# Original Research Article

Response of Inorganic Fertilizers, Crop Residues, Organic Manure and Biofertilizer on Soil Health and Yield attributesof Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L.)

#### **Abstract**

During Kharif season 2021-22 at the central research farm of the Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry in Naini Agriculture Institute research was carried out on response of cowpea by applying crop residues, organic manure, inorganic and Bio-fertilizers for effective crop growth. The experiment trial was conducted based on Randomize Block design with 9 treatments and 3 replications. The results revealed that the soil bulk density ranged from 1.15 to 1.45 Mg m<sup>-3</sup>, particles density ranged from 2.04 to 2.44 Mg m<sup>-3</sup>, and pore space ranged from 40.69% to 45.62%. The pH ranged from 6.63 to 7.27, E.C from 0.146 to 0.253 dS m<sup>-1</sup>, Soil Organic carbon ranged from 0.344 to 0.627%, Available Nitrogen ranged from 142.38 to 248.39 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, Phosphorus ranged from 15.28 to 35.37 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, Potassium 179.63 to 240.67 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively. T<sub>3</sub> was shown the best yield compared to respective treatments which is 19.45 q ha<sup>-1</sup>Thus, it indicates that the process of integrated nutrient management may be a better option for the physical and chemical condition of the soil to achieve better growth and yield attributes for Cowpea.

Keywords: Cowpea, Crop residues, FYM, NPK, Rhizobium and SoilHealth

## **Introduction:**

In India consumption of pulses is highest in where majority of population is vegetarian. Pulses contain a high percentage of quality protein nearly three times as much as cereals (**Upadhyay** et al., 2016). The per capita availability of pulses in India is 45.5 g day<sup>-1</sup> as against the minimum requirement of 70 g day <sup>-1</sup> as advocatedby Indian Council of Medical Research.Cowpea fixed atmospheric nitrogen resulting increase in soil fertility. Its quick growth and rapid ground cover checks soils erosion, and root decay in-situ produces nitrogen-rich residues that improve soil fertility and structure. It has the high vegetative growth and covers the ground surface resulting check the soil erosion in highly degradable areas. Cowpea leaves are known to be rich in proteins, vitamins such as provitamin A, folate, thiamine, riboflavin, and vitamin C, and minerals, such as calcium, phosphorus, and iron(Xiong et al., 2016). Nitrogen is vitally important for plant nutrient. Nitrogen is essential constituent of protein and is present in many other compounds of great physiological importance in plant metabolism. Nitrogen is called a basic constituent of life(Choudhary et al., 2017). Phosphorus is an essential constituent of majority of enzymes, which are of great importance in the transformation of energy, in carbohydrate metabolism, fat metabolism,in respiration, photosynthesis, energy storage, cell elongation and improves the quality of crops of plants. It enhances the activity of rhizobium and increased the formation of root nodules (Sudharani et al., 2020). Potassium also playsa vital role in carbon sequestration in soil. It helps in cell osmo-regulation, turgor maintenance and cell expansion. It imparts increased vigour and disease resistance to plant and function as an activator of numerous enzymes, regulates water conduction within the plant cell and water loss from the plant by maintaining the balance between anabolism, respiration and transpiration (Salem et al., 2012). Crop residues are a potential source of organic matter in soils. Essentially, the presence of organic matter in soils is responsible for improved chemical and physical properties of the soil through mineralization and gelation of soil particles. Crop straw can be incorporated into soil to provide readily available nutrients and to minimize the loss of crop straw(Bhowateet al., 2017). FYM is important organic manures which supplies a suitable mineral balance and improve nutrient availability by enzymes. It is increasing cell permeability and hormonal growth and make availability of essential nutrients in available form to the plants through biological decomposition and improve physical-chemical properties of soil such as aggregation, aeration, permeability(Singh et al., 2019). The use of biofertilizers are more ecofriendly in nature. They can play a significant role in fixing atmospheric nitrogen; biofertilizers enrich soil fertility and improves soil fertility of these biofertilizers. The seed of pulses is inoculated with Rhizobium with an objective of increasing their number in the rhizosphere, so that there is substantial increase in the microbiologically fixed nitrogen for the plant growth (Meena *et al.*, 2016).

