

Evaluation of the performance of parental lines and their F₁ hybrids for yield and attributing traits in vegetable pea [*Pisum sativum* (L.) var. *hortense*].

Abstract

The present investigation was carried out to obtain information based on *per se* performances of parents and their combinations for genetic improvement in vegetable pea. Ten promising genotypes were crossed in a diallel manner (excluding reciprocals). Half diallel set of 45 F₁'s in vegetable pea was evaluated in Randomized Complete Block Design (RBD) with three replications for eighteen yield and yield attributing traits during *Rabi* 2020-21 (Y₁) and 2021-22 (Y₂) at the Main Experimental Station (MES), Department of Vegetable Science, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Narendra Nagar, Kumarganj, Ayodhya (U.P.) India. The study evident that highly significant differences were observed for most of the traits under study. Based on *per se* performance, parent P₁₀ (60.84 g) exhibited the highest green pod yield per plant followed by P₅ (55.80 g). The *per se* performance of crosses *i.e.* P₇ x P₁₀ (81.88 g) followed by P₆ x P₁₀ (81.87 g), P₅ x P₁₀ (79.66 g) and P₅ x P₇ (78.89 g) produced significantly higher green pod yield per plant than the general mean. These hybrids may be exploited as a new variety after selection and subjected to multi-locational trials for their release as cultivation on a commercial scale.

Keywords: evaluated, exhibited, reciprocals, significant.

1. INTRODUCTION

Garden pea [*Pisum sativum* (L.) var. *hortense*] is an important legume vegetable crop grown in temperate and subtropical regions of the world. After *Phaseolus vulgaris*, it is the second-most important legume crop globally [1]. Near East and Ethiopia are regarded as secondary habitats, with the Mediterranean serving as the garden pea's primary source of origin [2].

India is second in the world for vegetable production behind China, and it contributes 10.80 million hectares and 196.26 million tonnes of vegetables to the world's production overall. Vegetable peas are grown with average productivity of 10.04 tonnes per hectare in India, where they cover 0.573 million hectares and produce 5.823 million tonnes [3]. In India, it is grown extensively in Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Himachal Pradesh, Jharkhand, Punjab, Haryana, Rajasthan, Maharashtra, Bihar, and Karnataka, contributing to 67% of the total production. Uttar Pradesh is the highest-producing state in India. This crop is grown on

0.218 million hectares in Uttar Pradesh, producing 2.481 million tonnes and productivity was 11.360 tonnes per hectare [4].

Vegetable pea seeds are consumed fresh, frozen, canned, or dehydrated and are highly tasty and nourishing for human nutrition. There is growing interest in this crop as a cheap source of protein because of its high protein content (20-30 %) and the increased demand for protein-rich raw materials for animal feed or intermediate products for human nutrition [5]. The anti-oxidant flavonoids carotenes, lutein, and zeaxanthin, which assist to prevent lung and oral cavity malignancies, are present in sufficient amounts [6].

Hybridization is a crucial breeding strategy for overcoming yield limitations. Crosses between the parents having good *per se* performance are expected to yield desirable recombinants in further segregating generations and the potentialities of such genotypes could be seen in the performance of the hybrids. Utilizing natural sources of germplasm through selection or hybridization followed by selection is the basic foundation for the development of varieties [7]. The only feasible option to integrate the favorable horticultural qualities of two or more types, hybridization offers more chances for crop development than any other breeding technique [8]. The important factor that reduces the vegetable pea production is the low-yielding potential of old varieties and the lack of stability for yield. Keeping this objective in view, ten parents and their resultant hybrids were evaluated based on mean *per se* performance for yield and its attributing traits.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out at the Main Experimental Station, Department of Vegetable Science, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Narendra Nagar (Kumarganj), Ayodhya (UP), India, during *Rabi*, 2020-21 (Y_1) and 2021–22 (Y_2). The experimental farm falls under a humid subtropical climate and is located between 24.47° and 26.54°N latitude and 81.84° and 83.58°E longitude at an altitude of 98 m above mean sea level.

