{4} \|u\|^{2} + \frac{\lambda}{4} \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{4} - \frac{Cd(\varepsilon)}{p} \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}. \end{split} \tag{2.10}$$

When N=4, if  $\frac{\lambda}{4} \geq \frac{Cd(\varepsilon)}{p}$ , then  $I_{\lambda}(u) \geq 0$  for all  $u \in E$ . When  $N \geq 5$ , we have  $\frac{2N}{N-2} \leq 4$ , then when  $\|\nabla u\|_2 \geq (\frac{4Cd(\varepsilon)}{p\lambda})^{\frac{N-2}{2N-8}}$ , we can get  $I_{\lambda}(u) \geq 0$ . Hence, it is obvious that  $I_{\lambda}$  is bounded from below when  $N \geq 5$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ .

It is crucial to obtain the boundedness of (PS) sequences for the associated functional  $I_{\lambda}$ . Lemma 2.2 shows that  $I_{\lambda}$  is bounded from below when  $N \geq 4$  and  $\lambda$  is sufficiently large. Which leads to the boundedness of (PS) sequence for  $\lambda$  is sufficiently large and  $N \geq 4$ . However, we need to show Theorem [1.1 for  $\lambda$  sufficiently small.] Therefore, the standard arguments is not available for proving the boundedness of the (PS) sequences. In order to overcome the difficulty, following the idea of [28, 29], we use a cut-off function  $\varphi \in (\mathbb{R}^+, [0, 1])$  satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(t) = 1, & t \in [0, 1] \\ 0 \le \varphi(t) \le 1, & t \in (1, 2) \\ \varphi(t) = 0, & t \in [2, \infty) \\ 0 \le \varphi'(t) \le 2. \end{cases}$$

For any T>0, we modify the original functional  $I_{\lambda}$  to a new functional  $I_{\lambda,\mu}^T$  defined by

$$I_{\lambda,\mu}^{T}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \lambda h_{T}(u) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u|^{2} dx \right)^{2} - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(u) dx, \quad u \in E.$$
 (2.11)

It can be verified that  $I_{\lambda,\mu}^T$  is of class  $C^1$  and for any  $u,v\in E$ ,

$$\langle (I_{\lambda,\mu}^T)'(u), v \rangle = \left[ a + \lambda h_T(u) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{\lambda}{2T^2} h_T'(u) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^2 \right] \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u \nabla v dx$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) uv dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u) v dx,$$

$$(2.12)$$

where

$$h_T(u) = \varphi\left(\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx}{T^2}\right).$$

Since  $h_T(u) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^2 \le 4T^4$ , the functional  $I_{\lambda,\mu}^T$  has a mountain path critical level for any fixed T > 0. Actually, for T > 0 sufficiently large and  $\lambda > 0$  sufficiently small, we can find a bounded (PS) sequence  $\{u_n\}$  of  $I_{\lambda,\mu}^T$  such that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx \le T^2$  for all n large, which is also a (PS) sequence of  $I_{\lambda}$ . We recall the following result. The "monotonic trick" introduced in [30, 31] is the core of the following Theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.**[30, 31] Let  $(X, \|.\|)$  be a Banach space and  $J \in \mathbb{R}^+$  be an interval. Consider the family of  $C^1$  – functional on X

$$\varphi_{\lambda,\mu}(u) = A(u) - \mu B(u), \quad \forall \mu \in J,$$

with B nonnegative and either  $A(u) \to +\infty$  or  $B(u) \to +\infty$  as  $||u|| \to \infty$  and such that  $\varphi_{\lambda,\mu}(0) = 0$ . For any  $\mu \in J$ , we set

$$\Gamma_{\mu} = \{ \gamma \in C([0,1], X) : \gamma(0) = 0, \varphi_{\lambda,\mu}(\gamma(1)) < 0 \}.$$

If for every  $\mu \in J$ , the set  $\Gamma_{\mu}$  is nonempty and

$$c_{\lambda,\mu} = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mu}} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \varphi_{\lambda,\mu}(\gamma(t)) > 0,$$

then for almost every  $\mu \in J$ , there is a sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset X$  such that

- (i)  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded;
- (ii)  $\varphi_{\lambda,\mu}(u_n) \to c_{\lambda,\mu}$ ;
- (iii)  $\varphi'_{\lambda,\mu}(u_n) \to 0$  in the dual  $X^*$  of X.

