

### **Basic Concepts of High throughput Metabolomics in Plants**

#### **Abstract-**

Each cell contains many different metabolites and chemical molecules which are generated during cellular process. All the metabolites present in a cell at a particular time is called metabolome. The study of all the metabolites and their modification in a particular condition is called metabolomics. Metabolome is closely linked with genotype, physiology and environment. So, in a nutshell, metabolomics is the study of substrates and products of metabolism which are influenced by the genetic and environmental factors. In plants, metabolomics has now been frequently developed and studied in biotic and abiotic stress resistance. High throughput metabolomics includes time efficient and effective metabolite profiling techniques. These techniques are chromatography based and chromatography free methods. Chromatographic methods are NMR, GC-MS and LC-MS. Chromatography free techniques include DI-MS, FI-MS, MALDI and Ambient MS. This paper will give an idea about how metabolomics work in elucidating plants phenotype, how sample is prepared for metabolite profiling, different techniques of metabolite profiling and various metabolomic databases.

**Keywords-**Metabolomics, plants, chromatography, metabolite profiling, metabolomic databases

#### **Introduction-**

The "metabolome" refers to a plant's or any other organism's whole complement of tiny molecules. It denotes the final phenotype of cells, as determined by gene expression and protein modifications. The phenotypic expressions are influenced by environmental stimuli and genetic mutation (Saito et al.2010). A complete metabolome of a single plant thus represent highly active

component which is influenced by spatial and temporal components (Fiehn, 2002). Metabolome may be further spatially characterized as a component of single plant which may be single plant organs, tissues, and a single cell with easily distinct chemical profiles (Ebert et al., 2010; Sumner et al., 2011).




Figure 1- The Cascade of Omics

Plants are thought to be most dynamic biochemical machinery (Hall, 2006), and hence constitute vast naturally occurring chemical libraries that have been inadequately used to yet (Saito and Matsuda, 2010). There are many plant species which has never been studied for biochemical insight and even if biochemical studies has been done then those biochemicals are not structurally defined. The diversity of these naturally available resources have valuable utilization in the coming era in both scientific study (allowing for a more comprehensive knowledge of plant based metabolites) and practical research (e.g., as a medicinal component, flavoring agent compound development).

#### Utility of Metabolomics-

Plant scientists have been able to go through a deep knowledge of cell biochemistry thanks to metabolomics and related technique that has swiftly developed a solid foothold in research as suggested by Hall (2007). These techniques have now been used to a wide range of taxa, both cultivated and wild, to address a wide range of biological issues of scientific and industrial importance. Many earlier studies have dealt with main metabolites, for which the synthesis pathways are well defined along with their genetic background. (Giavalisco et al., 2009). Authentic standards are also widely accessible, allowing for both conclusive identification and complete quantification. However, **extensive** researches have been undertaken in the very complex and **inadequately** understood secondary metabolite pathways. **These secondary metabolite pathways biologically important since they are linked to abiotic or biotic stress tolerance**, as well as other environmental and organism interactions, food quality attributes like taste, organoleptic qualities and Use in medicine field and other things. Polyphenolics (Tikunov et al., 2010), alkaloids (Kim et al., 2010), brassinosteroid, and glucosinolate (Hall et al., 2010) studies have all made significant progress in the field of metabolomics.

### **General Procedure of metabolite profiling-**

Researches in plant science field require variability in at least one genetic or environmental variation in order to get information about response due to change. For a successful research, before going on to chemical studies, all the prior steps should be well planned like from which tissue the sampling should be done, how sample will be taken etc.

### **Experimental design and plant growth**

Plant metabolomics studies are based on thousands of metabolites that vastly outnumber the sample quantity, raising the possibility of false discovery. For minimizing the errors, it is very important to know the possible cause of error at various fundamental stages from plant growth to sample storage and dissemination in order to reduce experimental error. Knowing the cause of error will help in establishing "experimental design," as well as for making strategy in such a way that errors can be minimized to the possible extent (Anderson and Whitcomb, 2007). It can be achieved through small-scale preparatory experiments before moving on to larger-scale trials. Adopting an appropriate statistical design for an experimental research along with proper labeling can also help in reducing non assignable variations. (Hinkelmann and Kempthorne, 2008;). The environment must be managed during culture, and changes in certain main environmental variables (such as fertiliser, temperature, and/or light) must be recorded. Even in regulated conditions like greenhouses or growth chambers, slight deviations might produce biochemical status changes. Data documentation and storage are also essential for data analysis (Hannemann et al., 2009). As a result, the metadata format for plant growth should be simple to record and share. If all else fails due to high analytical costs, biological sample replication is essential and should be preferred to extraction and analytical. It's necessary to strike a balance between sample size and throughput. The experimental design must specify the number and

composition of biological replicates. Replication is necessary for incorporating and measuring biological differences, as well as providing a sample.