The experimental materials comprised ten promising varieties of pea selected based on genetic variability from the germplasm stock maintained in the Department of Vegetable Science. The selected parental lines *i.e.*, Azad Pea-1 (P_1), Azad Pea-2 (P_2), Azad Pea-4 (P_3), Kashi Samridhi (P_4), Kashi Nandini (P_5), Kashi Mukti (P_6), Kashi Udai (P_7), NDVP-2 (P_8), NDVP-4 (P_9) and Azad Pea-3 (P_{10}) were crossed in all possible cross combinations (excluding reciprocal) during *Rabi* season of 2019-20 to get 45 F_1 s for the study of the mean performance of parental line and their resultant F_1 .

The experiments were grown in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RBD) with three replications to evaluate the performance of 45 F₁ hybrids and their 10 parental lines of vegetable pea. The crop was sown in single row spaced 30 cm apart with a plant-to-plant spacing of 10 cm.

Observations were recorded for eighteen economic and quality traits, viz. days to 50% flowering, days to first picking, plant height (cm), node to first pod appearance, nodes per plant, pod length (cm), pod girth (cm), number of seed per pod, number of pods per plant, shelling percentage, number of pods per 100 g, 100 green seed weight (g), protein content (%), total soluble solids, reducing sugars (%), non-reducing sugar (%), total sugars (%) and green pod yield per plant (g). *Per se* performance was evaluated for parents and hybrids following the method suggested by Panse and Sukhatme [9] for analysis of variance of experimental for eighteen yield and yield contributing traits.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Selection of suitable parents and proper breeding methodology are basic steps for the improvement of yield and attributing traits. The selection of parents having high *per se* performance would be of merit in producing better hybrids and hence the parents selected for the crossing program were evaluated based on their *per se* performances. The most important trait green pod yield per plant and other quality traits results for pooled data are discussed below.

A perusal of Table 1 revealed that the mean squares due to genotypes, parents, and hybrids were found highly significant for all the traits. The mean squares due to parents vs. hybrids were also found significant for all the traits studied except for days to 50% flowering, days to first picking and number of pods per 100g.

A perusal of Table 2 revealed that days to 50% flowering varied from 39.00 to 56.00 days for parents and 32.50 to 55 days for F₁ hybrids with an overall mean of 47.89 days for parents and F₁ hybrids. Among the parents, P₅ and P₆ (39.00) exhibited minimum days to 50 % flowering while P₄ (56.00) took maximum days to 50 % flowering. Out of 45 crosses, hybrids that exhibited early days to 50% flowering were P₅ x P₆ (32.50) followed by P₅ x P₇ (32.83) while cross P₄ x P₉ (55.00) took maximum days to 50 % flowering. Similar findings were reported by Sharma *et al.* [10], Kumar *et al.* [11].

Days to first picking varied from 65.17 to 84.67 days for parents and 56.00 to 83.83 days for F₁ hybrids with overall mean of 75.63 days for parents and F₁ hybrids (Table-2). Among the parents, P₅ (65.17 days) exhibited early days to first picking and P₄ (84.67) took maximum days for first picking. Out of 45 crosses top three crosses which exhibited minimum days to first picking were P₅ x P₆ (56.00) followed by P₅ x P₇ (56.33) and P₆ x P₇

(57.00). Cross $P_2 \times P_4$ (83.83) took maximum days to first picking. The superiority of *per se* performance and F_1 for this trait have also been reported by Kumar *et al.* [11], Suman *et al.* [12].

Plant height ranged from 63.65 cm to 126.26 cm for parents and 68.6 to 126.05 cm for F_1 hybrids with an overall mean of 82.68 cm for parents and F_1 hybrids (Table-2). Among the parents, P_5 (63.65 cm) exhibited minimum while parent P_3 (126.26 cm) exhibited maximum plant height. Out of 45 crosses, F_1 hybrids which exhibited minimum plant height were $P_5 \times P_8$ (68.6 cm) and $P_6 \times P_8$ (70.01 cm) while, maximum plant height was exhibited by crosses $P_2 \times P_3$ (126.05 cm) followed by $P_2 \times P_{10}$ (122.76 cm). The same results for this trait were also reported by Suman *et al.* [12], Kumar *et al.* [11].

Node to first pod appearance ranged from 9.93 to 13.88 for parents and 7.72 to 13.95 for F_1 hybrids with an overall mean 12.08 of for parents and F_1 hybrids (Table-2). Among the parents, P_7 (9.93) recorded a minimum value for the node to first pod appearance while P_4 (13.88) exhibited a maximum mean value. Out of 45 crosses $P_5 \times P_7$ (7.72) followed by $P_5 \times P_6$ (7.97) recorded minimum, $P_2 \times P_3$ (13.95) followed by $P_3 \times P_6$ (13.80) recorded maximum mean value for the node to first pod appearance. Similar findings were also reported by Shubh and Dhar [8], Ceyhan *et al.* [5].