In our case, X = E,

$$A(u) = \frac{1}{2} ||u||^2 + \frac{1}{4} \lambda h_T(u) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^2, \quad B(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u) dx.$$

In the following, we will prove that  $I_{\lambda,\mu}^T$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.3.

**Lemma 2.4.**  $\Gamma_{\mu} \neq \emptyset$  for all  $\mu \in J = [\delta, 1]$ , where  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  is a positive constant.

*Proof.* For any  $\mu \in J$ , a radial function  $\omega \in E$  can be chosen with  $\omega > 0$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \omega|^2 dx = 1$ . By (F2) and (F3), we have that, for any  $C_1 > 0$  with  $(\mu C_1 - \frac{V_{\infty}}{2}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\omega|^2 dx > a$ , there exists  $C_2$  such that

$$F(s) \ge C_1 |s|^2 - C_2, \quad \forall \ s \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$
 (2.13)

For any  $t \geq 2\sqrt{T}$ , from (2.13), we have

$$I_{\lambda,\mu}^{T}(t\omega) = \frac{1}{2}at^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla\omega|^{2} dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x)t^{2}\omega^{2} dx$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4}\lambda\varphi \left(\frac{t^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla\omega|^{2} dx}{T^{2}}\right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla t\omega|^{2} dx\right)^{2} - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(t\omega) dx$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}at^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{\infty}t^{2}\omega^{2} dx + \frac{1}{4}\lambda\varphi \left(\frac{t^{2}}{T^{2}}\right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla t\omega|^{2} dx\right)^{2} - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(t\omega) dx$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}at^{2} + \frac{1}{2}V_{\infty}t^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \omega^{2} dx - \mu C_{1}t^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\omega|^{2} dx + \mu C_{2}. \tag{2.14}$$

Hence, (2.14) implies that  $I_{\lambda,\mu}^T(t\omega) < 0$  for t sufficiently large. The proof is completed.

**Lemma 2.5.** For any  $\mu \in J$ , there exists  $\theta > 0$  such that  $c_{\lambda,\mu} = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mu}} \max_{t \in [0,1]} I_{\lambda,\mu}^T(\gamma(t)) \ge \theta > 0$ .

*Proof.* For any  $u \in E$  and  $\mu \in J$ , by (F1) and (F2), for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist  $C(\epsilon) > 0$  such that

$$I_{\lambda,\mu}^{T}(u) \ge \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \lambda h_{T}(u) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u|^{2} dx \right)^{2} - \epsilon \|u\|_{2}^{2} - C(\epsilon) \|u\|_{p}^{p}$$

$$\ge \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^{2} - \epsilon \|u\|_{2}^{2} - C(\epsilon) \|u\|_{p}^{p}.$$

Similar to the proof of Lemma 2.1 (i), there exists  $\rho \in (0,1)$  such that  $I_{\lambda,\mu}(u) \geq \theta > 0$  for any  $\mu \in J$  and  $u \in E$  with  $0 < ||u|| \leq \rho$ . By the continuity of  $\gamma$  and the definition of  $\Gamma_{\gamma}$ , we have  $||\gamma(1)|| > \rho$ , then there exists  $t_{\gamma} \in (0,1)$  such that  $||\gamma(t_{\gamma})|| = \rho$ . Hence, for any  $\mu \in J$ ,

$$c_{\lambda,\mu} = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mu}} \max_{t \in [0,1]} I_{\lambda,\mu}^T(\gamma(t)) \ge \theta > 0.$$

The proof is completed.

**Lemma 2.6**. For any  $\mu \in J$ , each bounded (PS) sequence of the functional  $I_{\lambda,\mu}^T$  admits a convergent subsequence.