## **Harvest-**

For the metabolite studies, the harvesting techniques of plant or plant part need to include several major points like at what age, from which tissue and at what time the plant or plant part should be removed. If there is no standard technique of harvesting then there can be uncontrolled variability due to above factors. These factors become more significant when there are multiple pickings within single experiment season or when each session requires several operators to keep its time to a minimum. Whenever available, dedicated ontologies or reference articles (e.g., Boyes et al., 2001 and Brukhin et al. 2003) should be used to define the age, or preferably the developmental stage, of the plants or their organs in relation to standardised growth conditions and/or phenology de Hours for seedlings in controlled environments. If the age of the organ is uncertain, sample homogeneity can be improved by using some other defined characteristics like organ aspect, colour, weight and size. Even for an organ with well defined growth stages, the sampling time and procedure need to be exact (Fiehn et al., 2007). Because the occurrence of specific chemical activity of metabolite in leaf (Kim and Verpoorte, 2010) and also in fruit (Ma and Cheng, 2003) is time specific, the harvest time must be accurately set and the length limited. The organ's location (for example, fruit position) must be determined. Similar climatic conditions for each harvest on an open field are preferred. Plant parts should be treated with care and preserved in appropriate to avoid any damage and oxidation of metabolite. For keeping the metabolite intact in the sample, the enzyme activity should be prevented (AP Rees and Hill, 1994). This can be done by keeping the sample at the freezing temperature or by keeping it in liquid nitrogen.


## **Sample Collection**

An appropriate technique of sample collection is necessary for metabolite analysis, as well as samples that are representative of the overall population to be studied. Various plant material need further caution (e.g., different plant parts are made of different tissues). Each sample must be specified before storage, because the sample must fully represent the total tissue in the organ. Working as quickly as possible is important since the use of blades and scalpels produces wound stressors that influence chemical activity metabolite. Surfaces uncovered after incision should ideally be kept to a minimum. Microdissection necessitates the use of specialised equipment and processes. The pieces can be ground right away after being completely frozen in liquid nitrogen, or put into labeled nonreactive containers and held at 80 degree Celsius until grinding is practicable, before being distributed into tubes and stored at 80 degree Celsius. Extraction of metabolite components for all samples for a specific experiment must follow the similar procedure. Sample grinding is normally necessary to maximise solvent extraction and to homogenise the sample material (Markert, 1995); nevertheless, contamination or volatilization of some chemicals of interest (Markert, 1995) need to be reduced. Despite the possibility of volatile

component alteration during freezing, samples are generally ground frozen for metabolomics (Douillard and Guichard, 1990). Robotized grinding automation must be considered for HTP metabolomics research. Quality assurance and quality control (QC) are critical throughout the sample preparation process, right from growing the sample in field to its storage in the laboratory and distribution to chemical analyzers. The samples should be properly identifiable. This can be achieved by barcoding or by cataloguing the information in the databases.

### Sample Storage-

An experiment's samples should all be stored in the same way. Sample storage conditions and duration must be monitored and recorded. Plant samples are rarely studied for the influence of long-term preservation on their metabolites (Ryan and Robards, 2006). As a result, while dealing with several families of compounds utilising a mix of extractions and analytical procedures, it is worthful to suggest a few compounds as "markers" of excellent storage conditions and duration. Solid phase micro extraction trapping of volatile chemicals (Tholl et al., 2006) may be conducted on full organs which can be kept as tissue pieces, or powdered tissue at 80 degree Celsius. Tissue samples can be preserved as raw or lyophilized samples, depending on the required analysis. Freeze-drying will result in the loss of volatiles, hence fresh-frozen samples are required for analytical evaluation of highly volatile chemicals (Keinanen and Julkunen-Titto, 1996). It should be kept in mind that freeze-drying might result in the permanent loss of certain metabolites due to irreversible attachment to cell walls or membranes, despite the fact that freezing disrupts enzymatic reactions and microbial degradation during storage (Dunn et al., 2005a). Stability during sample storage is an important component that is seldom assessed, hence storage conditions must be regulated. Fruit that has been frozen for a long period has been found to change several aromatic components (Ma et al.2007). Typically, metabolite samples are kept at 80 degrees Celsius. It is advised that lyophilized samples be maintained at 20°C and in dry circumstances (Salminen, 2003). Tissue samples and extracts may need to be preserved in tubes filled with nitrogen or argon gas to prevent oxidation for certain reasons (Erban et al., 2007).