### **Materials and Methods**

A field experiment was conducted during *Kharif* in 2021-22. The trial was carried out in randomized block design with three levels of NPK, Rhizobium and FYM. The treatments were replicated three times and were allocated at random in each replication. The soil of experimental area falls in order of Inceptisol and in Experimental plot was alluvial soil. The soil samples were randomly collected from each plot in the experiment plot and analysed as standard method protocol given in Table.1. The treatment were fallowed during experiment trial T<sub>1</sub> [NPK @ 100% + Wheat straw @ 25% + FYM @ 25%], T<sub>2</sub>[NPK @ 100% + Wheat straw @ 50% + FYM @ 50%], T<sub>3</sub> [NPK @ 100% + Wheat straw @ 100% + FYM @ 100%], T<sub>4</sub> [NPK @ 100% + Rice straw @ 25% + FYM @ 25%],T<sub>5</sub>[NPK @ 100% + Rice straw @ 50% + FYM @ 50%],T<sub>6</sub> [NPK @ 100% + Rice straw @ 100% + FYM @ 100%],T<sub>7</sub> [NPK @ 100% + Mustard straw @ 25% + FYM @ 25%], T<sub>8</sub> [NPK @ 100% + Mustard straw @ 50% + FYM @ 50%] and T<sub>9</sub>[NPK@ 100% + Mustard straw @ 100% + FYM @ 100%]. The seeds were inoculated with rhizobium @ 20 gm kg-1 seed at the time of sowing. The recommended dose of nitrogen (20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) through urea, phosphorus (30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) through DAP, potassium (10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) through MOP and FYM through Cow dung were applied as basal as per treatments. Seed inoculated with Rhizobium at 10g kg<sup>-1</sup>.

## **Results and Discussion**

As found in respect to the response of inorganic fertilizer, crop residues, organic manure and bio-fertilizer on the physical properties of soil after harvesting of cowpea is given in table 2&3. The statistical data for bulk density is significant for Bulk density and Particle density of soil. The Physical properties observation of sample collected from 0-15cm and 15-30 cm shows Bulk density (Mg m<sup>-3</sup>) and Particle density (Mg m<sup>-3</sup>) increasing by soil depth whereas Percentage Pore space decreasing by soil depth, as 0-15 cm soil depth includes, Bulk density 1.23Mg m<sup>-3</sup>, Particle density 2.18 Mg m<sup>-3</sup> and Pore space 45.62% whereas 15-30 cm soil depth includes Bulk density 1.45Mg m<sup>-3</sup>, Particle density 2.44 Mg m<sup>-3</sup> and Pore space. Observation in respect to the Response of Inorganic fertilizer, Crop residues, Organic manure

and Bio-fertilizer on the chemical properties of soil after harvesting of Cowpea is given in Table.2&3. The statistical data was significant for soil pH. The chemical properties observation of sample collected from 0-15 cm and 15-30cm shows pH and EC increasing by soil depth and Organic carbon, Available Nitrogen, Available Phosphorous, Available Potassium decreasing by soil depth as 0-15 cm soil depth includes pH 6.93,EC 0.173 dS m<sup>-1</sup>, Organic carbon 0.627%, Available Nitrogen,248.39 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Available Phosphorous35.37 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, Available Potassium 240.67 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> whereas 15-30 cm soil depth includes pH 6.93, EC 0.173 dS m<sup>-1</sup>, Organic carbon 0.627%, Available Nitrogen 199.15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Available Phosphorous 30.73 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, Available Potassium 208.23 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