Pod length ranged from 6.28 cm to 8.84 cm for parents and 6.22 to 10.32 cm for F_1 hybrids with an overall mean of 8.03 cm for parents and F_1 hybrids (Table-2). Among the parents P_7 (8.84 cm) exhibited maximum pod length and P_2 exhibited minimum pod length (6.28 cm). Among F_1 hybrids, cross $P_7 \times P_{10}$ (10.32 cm) followed by $P_6 \times P_{10}$ (10.14 cm) recorded maximum pod length and cross $P_2 \times P_4$ (6.22 cm) recorded minimum pod length. Observation for this trait is in close agreement with the results of Shubh and Dhar [8], Kumar *et al.* [11].

The number of pods per plant ranged from 8.52 to 11.32 for parents whereas, 8.12 to 13.25 for F_1 hybrids with an overall mean 10.62 of for parents and F_1 hybrids (Table-2). Among the parents, the maximum number of pods per plant was recorded in P_3 (11.32) and the minimum by P_1 (8.52). In the case of F_1 hybrids, crosses $P_5 \times P_7$ (13.25) followed by $P_5 \times P_6$ (13.23) exhibited the maximum number of pods per plant and cross $P_1 \times P_9$ (8.12) recorded the minimum number of pods per plant. Similar findings were also reported by Suman *et al.* [12], Sharma *et al.* [10].

Protein content ranged from 17.96 to 23.24 % for parents while 17.88 to 26.14 % for F_1 hybrids with an overall mean of 21.11% for parents and F_1 hybrids (Table-2). Among the parents, maximum protein content was recorded in P_5 (23.24 %) while minimum in P_3 (17.96%). Among the 45 F_1 hybrids, crosses $P_5 \times P_{10}$ (26.14 %) followed by $P_5 \times P_6$ (25.61

%) recorded maximum protein content and cross P₃ x P₈ (17.88 %) recorded minimum protein content. It has been also reported by earlier workers Daheriya [13], Singh *et al.* [14].

Total soluble solids ranged from 11.80 to 14.48 °Brix for parents while 12.17 to 17.30 °Brix for F₁ hybrids with an overall mean of 13.83 °Brix for parents and F₁ hybrids (Table-2). Among the parents, the highest total soluble solids were found in P₅ (14.48 °Brix) and the lowest in P₁ (11.80 °Brix). Among F₁'s crosses P₅ x P₆ (17.30 °Brix) followed by P₅ x P₁₀ (17.25 °Brix) exhibited maximum total soluble solids and cross P₈ x P₉ (12.17 °Brix) recorded a minimum mean value. Similar findings were also reported by Daheriya [13], Bisht and Singh [15].

Total sugars ranged from 3.28 to 4.62 % for parents while 3.35 to 6.05% for F₁ hybrids with an overall mean of 4.2 % for parents and F₁ hybrids (Table-2). Among the parents, P₁₀ (4.62%) exhibited maximum total sugars and P₉ (3.28 %) recorded a minimum mean value for total sugars. In the case of F₁ hybrids, crosses P₅ x P₁₀ (6.05%) followed by P₇ x P₁₀ (6.02%) and P₅ x P₆ (5.96%) exhibited higher total sugars than the general mean and cross P₂ x P₉ (3.35 %) recorded minimum total sugars. Similar findings were also reported by Singh and Dhillon [16], Kumari and sharma [17].

Green pod yield per plant is the most important trait for vegetable pea the range of parents was 46.78 to 60.84 g while 49.11 to 81.88 g for F₁ hybrids with an overall mean of 60.52 g for parents and F₁ hybrid (Table-2). Among the parents, P₁₀ produced the highest green pod yield per plant and P₉ produced the minimum green pod yield per plant. Among F₁ hybrids, crosses P₇ x P₁₀ (81.88 g) followed by P₆ x P₁₀ (81.87 g) and P₅ x P₁₀ (79.66 g) produced the highest green pod yield per plant than the general mean. Cross P₅ x P₆ (49.11 g) recorded minimum green pod yield per plant. Observation for this trait is in close agreement with the results of Kumar *et al.* [11], Shubha and dhar [8].