Proof. It is easy to see that  $A(u) \to +\infty$  as  $||u|| \to +\infty$ . From (F2), we have  $B(u) \to +\infty$  as  $||u|| \to +\infty$ . From Theorem 2.3, Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5, we know that there exists a bounded sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset E$ . Without loss of generality, for any  $\mu \in J$ , let  $\{u_n\}$  be a bounded (PS) sequence of  $I_{\lambda,\mu}^T$ , that is,  $\{u_n\}$  and  $I_{\lambda,\mu}^T$  are bounded and  $(I_{\lambda,\mu}^T)'(u) \to 0$  in  $E^*$ . Up to a subsequence, assume that there exists  $u \in E$  such that

$$u_n \to u \quad \text{in } E,$$
  
 $u_n \to u \quad \text{in } L^p\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right),$   
 $u_n \to u \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N.$  (2.15)

By (F1) and (F2), for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ , there exists  $C_{\varepsilon}$  such that

$$|f(t)| \le \varepsilon |t| + C_{\varepsilon} |t|^{p-1}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (2.16)

Hence, by (2.16), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (f(u_{n}) - f(u))(u_{n} - u)dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |f(u_{n}) - f(u)||u_{n} - u|dx 
\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (|f(u_{n})| + |f(u)|)|u_{n} - u|dx 
\leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (|u_{n}| + |u|)|u_{n} - u|dx + C_{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (|u_{n}|^{p-1} + |u|^{p-1})|u_{n} - u|dx 
\leq \varepsilon (||u_{n}||_{2} + ||u||_{2})||u_{n} - u||_{2}^{2} + C_{\varepsilon} (||u_{n}||_{p}^{p-1} + ||u||_{p}^{p-1})||u_{n} - u||_{p} 
\leq (||u_{n}||^{2} + ||u||^{2})||u_{n} - u||^{2} + C_{\varepsilon} (||u_{n}||_{p}^{p-1} + ||u||_{p}^{p-1})||u_{n} - u||_{p}. (2.17)$$

It follows from (2.15) and (2.17) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}^N} (f(u_n) - f(u))(u_n - u)dx \to 0, \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$
 (2.18)

Then, by (2.11) and let  $X_{\lambda}^T(u) = \frac{\lambda}{2T^2} \varphi'\left(\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2}{T^2}\right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2\right)^2$ , we have

$$\langle (I_{\lambda,\mu}^{T})'(u_{n}) - (I_{\lambda,\mu}^{T})'(u), u_{n} - u \rangle$$

$$= a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla(u_{n} - u)|^{2} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x)|u_{n} - u|^{2} dx + \lambda h_{T}(u_{n}) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u_{n}|^{2} dx \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla(u_{n} - u)|^{2} dx$$

$$+ X_{\lambda}^{T}(u_{n}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla(u_{n} - u)|^{2} dx + [X_{\lambda}^{T}(u_{n}) - X_{\lambda}^{T}(u)] \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla u \nabla(u_{n} - u) dx$$

$$- \lambda h_{T}(u) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u|^{2} dx \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla u \nabla(u_{n} - u) dx + \lambda h_{T}(u_{n}) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u_{n}|^{2} dx \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla u \nabla(u_{n} - u) dx$$

$$- \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (f(u_{n}) - f(u))(u_{n} - u) dx$$

$$\geq ||u_{n} - u||^{2} - \left( \lambda h_{T}(u) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u|^{2} dx - \lambda h_{T}(u_{n}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u_{n}|^{2} dx \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla u \nabla(u_{n} - u) dx$$

$$- [X_{\lambda}^{T}(u) - X_{\lambda}^{T}(u_{n})] \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla u \nabla(u_{n} - u) dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (f(u_{n}) - f(u))(u_{n} - u) dx. \tag{2.19}$$

Hence, from (2.19), we have

$$||u_{n}-u||^{2} \leq \left(\lambda h_{T}(u) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u|^{2} dx - \lambda h_{T}(u_{n}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u_{n}|^{2} dx\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla u \nabla (u_{n}-u) dx$$

$$+ \left[X_{\lambda}^{T}(u) - X_{\lambda}^{T}(u_{n})\right] \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla u \nabla (u_{n}-u) dx + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (f(u_{n}) - f(u)) (u_{n}-u) dx$$

$$+ \langle (I_{\lambda,\mu}^{T})'(u_{n}) - (I_{\lambda,\mu}^{T})'(u), u_{n}-u \rangle. \tag{2.20}$$