Figure 1- Some important high throughput metabolomics techniques

## A) Chromatography based Metabolomics-

### 1 .GC- MS Metabolite Profiling

Phenotyping through metabolic profiling using Gas chromatography Mass spectrometer has now become an important part of functional genomic analysis (Fernie et al., 2004) and is about to become a regular technical practice to generate useful data (Allwood et al., 2009). This finding adds significantly towards growth of metabolomics (Fiehn et al., 2000). Six general steps are involved in metabolite profiling using GC–MS:

- 1) Extraction of metabolite from the plant or organ
- 2) Derivation of metabolite in the form which can be used for gas chromatography

- 3) GC separation- Because it uses automated sample insertion robots, highly standardised gas flow settings, temperature programming, and uniform capillary column material, GC may be extremely reliable.
- 4) Ionization of compound- Electron impact (EI) method is widely used as it is highly reproducible.
- 5) Detection of molecule and fragment ions with time resolution. TOF detectors that can be set to rapid scanning speeds are preferred for mass separation and detection.
- 6) GC–MS data file acquisition and assessment

## Data preprocessing in GC-MS

In order to construct metabolite or chemical feature matrices for statistical computations, automated data analysis tools are required. Wagner et al., 2003 suggested retention indices and mass spectra in GC–MS profiling investigations for statistical computation of metabolite expression. Many tool have been created till now for compound recognition along with different aspects of metabolite profiling. In academia, many data preparation procedures have been used. One basic technique employs mass spectrum deconvolution first, then quantifies based on deconvoluted (mass fragment) abundances. If the goal is to conduct extensive metabolic profiling investigation, one should first detect entire metabolic characteristics, which necessitates the requirement of whole data set deconvolution through Chroma Time of flight technique (Lisec et al., 2006). But if the goal is to find metabolite differences in the samples, a more rapid approach would be to use generic software like TagFinder (Luedemann et al. 2011) or MetAlign. The present more pressing problem is identifying metabolites in complicated combinations in an automated and precise manner. Even today, human judgements are crucial for harmonizing and categorization of mass spectra or mass spectral tags (MSTs). Many software tools like NIST or GMD (Golm Metabolome Database) are available that sustain both MST recognition and interpretation of unknown mass spectral features (Hummel et al., 2010). A laboratory undertaking routine metabolic profiling, on the other hand, need to have their own pure reference library that are examined following being subjected to the same processing and analysis parameters as the profiled samples for unambiguous identification.

## 2. LC–MS Metabolite Profiling

For metabolic profiling of semi-polar secondary metabolites such as phenols, flavonoids, and glucosinolates, HPLC together with MS (in short LC–MS) is the recommended approach. These chemicals can be extracted with methanol solutions and evaluated without the need for previous derivatization. In LC–MS , ionization is commonly done through soft ionisation methods, ike electrospray ionisation (ESI) or atmospheric pressure chemical ionisation, which either produce positive or negative molecular ions. Modern high-resolution mass spectrometers, such as TOF/MS, ion cyclotron FT-MS, or Orbitrap FT-MS, can profile many compounds from

plant extracts while also calculating elemental formulas from the discovered masses (Allwood and Goodacre, 2010). A comprehensive information about the relative abundance of hundreds of known and undiscovered semi-polar metabolites may be acquired through an essentially unbiased technique that undertakes all metabolite mass signals from the LC–MS raw data files (de Vos et al., 2007). C18-based reversed phase columns are often used in LC–MS-based metabolomics techniques to achieve optimum separation of large quantity of semi-polar chemicals that can be there in raw plant extracts (Hanhineva et al., 2008). This method has been used for secondary metabolite analysis in a variety of species, including Arabidopsis, crucifers, solanaceae family as well as plant tissues (Adato et al., 2009).