CONCLUSION

The main objective of this experiment was to evaluate the parents and their F₁ progenies based on *per se* performance for green pod yield per plant and other attributing traits which could be utilized in future breeding programs. Based on present study, it may be concluded that based on *per se* performance Parents, P₅, P₆ P₇ and P₁₀ were identified as superior parents while five crosses *viz.* P₇ x P₁₀, P₆ x P₁₀, P₅ x P₆, P₅ x P₇ and P₅ x P₁₀ were identified as superior crosses for green pod yield per plant as well as for earliness also. The best performing crosses may be utilized for multi-locational trials for selection and utilization as variety. Best performing parents may be used in future breeding programs for the improvement of yield and its attributing traits.

References

1. Jaiswal NK, Gupta AK, Dewangan H and Lavanya GR. Genetic variability analysis in field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). International Journal of Science and Research. 2015; 4:1-2
2. Blixt S. Pisum. Genetic resources in plants: their exploration and conservation. (OH Frankel and E Bennet, eds). International Biological Programme, Blackwell Scientific Publ. Oxford. 1970; P 321-326.
3. Anonymous. Horticulture Statistics Division, Department of Agriculture, Co-operation and Farmer's Welfare, Ministry of Agriculture, India. 2020-2021.
4. Anonymous. Indian Horticulture Database. National Horticulture Board, Ministry of agriculture, Government of India, Gurgaon. 2018.
5. Cehyan E, Avci MA and Karadas S. Line x tester analysis in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.)- Identification of superior parents for seed yield and its components. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2008; 7:2810-2817.
6. Pallavi, Singh YV, Singh A, Pandey KK and Awasthi AK. Genetic variability estimation for various characters in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). International Journal of Plant, Animal and Environmental Science. 2013; 3(4):10-13.
7. Sharma, B.B., Sharma, V.K., Dhakar, M.K. and Punetha, S., Combining ability and gene action studies for horticultural traits in garden pea: A review. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2013; 8(38):4718-4725.
8. Shubha and Shri Dhar. Generation mean analysis for pod yield and its associated traits in garden pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Vegetable Science. 2015; 42(2):43-46.
9. Panse VG and Sukhatme PV. Genetics of quantitative characters in relation to plant breeding. Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding. 1987; 17:318-328.
10. Sharma A, Singh G, Sharma S and Sood S. Combining ability and heterosis for pod yield and its related horticultural traits in garden pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) under mid-hill sub-temperate and high-hill dry-temperate conditions of Himachal Pradesh. Indian Journal of Horticulture. 2007; 64(4):410-414.
11. Kumar M, Jeberson MS, Singh NB and Sharma R. Genetic analysis of seed yield and its contributing traits and pattern their inheritance in field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017; 6(6):172-181.
12. Suman H, Kumar B. N, Rathi M and Tamatam D. Heterosis and combining ability for grain yield and yield associated traits in 10 X 10 diallel analysis in pea (*Pisum sativum*

- L.). International Journal Current Microbiology Applied Science. 2017; 6(12):1574-1585.
13. Daheriya AK. Genetic analysis for horticultural traits in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Thesis, MSc. Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Gwalior. 2012; pp. 118-149.
 14. Singh KP, Singh JD, Singh HC and Srivastava JP. Genetic analysis of quality attributes in table pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Vegetable Science. 2012; 39(2):169-172.
 15. Bisht B, and Singh YV. Combining ability for yield and yield contributing characters in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Vegetable Science. 2011; 38(1):17-21.
 16. Singh N and Dhillon GS. Assessment of combining ability for pod yield and quality traits in garden pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Haryana Journal of Horticultural Sciences. 2004; 33(3/4):254-256.
 17. Kumari S and Sharma A. Study of heterosis and residual heterosis for horticultural and biochemical traits in three inter-varietal crosses of garden pea (*Pisum sativum* var. *hortense* L.). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2019; 8(12):1496-1502.

Table 1: ANOVA (mean squares) for a set of 10 x 10 diallel crosses for different traits in vegetable pea during over season pooled.