In the following, in order to obtain our result, we should discuss  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u \nabla (u_n - u) dx$ . Define a functional  $H_u : E \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$H_u(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u \nabla v dx, \quad \forall \ v \in E.$$

It is clearly that  $H_u$  is a linear functional on E. Since

$$|H_u(v)| \le \int_{\mathbb{D}^N} |\nabla u \nabla v| dx \le ||u|| ||v||,$$

we have that  $H_u$  is bounded on E, i.e.,  $H_u \in E^*$ . Therefore,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} H_u(u_n) = H_u(u)$  if  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  in E, hence, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u \nabla (u_n - u) dx \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$
 (2.21)

Since  $\{u_n\}$ ,  $X_{\lambda}^T$  and  $h_T$  are bounded, from (2.20), we have,

$$\left(\lambda h_T(u) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \lambda h_T(u_n) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u \nabla (u_n - u) dx \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$
 (2.22)

and

$$[X_{\lambda}^{T}(u) - X_{\lambda}^{T}(u_n)] \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u \nabla (u_n - u) dx \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
 (2.23)

It is obvious that  $\langle (I_{\lambda,\mu}^T)'(u_n) - (I_{\lambda,\mu}^T)'(u), u_n - u \rangle \to 0$  since  $(I_{\lambda,\mu}^T)'(u) \to 0$  and  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  in E. Consequently, from (2.18), (2.20), (2.22) and (2.23), we have

$$||u_n - u||^2 \to 0.$$

Hence, for any  $\mu \in J$ , each bounded (PS) sequence of the functional  $I_{\lambda,\mu}^T$  has a convergent subsequence. The proof is completed.

**Lemma 2.7**. For almost every  $\mu \in J$ , there exists  $u_{\mu} \in E \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $(I_{\lambda,\mu}^T)'(u_{\mu}) = 0$  and  $(I_{\lambda,\mu}^T)(u_{\mu}) = c_{\lambda,\mu}$ .

*Proof.* By the definition of A(u) and B(u), we can see that  $A(u) \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$  and B(u) is nonnegative. According to Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.3, for almost  $\mu \in J$ , there exists a bounded sequence  $\{u_{\mu,n}\}$  such that

$$(I_{\lambda,\mu}^T)'(u_{\mu,n}) = 0$$
, and  $I_{\lambda,\mu}^T(u_{\mu,n}) = c_{\lambda,\mu_n}$ .

By Lemma 2.6, we can conclude that there exists  $u_{\mu} \in E$  such that  $u_{\mu,n} \to u_{\mu}$ . Therefore,  $(I_{\lambda,\mu}^T)'(u_{\mu}) = 0$ , and  $(I_{\lambda,\mu}^T)(u_{\mu}) = c_{\lambda,\mu}$ . From f(s) = 0 for s < 0 and the Lemma 2.5, we have  $u_{\mu} \in E \setminus \{0\}$ .

From Lemma 2.7, there exists  $\{u_n\} \in J$  with  $\mu_n \to 1^-$  and a sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset E$  such that

$$(I_{\lambda,\mu_n}^T)'(u_n) = 0$$
, and  $I_{\lambda,\mu_n}^T(u_n) = c_{\lambda,\mu_n}$ .

Next, we will introduce the following Pohožaev identity, which is crucial to obtain that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx < T^2$ . So we give the following Lemma.