## Conclusion

Based on the result of research, it is conducted that the T<sub>3</sub> has shown the best result on effective of growth of plant and crop production which has applied 25 N Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>,50 P kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 50 K kg ha<sup>-1</sup> with FYM 5t ha<sup>-1</sup> and Wheat Straw 6t ha<sup>-1</sup> which has shown highest yield @ 19.45 q ha<sup>-1</sup> and using 100% FYM, Crop residue (wheat straw) which has shown shows pH and EC increasing by soil depth and Organic carbon, Available Nitrogen, Available Phosphorous, Available Potassium decreasing by soil depth as 0-15 cm soil depth includes pH Organic carbon 0.627%, Available Nitrogen,248.39 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Available Phosphorous35.37 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, Available Potassium 240.67 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> whereas 15-30 cm soil depth includes pH 6.93, EC 0.173 dS m<sup>-1</sup>, Organic carbon 0.627%, Available Nitrogen 199.15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Available Phosphorous 30.73 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, Available Potassium 208.23 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and has shown significant treatment for crop growth.

## **References:**

- Bhowate, R.T., and Bansod, P.H. (2017) Effect of crop residues Incorporation on physical properties of soil and yield of green gram. *International Journal of Current Microbiology Applied Sciences*, 6 (9):3717-3730.
- Bouyoucos, G.J. (1927) The hydrometer as a new method for the mechanical analysis of soils. *Soil Science*.23, 343-353.
- Choudhary, G.L. and Yadav, L.R. (2017) Effect of fertility levels and foliar nutrition on cowpea productivity. *Journal of Food Legume*.

- Jackson, M.L. (1958) Soil Chemical analysis, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffe, N.J. New Delhi, 219-221.
- Joshi, D., Gediya, K.M., Gupta, S., Birari, M.M. Effect of organic manures on soil and quality parameters of cowpea [Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp] under middle Gujarat conditions. Agricultural Science Digest-A Research Journal. 2016;36(3):216-9.
- Meena, S., Swaroop, N. and Dawson, J. (2016) Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of green gram, *Agricultural Research Communication Centre*, 36 (1): 63-65
- Muthuval P., Udaysoorian, C., Natesan, R., Ramaswami, P.P. (1992) Introduction to Soil Analysis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India.
- Olsen, S.R., Cole, C.V., Watanabe, F.S. and Dean, L.A. (1954) Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO<sub>3</sub>). *U.S.D.A. Circular*. 939:19(1)
- Salem, H.M. and. Salam, M.A. Abdel (2012), Interaction Between Potassium and Organic Manure Application on Growth of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) And Soil Properties in Newly Reclaimed Sandy Soil *World Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 8 (2): 141-149
- Singh, R.K., Sharma, G.K., Kumar, P. and Singh, S.K. (2019) Effect of crop residues Managment on soil properties. *Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology*.
- Subbiah, B.V. and Asiija, E.C. (1956) A rapid procedure for estimation of available Nitrogen in soil, *Current Science* 25 (8):259-260
- Sudharani, Y., Mohapatra, P.P., Pattanaik, M., Hans H. and Maitra S. (2020) Effect of Phosphorus on Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata L.*) *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* 2020; 9(4): 425-427
- Toth, S.J. and Prince, A.L. (1949) Estimation of cation exchange capacity and exchangeable Ca, K and Na content of soil by flame photometer technique. *Soil science*. 67, 439-445.
- Upadhyay, R.G. and Singh, A. (2016) Effect of nitrogen and zinc on nodulation, growth and yield of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*), Agricultural Research Communication Centre, Legume Research, 39 (1): 149-151.

- Walkley, A. and Black, I. A. (1934) Estimation of soil Organic Carbon by wet oxidation method. *Soil Science*. 47: 29-38.
- Wilcox, L.V. (1950) Electrical Conductivity, American Water works Association. J .42: pp 775-776.
- Xiong H., Shi A., Mou B., Qin J., Motes D., (2016) Genetic diversity and population structure of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. *Walp*).
- Yadav, A.K., Naleeni, R., Dashrath, S. Effect of organic manures and biofertilizers on growth and yield parameters of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.)Walp.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 2019;**8**(2):271-4.