Source of variation	d.f	Days to 50% flowering	Days to first picking	Plant height	Node to first pod appearance	Nodes per plant	Pod length	Pod girth	Number of seeds/pod	Number of pods/plant	Shelling percentage
Replications	2	0.26	9.15*	34.39*	0.053	5.59**	0.31 **	0.003	0.25 *	1.31*	3.62*
Genotypes	54	129.62**	205.41**	1422.38**	9.33 **	16.89**	3.55 **	0.31 **	4.69 **	7.46 **	35.30 **
Parents	9	158.10**	184.88**	1611.93**	9.23 **	33.02**	2.53 **	0.24 **	4.33 **	3.19 **	8.21 **
Hybrids	44	126.17 **	214.20**	1345.38**	9.54 **	12.41**	3.83 **	0.33 **	4.81 **	7.76 **	39.38 **
Parents vs. Hybrids	1	1.37	3.64	3104.28**	0.82 *	68.79**	0.72 **	0.37 **	2.85 **	32.75 **	99.31 **
Error	108	1.64	2.85	7.58	0.16	0.90	0.03	0.012	0.070	0.39	0.97

Source of variation	d.f	Number of pods/100 g	100 green seed weight	Protein content	Total soluble solids	Reducing sugars	Non reducing sugar	Total sugars	Green pod yield per plant
Replications	2	0.46	11.48 *	0.023	0.141	0.001 *	0.021	0.03	8.22
Genotypes	54	17.69**	54.27 **	12.16**	6.33**	0.005 **	1.47 **	1.62 **	290.47 **
Parents	9	19.21**	23.54 **	8.71 **	3.26 **	0.002 **	0.85 **	0.92 **	53.74 **
Hybrids	44	17.76 **	61.26 **	12.86 **	6.74 **	0.005 **	1.60 **	1.76 **	296.32 **
Parents vs. Hybrids	1	0.92	23.02 **	12.71 **	16.06 **	0.042 **	1.68 **	2.26 **	2163.72 **
Error	108	0.44	2.43	0.069	0.135	0.0001	0.013	0.012	7.27

*, ** significant at 5% and 1% level, respectively

Table 2: Mean performance, general mean, range, coefficient of variation and critical difference for Eighteen characters of diallel set of 45 F₁'s and their 10 parents in vegetable pea during overseason pooled