**Lemma 2.8**. Let  $N \geq 3$ , if  $u \in H$  is a weak solution of

$$-\left[a + \lambda h_T(u) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{\lambda}{2T^2} \varphi'\left(\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2}{T^2}\right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx\right)^2\right] \Delta u + V(x)u = \mu f(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

then, u satisfies the following Pohožaev identity

$$N\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(u)dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (\nabla V(x), x)u^{2} dx$$

$$= \frac{N-2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u|^{2} dx \left[ a + \lambda h_{T}(u) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u|^{2} dx + \frac{\lambda}{2T^{2}} \varphi' \left( \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u|^{2}}{T^{2}} \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u|^{2} dx \right)^{2} + \frac{N}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x)u^{2} dx.$$

$$(2.24)$$

*Proof.* Similar to Lemma 2.2 in [32], we can prove the above conclusion. Here, we omit the details of proof.  $\Box$ 

The following Lemma implies that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx \leq T^2$ , which is the core of this paper.

**Lemma 2.9.** Let  $\{u_n\}$  be a (PS) sequence of  $I_{\lambda,\mu_n}^T$  at level  $c_{\lambda,\mu_n}$ , then for T>0 sufficiently large, there exists  $\lambda_0>0$  with  $4\lambda_0T^2<1$  such that for any  $\lambda\in[0,\lambda_0)$ ,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla u_n|^2dx\leq T^2$  for all  $n\in\mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* We will discuss by contradiction. Assume that there exists no subsequence  $\{u_n\}$  such that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx$  is bounded by T. Then we can suppose  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx > T^2$ .

Firstly, since  $(I_{\lambda,\mu_n}^T)'(u_n) = 0$ , by (2.24),  $\{u_n\}$  satisfies the following Pohožaev identity:

$$N\mu_{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(u_{n}) dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (\nabla V(x), x) u_{n}^{2} dx$$

$$= \frac{N-2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u_{n}|^{2} dx \left[ a + \lambda h_{T}(u_{n}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u_{n}|^{2} dx + \frac{\lambda}{2T^{2}} \varphi' \left( \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u_{n}|^{2}}{T^{2}} \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u_{n}|^{2} dx \right)^{2} + \frac{N}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x) u_{n}^{2} dx.$$

$$(2.25)$$

Since  $I_{\lambda,\mu_n}^T(u_n) = c_{\lambda,\mu_n}$ , we have

$$c_{\lambda,\mu_n} N = \frac{N}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (a|\nabla u_n|^2 + V(x)u_n^2) dx + \frac{\lambda N}{4} h_T(u_n) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx \right)^2 - \mu_n N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u_n) dx$$
 (2.26)

Then, according to (2.25), (2.26), (V1) and Hardy inequality, we can get that

$$a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u_{n}|^{2} dx \leq \left[ a + \lambda h_{T}(u_{n}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u_{n}|^{2} dx + \frac{\lambda}{2T^{2}} \varphi' \left( \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u_{n}|^{2} dx}{T^{2}} \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u_{n}|^{2} dx \right)^{2} \right] \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u_{n}|^{2} dx$$

$$= c_{\lambda,\mu_{n}} N + \frac{N}{4} \lambda h_{T}(u_{n}) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u_{n}|^{2} dx \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (\nabla V(x), x) u_{n}^{2} dx$$

$$+ \frac{N\lambda}{4T^{2}} \varphi' \left( \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u_{n}|^{2} dx}{T^{2}} \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u_{n}|^{2} dx \right)^{3}$$

$$\leq c_{\lambda,\mu_{n}} N + \frac{N}{4} \lambda h_{T}(u_{n}) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u_{n}|^{2} dx \right)^{2} + \theta a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u_{n}|^{2} dx$$

$$+ \frac{N\lambda}{4T^{2}} \varphi' \left( \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u_{n}|^{2} dx}{T^{2}} \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u_{n}|^{2} dx \right)^{3}. \tag{2.27}$$

From (2.27), we have

$$(1-\theta)a\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla u_n|^2dx \leq Nc_{\lambda,\mu_n} + \frac{N}{4}\lambda h_T(u_n)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla u_n|^2dx\right)^2 + \frac{N\lambda}{4T^2}\varphi'\left(\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla u_n|^2dx}{T^2}\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla u_n|^2\right)^3. \tag{2.28}$$