Table 1: Standard protocol followed to analysed the Physio-chemical parameters of soil

S.No.	Particulars	Protocols			
1.	Bulk density (Mg m <sup>-3</sup> )	Muthuval et al.,1992			
2.	Particles density (Mg m <sup>-3</sup> )	Muthuval et al., 1992			
3.	Texture	Bouyoucous,1927			
	(Sand, Silt Clay %)				
4.	Water holding capacity (%)	Muthuval et al., 1992			
5.	Soil pH (w/v)	Jackson,1958			
6.	Electrical Conductivity (dS m <sup>-1</sup> )	Wilcox, 1950			
7.	Organic Carbon (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Walkley and Black,1947			
8.	Available Nitrogen (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Subbiah and Asija,1956			
9.	Available Phosphorous (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Olsen et al.,1954			
10.	Available Potassium (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Toth and Prince,1949			

**Table 2:** Response of Inorganic fertilizer, Crop residues, Organic manure and Bio-fertilizer onBulk density, Particle density and Pore space.

Treatment	D <sub>B</sub> (M	Ig m <sup>-3</sup> )	D <sub>P</sub> (N	Ig m <sup>-3</sup> )	Pore space (%)	
	0-15 cm	15-30cm	0-15cm	15-30cm	0-15cm	15-30cm
T <sub>1</sub> [RDF@100%+Wheat straw@25%+FYM@25%]	1.18	1.41	2.14	2.41	44.94	41.52
T <sub>2</sub> [RDF@100%+Wheat straw@50%+FYM@50%]	1.16	1.40	2.09	2.39	44.23	41.52
$T_3[RDF@100\% + Wheat\ straw@100\% + FYM@100\%]$	1.15	1.38	2.04	2.38	43.55	41.73
T <sub>4</sub> [RDF@100%+Rice straw@25%+FYM@25%]	1.18	1.42	2.17	2.43	45.62	41.62
T <sub>5</sub> [RDF@100%+Rice straw@50%+FYM@50%]	1.17	1.40	2.10	2.40	44.22	41.374
T <sub>6</sub> [RDF@100%+Rice straw@100%+FYM@100%]	1.16	1.40	2.06	2.39	43.57	41.35
T <sub>7</sub> [ RDF@100%+Mustard straw@25%+FYM@25%]	1.23	1.45	2.18	2.44	43.78	40.69
T <sub>8</sub> [RDF@100%+Mustard straw@50%+FYM@50%]	1.18	1.41	2.12	2.43	44.40	41.95
$T_{9}[RDF@100\%+Mustard\ straw@100\%+FYM@100\%]$	1.17	1.41	2.08	2.40	43.62	41.34

Table3: Response of Inorganic fertilizer, Crop residues, Organic manure and Bio-fertilizer of pH, EC, Organic carbon, Available N,P, and K

Treatment	pН		EC (dS m <sup>-1</sup> )		OC(%)		N (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		P(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		K(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	
	0-15	15-30	0-15	15-30	0- 15	15-30	0-15	15-30	0-15	15-30	0-15	15-30
$T_1$	6.87	7.17	0.153	0.232	0.565	0.395	198.58	149.13	27.01	22.93	230.9	197.74
$T_2$	6.71	7.05	0.159	0.239	0.617	0.466	244.58	197.74	34.82	30.73	239.67	206.62
$T_3$	6.63	6.82	0.173	0.253	0.627	0.476	248.39	199.15	35.37	30.52	240.67	208.23
$T_4$	6.93	7.24	0.146	0.225	0.51	0.361	193.25	151.29	23.4	18.92	216.5	180.68
$T_5$	6.83	7.14	0.153	0.232	0.585	0.415	204.92	154.95	28.52	24.74	231.62	198.24
$T_6$	6.76	7.02	0.159	0.239	0.613	0.463	241.19	196.37	33.72	29.02	238.12	204.88
$T_7$	7.03	7.27	0.146	0.225	0.493	0.344	177.46	142.38	20.16	15.28	210.69	180.38
$T_8$	6.92	7.21	0.149	0.229	0.514	0.364	193.92	151.63	23.48	21.34	214.53	179.63
T <sub>9</sub>	6.84	7.15	0.156	0.236	0.575	0.405	202.25	151.54	28.6	24.51	229.77	195.84

OC = Organic carbon, N = Available Nitrogen, P = Available Phosphorous,K = Available Potassium