Sr. No.	Genotypes	Days to 50% flowering	Days to first picking	Plant height (cm)	Node to first pod appearance	Nodes per plant	Pod length (cm)	Pod girth (cm)	Number of seeds/pod	Number pods/plant
1	P ₁ × P ₂	49.67	79.33	108.32	12.88	22.79	8.12	4.03	7.70	8.24
2	P ₁ × P ₃	50.33	79.17	109.18	13.30	22.29	8.19	4.07	7.67	8.29
3	P ₁ × P ₄	51.67	80.17	92.99	13.58	24.17	8.21	4.06	8.15	8.37
4	P ₁ × P ₅	45.50	73.83	81.19	11.22	21.24	9.42	4.48	9.22	11.67
5	P ₁ × P ₆	45.17	73.83	82.69	11.18	22.17	9.34	4.47	9.18	12.14
6	P ₁ × P ₇	45.50	73.67	85.42	11.22	21.98	9.23	4.47	9.02	11.26
7	P ₁ × P ₈	49.67	78.67	80.32	13.45	22.70	8.15	4.06	8.17	8.16
8	P ₁ × P ₉	50.00	79.17	81.92	12.73	22.10	8.20	4.05	8.15	8.12
9	P ₁ × P ₁₀	45.50	74.00	80.57	11.23	20.85	9.45	4.48	9.22	11.59
10	P ₂ × P ₃	53.33	82.50	126.05	13.95	23.87	6.33	3.70	6.15	10.34
11	P ₂ × P ₄	54.33	83.83	121.44	13.45	23.82	6.22	3.76	6.15	11.12
12	P ₂ × P ₅	50.83	79.83	120.21	13.02	21.78	6.95	3.81	7.25	12.01
13	P ₂ × P ₆	51.33	80.17	119.61	12.87	21.27	6.85	3.82	7.30	11.10
14	P ₂ × P ₇	50.67	79.67	121.38	12.88	22.70	6.89	3.82	7.28	11.93
15	P ₂ × P ₈	54.17	83.17	121.17	13.60	23.67	6.25	3.63	6.23	10.15
16	P ₂ × P ₉	53.17	81.83	120.65	13.37	24.14	6.30	3.68	6.07	10.17
17	P ₂ × P ₁₀	49.33	80.33	122.76	12.93	22.40	6.87	3.76	7.30	12.02
18	P ₃ × P ₄	54.33	83.50	118.60	13.38	25.40	7.08	3.58	6.38	11.68
19	P ₃ × P ₅	50.17	80.17	121.23	12.93	23.14	7.66	3.81	7.27	11.99
20	P ₃ × P ₆	50.33	79.83	119.00	13.80	22.67	7.74	3.82	7.20	11.38
21	P ₃ × P ₇	50.17	79.17	120.05	12.87	24.24	7.84	3.82	7.12	11.97
22	P ₃ × P ₈	53.67	83.00	118.89	13.35	23.87	7.16	3.67	6.08	10.48
23	P ₃ × P ₉	53.50	82.50	120.30	13.27	24.54	7.18	3.68	6.12	10.73
24	P ₃ × P ₁₀	50.33	78.83	118.84	12.87	23.64	7.74	3.84	7.25	11.77
25	P ₄ × P ₅	53.17	82.17	91.21	12.95	23.60	7.66	3.76	7.68	12.08
26	P ₄ × P ₆	52.83	82.17	89.30	13.00	23.07	7.69	3.79	7.63	12.00
27	P ₄ × P ₇	52.00	82.00	93.57	12.90	24.57	7.83	3.83	7.82	11.87
28	P ₄ × P ₈	54.50	83.50	86.87	13.52	24.04	7.21	3.66	6.52	11.28
29	P ₄ × P ₉	55.00	83.33	88.95	13.37	23.14	7.20	3.73	6.45	11.38
30	P ₄ × P ₁₀	51.83	81.67	89.35	12.77	24.27	7.76	3.81	7.87	12.03
31	P ₅ × P ₆	32.50	56.00	75.34	7.97	19.45	9.96	4.61	9.93	13.23
32	P ₅ × P ₇	32.83	56.33	73.28	7.72	19.03	9.98	4.56	9.95	13.25
33	P ₅ × P ₈	43.67	70.83	68.60	11.58	18.18	8.41	4.11	8.30	9.19
34	P ₅ × P ₉	43.33	71.17	70.42	11.17	18.47	8.38	4.12	8.28	9.30
35	P ₅ × P ₁₀	34.83	57.17	73.61	8.07	19.17	9.99	4.59	10.02	13.16
36	P ₆ × P ₇	33.67	57.00	74.02	8.05	19.04	10.13	4.58	10.18	13.15
37	P ₆ × P ₈	44.50	72.00	70.01	11.88	19.40	8.38	4.08	8.38	8.77
38	P ₆ × P ₉	43.67	72.00	70.82	11.77	19.54	8.43	4.03	8.26	8.57
39	P ₆ × P ₁₀	34.83	57.50	73.11	8.05	20.40	10.14	4.59	10.17	12.87
40	P ₇ × P ₈	44.33	72.00	70.83	11.97	19.41	8.48	4.10	8.35	8.87
41	P ₇ × P ₉	44.67	72.17	72.26	11.82	18.24	8.50	4.18	8.38	8.90
42	P ₇ × P ₁₀	35.17	58.17	74.09	8.33	19.88	10.32	4.62	10.27	12.86
43	P ₈ × P ₉	54.33	83.17	68.68	12.93	19.91	7.38	3.65	6.08	8.91
44	P ₈ × P ₁₀	52.00	80.67	70.57	13.00	21.70	7.56	3.62	6.55	9.01
45	P ₉ × P ₁₀	50.83	79.67	71.30	12.85	21.90	8.01	3.66	7.07	9.65
F₁ Hybrid mean		47.94	76.02	93.93	12.11	21.95	8.06	4.00	7.82	10.82
Parents										
1	P ₁	47.00	76.00	82.32	11.94	20.72	8.50	4.17	8.55	8.52
2	P ₂	53.50	81.67	119.54	13.74	23.23	6.28	3.62	6.03	10.24
3	P ₃	54.17	82.67	126.26	13.68	24.00	7.11	3.70	6.07	11.32
4	P ₄	56.00	84.67	93.63	13.88	22.97	7.21	3.58	7.10	11.08
5	P ₅	39.00	65.17	63.65	10.02	16.70	8.66	4.11	8.28	9.14
6	P ₆	39.00	66.33	64.93	10.10	15.53	8.73	4.16	8.53	8.99
7	P ₇	39.33	66.17	64.77	9.93	16.80	8.84	4.16	8.73	9.20
8	P ₈	54.17	82.17	67.29	12.67	21.45	7.12	3.58	6.00	8.57
9	P ₉	53.17	81.17	73.72	13.37	23.78	7.71	3.57	6.72	9.10
10	P ₁₀	41.67	70.33	70.72	9.95	17.45	8.73	4.12	8.72	10.52
Parental mean		47.70	75.63	82.68	11.93	20.26	7.89	3.88	7.47	9.67
Grand mean		47.89	75.95	91.88	12.08	21.65	8.03	3.98	7.75	10.62
CV		2.67	2.22	3.01	3.39	4.39	2.40	2.17	3.41	5.95
CD 5%		2.05	2.70	4.40	0.65	1.51	0.30	0.17	0.42	1.01
Range	Lowest	32.50	56.00	63.65	7.72	15.53	6.22	3.58	6.00	8.24
	Highest	56.00	84.67	126.26	13.95	25.40	10.32	4.62	10.27	13.25