By Lemma 2.5 and (2.13), we have

$$c_{\lambda,\mu_{n}} \leq \max_{t} I_{\lambda,\mu_{n}}^{T}(t\omega)$$

$$= \max_{t} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} t^{2} + \frac{1}{2} t^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x) \omega^{2} dx - \mu_{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(t\omega) dx \right\} + \max_{t} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \lambda h_{T}(t\omega) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla t\omega|^{2} \right)^{2} dx \right\}$$

$$\leq \max_{t} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} t^{2} + \frac{1}{2} V_{\infty} t^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \omega^{2} dx - \mu_{n} C_{1} t^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \omega^{2} dx + \mu_{n} C_{2} \right\} + \max_{t} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \lambda \varphi \left( \frac{t^{2}}{T^{2}} \right) t^{4} \right\}$$

$$= C_{3} + A_{1}(T).$$
(2.29)

If  $t > \sqrt{2}T$ , then  $\varphi(\frac{t^2}{T^2}) = 0$ . Hence, we obtain

$$A_1(T) \le \lambda T^4. \tag{2.30}$$

We also get that

$$\frac{N}{4}\lambda h_T(u_n) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx \right)^2 \le \lambda N T^4, \tag{2.31}$$

and

$$\frac{N\lambda}{4T^2}\varphi'\left(\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla u_n|^2dx}{T^2}\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla u_n|^2dx\right)^3 \le 4\lambda NT^4. \tag{2.32}$$

From (2.28)-(2.32), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx \le \frac{1}{(1-\theta)a} (NC_3 + 6\lambda NT^4). \tag{2.33}$$

Since we suppose  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx > T^2$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then from (2.33), we have

$$T^2 < \frac{1}{(1-\theta)a}(NC_3 + 6\lambda NT^4),$$

which is not true for T large and  $4\lambda T^4 < 1$ . So we can choose  $\lambda_0 = \frac{1}{4T^4}$ . The proof is completed.

#### 3. Proof of the main results

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We define T and  $\lambda_0$  as in Lemma 2.9. And let  $\{u_n\}$  be a sequence for  $I_{\lambda,\mu_n}^T$ . Then by Lemma 2.9, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx \le T^2,$$

and

$$I_{\lambda,\mu_n}^T(u_n) = \frac{1}{2} ||u_n||^2 + \frac{1}{4} \lambda \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^2 - \mu_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u_n) dx.$$

Hence, we have

$$\langle I_{\lambda}'(u_n), v \rangle = \langle (I_{\lambda, \mu_n}^T)'(u_n), v \rangle - (1 - \mu_n) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u_n) dx.$$

Consequently, when  $\mu_n \to 1$ ,  $\{u_n\}$  is also a bounded (PS) sequence of  $I_{\lambda}$ . According to Lemma 2.6,  $\{u_n\}$  has a convergent subsequence, we may assume  $u_n \to u_0$ . Thus  $I'_{\lambda}(u_0) = 0$ . According to Lemma 2.5, we have that  $I_{\lambda}(u_0) = \lim_{n \to \infty} I_{\lambda}(u_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} I^T_{\lambda,\mu_n}(u_n) \ge \theta > 0$ . And the condition (F1) implies that  $u_0$  is a positive solution. The proof is completed.

Proof of Corollary 1.2. By Theorem 1.1, it is obvious that Corollary 1.2 holds.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Assume that  $N \geq 4$  and  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  is a nontrivial solution of the problem (1.1). Multiply (1.1) by u and integrate by parts, we obtain

$$|a| |\nabla u||_2^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) u^2 + \lambda ||\nabla u||_2^4 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u) dx.$$

Similarly, by (F1) and (2.16), there exists  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $C_{\varepsilon_1}$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}^N} F(u)dx \le \varepsilon_1 \|u\|_2^2 + C_{\varepsilon_1} \|\nabla u\|_{\frac{N}{N-2}}^2.$$

Now, we can choose  $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{2}V_0$ . Then, we have that

$$a\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x)u^{2}dx + \lambda\|u\|_{2}^{4} \leq \frac{V_{0}}{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2} + C_{\varepsilon_{1}}\|\nabla u\|_{\frac{N}{N-2}}^{2}.$$

Since  $N \ge 4$ , then,  $2 < \frac{2N}{N-2} \le 4$ . We can easily use Young's inequality to obtain that

$$C_{\varepsilon_1} \|\nabla u\|_{\frac{N}{N-2}}^2 \le a \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + C_4 \|\nabla u\|_2^4.$$

Consequently, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)u^2 dx + \lambda \|u\|_2^4 \le \frac{V_0}{2} \|u\|_2^2 + C_4 \|\nabla u\|_2^4.$$

If we choose  $\lambda \geq C_4$ , we have

$$\|\nabla u\|_2 = \|u\|_2 = 0.$$

Hence, when N > 3 and for enough large  $\lambda$ , problem (2.3) has no nontrivial solution. The proof is completed.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we first proved that energy functional  $I_{\lambda}$  has the mountain structure. Then, we defined a cut-off functional  $\varphi$  and established a modified functional  $I_{\lambda,\mu}^T$ . We show that  $I_{\lambda,\mu}^T$  has a bounded Palais-Smale sequence  $\{u_n\}$ . Then, we prove that there exists  $\lambda_0$  such that for any  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$ ,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx \leq T^2$ , which implies the critical value of  $I_{\lambda,\mu}^T$  is also the critical value of  $I_{\lambda}$ . Then we deduce our results by the variational method.

Compared to the relevant existing literature, in this paper, we only assumed that f satisfies superliner growth condition at infinity. We considered that the potential V is variable. Moveover, we obtained our results without (A-R) condition and the monotonicity of f(u)/u. Since (A-R) condition or the monotonicity of  $f(u)/u^3$  always be needed in the relevant literature. Thus, our results have improved and extended the relevant existing literature to some extent. The authors in [27] considered the existence of nontrivial solutions to a type of fourth-order Kirchhoff equation and the parameter in the nonlinearity term. Moreover, they [27] assumed that the nonlinearity satisfies some general 3-superlinear growth conditions at infinity. There are three results in [27]. The existence and non-existence of parameters are discussed respectively. The theorems in [27] generalize some known results in the literatures even for the nonexistence of parameter. Thus, We hope our results can be widely use in the Kirchhoff system as discussed in [27]. More precisely, we hope that our results can be applied in the relevant fourth-order Kirchhoff equations and parameter can be in the nonlinearity term with the more general nonlinearity.

## Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11961014, No. 61563013) and Guangxi Natural Science Foundation (2016GXNSFAA380082, 2018GXNSFAA281021). The authors would like to thank the Editors and referees for their useful suggestions and comments.

## Conflict of interest

This work does not have any conflicts of interest.

#### Orcid

Qiongfen Zhang http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7037-1961

## References

- [1] Metzler R, Klafter J: The random walk's guide to anomalous diffusion: a fractional dynamics approach. Physics Report, 339, 1-77 (2000).
- [2] Slvestre L: Regularity of the obstacle problem for a fractional power of the Laplace operator. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 60, 67-112 (2007).
- [3] Cont R, Tankov P: Financial Modeling with Jump Processes. Chapman Hall/CRC Financial Mathematics Series. Chapman & Hal/CRC Press, Boca Raton. (2004).
- [4] Kirchhoff G: Mechanik. Teubner, Leipzing (1883).
- [5] Arosio A, Panizzi S: On the well-posedness of the Kirchhoff string. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 348, 305-330 (1996).
- [6] Bernstein S: Sur une classe d'equations fonctinnelles aux derivees partielles. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 4, 17-26 (1940).
- [7] Pohožave SI: A certain class of quasilinear hyperbolic equations.(Russian) Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 96(138) (1975), 152-166, 168.
- [8] Lions JL: On some questions in boundary value problems of mathmatics physics, in: Contemporary Developments in Continuum Mechanics and Patical Ansari H, Vaezpour SM, Hesaaraki M: Existence of positive solution for nonlocal singular fourth order Kirchhoff equation with Hardy potential. Positivity, 21, 1545-1562 (2017).
- [9] Cavalcanti MM, Domingos Cavalcanti VN, Soriano JA: A global existence and uniform decay rates for the Kirchhoff-Carrier equation with nonlinear disspation. Advanced Differential Equations, 6(1), 701-730 (2001).
- [10] D'Ancona P, Spagnolo S: Global solvability for the degenerate Kirchhoff equation with real analytic data. Inventiones Mathematicae, 108(1), 247-262 (1992).
- [11] Xie QL: Least energy nodal solution for Kirchhoff type problem with an asymptotically 4-linear non-linearity. Appiled Mathmatics Letters, 102 (2020) 106157.