Table 2: Contd...

Sr. No.	Genotypes	Shelling percentage (%)	Number of pods/100g	100 green seed weight (g)	Protein content (%)	Total soluble solids (^o Brix)	Reducing sugars (%)	Non reducing sugar (%)	Total sugars (%)	Green pod yield/plant (g)
1	P ₁ × P ₂	43.91	18.58	42.25	19.95	12.77	0.21	3.81	4.02	49.11
2	P ₁ × P ₃	44.50	18.73	43.06	19.15	12.20	0.19	3.88	4.07	49.58
3	P ₁ × P ₄	44.03	19.02	43.23	20.51	12.75	0.21	3.54	3.75	54.12
4	P ₁ × P ₅	46.66	15.69	46.54	22.42	14.48	0.25	4.66	4.91	72.55
5	P ₁ × P ₆	45.61	15.70	46.90	20.40	14.65	0.21	4.50	4.71	73.47
6	P ₁ × P ₇	46.09	15.67	47.89	21.16	13.78	0.28	4.37	4.65	72.49
7	P ₁ × P ₈	44.04	18.61	43.15	19.04	12.57	0.23	3.98	4.20	50.97
8	P ₁ × P ₉	44.39	17.88	42.88	19.17	12.75	0.23	4.09	4.32	52.88
9	P ₁ × P ₁₀	46.42	15.86	46.85	22.38	14.95	0.27	4.33	4.60	69.82
10	P ₂ × P ₃	45.01	21.53	40.75	18.87	12.58	0.20	3.39	3.59	50.73
11	P ₂ × P ₄	43.62	21.43	41.29	20.46	12.57	0.21	3.20	3.41	52.73
12	P ₂ × P ₅	45.87	20.11	43.54	21.57	14.05	0.23	3.50	3.73	63.62
13	P ₂ × P ₆	45.73	20.05	43.91	20.22	14.57	0.21	3.52	3.72	62.15
14	P ₂ × P ₇	45.92	19.99	43.72	20.74	13.65	0.24	3.87	4.11	63.23
15	P ₂ × P ₈	44.90	21.56	42.69	18.66	12.22	0.21	3.33	3.54	51.98
16	P ₂ × P ₉	45.62	20.78	41.90	19.11	12.68	0.22	3.13	3.35	51.99
17	P ₂ × P ₁₀	45.59	20.08	43.20	21.58	13.27	0.24	3.59	3.83	63.73
18	P ₃ × P ₄	43.80	20.97	40.35	19.07	12.42	0.21	3.42	3.63	59.15
19	P ₃ × P ₅	44.59	20.07	42.76	19.47	14.18	0.22	3.71	3.93	63.39
20	P ₃ × P ₆	44.98	20.08	43.03	19.09	13.92	0.22	3.74	3.95	60.61
21	P ₃ × P ₇	45.04	20.12	43.33	20.21	13.27	0.24	3.68	3.92	63.70
22	P ₃ × P ₈	44.97	21.54	42.21	17.88	12.95	0.22	3.35	3.57	51.12
23	P ₃ × P ₉	44.69	21.40	42.75	18.48	13.40	0.23	3.25	3.48	53.54
24	P ₃ × P ₁₀	45.85	20.11	43.57	20.57	13.70	0.24	3.78	4.02	64.01
25	P ₄ × P ₅	45.07	20.88	42.87	23.06	14.13	0.27	3.77	4.03	63.97
26	P ₄ × P ₆	45.73	20.96	43.70	21.19	13.93	0.27	3.68	3.94	62.99
27	P ₄ × P ₇	45.22	20.89	43.37	22.22	13.35	0.27	3.78	4.04	63.38
28	P ₄ × P ₈	43.55	21.80	42.37	21.19	12.55	0.25	3.26	3.50	52.90
29	P ₄ × P ₉	44.53	21.61	42.52	20.81	12.82	0.23	3.39	3.62	56.25