- [12] Li GB, Ye HY: Existence of positive ground state solutions for the nonlinear Kirchhoff type equations in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Journal of Differential Equations, 257(2), 566-600 (2014).
- [13] Chen ST, Tang XH: Infinitely many solutions for super-quadratic Kirchhoff-type equations with sign-changing potential. Applied Mathmatics Letters, 67, 40-45 (2017).
- [14] Qin DD, He YB, Tang XH: Ground state solutions for Kirchhoff type equations with asymptotically 4-linear nonlinearity. Computers and Mathematics with Applications. 71, 1524-1536 (2016).
- [15] Liang Sh, Pucci P, Zhang BL: Multiple solutions for critical Choquard-Kirchhoff type equations. Advances in Nonlinear Analysis. 10, 400-419 (2021).
- [16] Wang FL, An TQ, An YQ: Existence of solutions for fourth order elliptic equations of Kirchhoff type on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations. 39, 1-11 (2014).
- [17] Rui J: On the Kirchhoff type Choquard problem with Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev critical exponent. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 488, 124075 (2020).
- [18] Mao AM, Wang WQ: Nontrivial solutions of nonlocal fourth order elliptic equation of Kirchholt type in R³. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 459, 556-563 (2018).
- [19] Arcoya, David; de Paiva, Francisco Odair; Mendoza, Jose M.: Existence of solutions for a nonhomogeneous elliptic Kircchoff type equation. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 480, 122401 (2019).
- [20] Zhang FB, Du M: Existence and asymptotic behavior of positive solutions for Kirchhoff type problems with steep potential well. J. Differ. Equ. 269, 10085-10106 (2020).
- [21] Alves CO: Positive solutions for a quasilinear elliptic equation of Kirchhoff type. Computers and Mathematics with Applications 49(1), 85-93 (2005).
- [22] Chen ST, Tang, XH: Berestycki-Lions conditions on ground state solutions for Kirchhoff-type problems with variable potentials. J. Math. Phys. 60, 121509 (2019)
- [23] Frites O, Moussaoui T: Existence of positive solutions for a variational inequality of Kirchhoff type. Arab Journal of Mathematical Sciences. 21, 127-135 (2015).
- [24] Fang HL: Multiple positive solutions for a class of Kirchhoff type problems involving critical Sobolev exponents. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 431, 150-168 (2015).
- [25] Xu HY: Existence of positive solutions for the nonlinear Kirchhoff type equations in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . J. Math. Anal. Appl. 482 (2020) 123593.
- [26] Li Y, Li F, Shi J: Existence of a positive solution to Kirchhoff type problems without compactness conditions. J. Differ. Equ. 253, 2285-2294 (2012).
- [27] Wu DL, Li FY: Solutions for fourth-order Kirchhoff type elliptic equations involving concave-convex nonlinearities in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Comput. Math. with Appl. 79, 489-499 (2020).
- [28] Jeanjean L, Le Coz S: An existence and stability result for standing waves of nonlinear Schrodinger equations, Adv. Differ. Equ. 11, 813-840 (2006).
- [29] Kikuchi H: Existence and stability of standing waves for Schrodinger-Poisson-Slater equation. Adv. Nonlinear Stud. 7, 403-437 (2007).

- [30] Struwe M: Variational Methods: Applications to Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Hamiltonian Systems. Springer, Berlin, (1990).
- [31] Jeanjean L: Local condition insuring bifurcation from the continuous spectrum, Math. Z. 232, 651-664 (1999).
- [32] Rabinowitz PH: Minimax methods in critical point theory with aplication to differential equations, CBMS Reg. Conf. Ser. Math., Vol 65. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, (1986).