30	P ₄ × P ₁₀	45.43	20.86	42.92	22.37	13.27	0.28	3.77	4.05	63.52
31	P ₅ × P ₆	50.63	14.37	54.87	25.61	17.30	0.33	5.63	5.96	78.71
32	P ₅ × P ₇	51.88	14.08	55.72	25.13	17.03	0.35	5.56	5.90	78.89
33	P ₅ × P ₈	48.68	17.41	47.21	22.99	14.38	0.27	4.19	4.46	63.61
34	P ₅ × P ₉	48.33	16.91	47.65	23.23	14.42	0.25	4.19	4.44	64.23
35	P ₅ × P ₁₀	52.92	14.33	56.22	26.14	17.25	0.35	5.70	6.05	79.66
36	P ₆ × P ₇	52.93	14.89	55.38	24.36	17.23	0.33	5.58	5.91	78.09
37	P ₆ × P ₈	48.13	18.43	47.80	20.80	14.82	0.25	4.12	4.37	64.68
38	P ₆ × P ₉	47.80	17.56	48.34	20.49	15.62	0.25	4.20	4.45	63.64
39	P ₆ × P ₁₀	52.95	14.67	56.07	24.73	17.07	0.33	5.56	5.88	81.87
40	P ₇ × P ₈	48.14	18.03	48.09	22.35	13.47	0.27	4.11	4.37	64.38
41	P ₇ × P ₉	48.11	18.09	46.69	21.99	14.53	0.26	4.12	4.38	61.33
42	P ₇ × P ₁₀	52.71	15.03	56.08	25.37	17.03	0.34	5.68	6.02	81.88
43	P ₈ × P ₉	42.12	21.19	42.86	19.00	12.17	0.23	3.33	3.62	49.60
44	P ₈ × P ₁₀	42.76	20.62	44.74	20.99	13.10	0.22	3.38	3.78	51.44
45	P ₉ × P ₁₀	43.32	20.80	43.05	21.69	13.57	0.23	3.50	3.88	52.99
F₁ Hybrid mean		46.28	18.87	45.56	21.24	13.99	0.25	4.00	4.26	62.19
Parents										
1	P ₁	43.29	16.95	45.38	20.18	11.80	0.20	4.08	4.28	52.59
2	P ₂	44.62	21.20	42.01	19.09	12.93	0.18	3.30	3.48	50.73
3	P ₃	43.47	21.28	41.00	17.96	12.38	0.17	3.28	3.45	52.32
4	P ₄	42.82	21.93	40.93	21.43	12.30	0.19	3.37	3.55	53.30
5	P ₅	45.88	16.65	47.88	23.24	14.48	0.24	4.31	4.55	55.80
6	P ₆	45.61	16.74	47.06	20.95	14.42	0.22	4.28	4.49	55.04
7	P ₇	47.15	16.34	47.12	22.01	14.12	0.24	4.17	4.41	55.55
8	P ₈	43.12	21.63	43.73	18.90	11.97	0.20	3.25	3.44	46.94
9	P ₉	43.36	21.21	43.00	19.30	13.27	0.22	3.06	3.28	46.78
10	P ₁₀	46.97	16.67	47.82	22.15	14.10	0.23	4.39	4.62	60.84
Parental mean		44.63	19.06	44.59	20.52	13.18	0.21	3.75	3.95	52.99
Grand mean		45.98	18.91	45.39	21.11	13.83	0.24	3.96	4.20	60.52
CV		2.13	3.52	3.43	1.24	2.66	5.35	2.82	2.65	4.44
CD 5%		1.58	1.06	2.49	0.42	0.58	0.02	0.17	0.17	4.31
Range	Lowest	42.12	40.35	40.35	17.96	11.80	0.17	3.06	3.28	46.94
	Highest	52.95	56.22	56.22	26.14	17.30	0.35	5.70	6.05	81.88