### **Data preprocessing in LC–MS profiling**

MetAlign is a software which is freely available tool for untargeted processing of raw data files from LC–MS and GC–MS instruments from a variety of vendors (download at [www.metalalign.wur.nl](http://www.metalalign.wur.nl)). For up to hundreds of samples, it conducts various operations like correction of local baseline, selection of unbiased peak, and alignment of mass peak, which altogether results in mass intensity signals. This software is ideal in case where mass spectrometry instruments have limited mass precision, such as the QTOF Ultima, since it allows you to choose window for precise mass computation. The information obtained from quality control samples can be used for consistent peak selection and grouping after processing. For recognised chemicals, around 70–80% of all peaks should be present in all quality control samples, with less than 20% variation and less than 3 ppm mass deviation. Thus the resulted peak table can be further utilized to remove signals that are inconsistent, low (noisy), or saturated. By adopting a mass spectra reconstruction technique, mass signals arising from the same sample can be classified as per their related retention duration and relative intensities across samples (Tikunov et al. 2010). LC–MS signals from the same metabolite are grouped and replaced by a single representative metabolite signals.. To identify the important secondary metabolites, data-dependent tandem-MS/MS and MS<sup>n</sup> fragmentation studies can be used (de Vos et al., 2007).

### **3. <sup>1</sup>H Nuclear magnetic Resonance (NMR)**

Despite the fact that NMR spectroscopy has been a prominent technology in metabolomics since 2000 (Ludwig and Viant, 2010), it is underutilised in plant metabolomics compared to mammalian metabolomics. NMR can be either monodimensional or may have several dimensions. HSQC (heteronuclear single quantum coherence spectroscopy) and 2D JRES (2D 1 H 1 J-resolved) spectroscopy are dominant and frequently crucial methods for metabolite identification, although 1D 1 H NMR has mostly been used in metabolomics until recently.



Rapid spectrum collection and the ability to perform quantification are key factors in  $1D\ ^1H$  NMR's success in metabolomics. Furthermore, NMR gives comprehensive molecule structure information and does not degrade the sample during the measurement.

Plant sample preparation for NMR usually involves many procedures. The technique of extraction has a significant impact on the subsequent identification of metabolites, and samples are always tested promptly after extraction. Although the processing of sap or fruit juice samples is faster than that of tissues, proteins present in extracted compounds need to be neutralized, either with alcohol like **methanol** or by high temperature treatment (Biais et al., 2009). The amount of sample needed in NMR method is quite higher (200–500 mg fresh weight) than that chromatographic procedures with or without mass spectrometry (60 mg fresh weight). Since water is the most component of plant tissue and is made up of two atoms of hydrogen that may be detected using NMR, it is critical to remove it using lyophilization or cryodessication. This step should be conducted after cryogrinding for maximum efficiency, since fine plant powder aids in the freeze-drying and extraction processes (Kim and Verpoorte, 2010).

For NMR based metabolite profiling, high-field devices with superconducting magnet coils operating at liquid helium temperature should be used. The chief commercially accessible field is currently 23.5 T, which corresponds to a  $^1H$  frequency of 1 GHz. The ordinary fields generally used for plant metabolite profiling have a  $^1H$  frequency of 400–600 MHz. A console (high-frequency channels for excitation and acquisition, gradient amplifier unit), a probe head, and an autosampler are also part of an NMR spectrometer. Tunable broadband inverse gradient probe heads (e.g., Bruker's ATMA-BBi and Varian's ProTune Auto-X ID) flushed with nitrogen gas (from a nitrogen separator enriching  $N_2$  to roughly 98 percent of compressed air) and temperature regulated are advised automatically. Water's chemical change is temperature dependent. For  $^1H$  detection, inverse or indirect detection probe heads give the best signal-to-noise ratio. Standard probe heads have a diameter of 5 mm and need a sample volume of 500–600  $\mu$ L. (Ross et al., 2007). HTP sample handling is made possible by autosamplers or robotic sample changers, which allow for the mechanical changing of NMR tubes according to a predefined programme. In terms of tubes, it is advised to always use same quality tube and cap from the same vendor for the whole series of tests.

## **B) Chromatography Free Metabolomics-**

Given that chromatography tends to be the most time-consuming aspect of quick analysis and smooth peak identification, omitting chromatographic separation entirely in metabolomics seems like a natural way to boost sample throughput. Direct infusion and flow injection are two methods for introducing liquid samples into mass spectrometers.

### **1. Flow injection**

It involves passing a sample in continuous stream of organic phase running at a rate of 100–1000 L/min to electrospray interface. Peak girth varies depending on flow rate, sample concentration and electrospray source but it usually falls between 5 and 15 seconds. With a conventional LC–MS equipment, this enables for the injection of 50–100 samples each hour, resulting in 1000–2000 injections per day (Furher et al ,2011). Despite the great sensitivity of current mass spectrometers, such efficiency may be maintained for long periods of time by performing routine electrospray maintenance (Furher et al ,2011).

Flow injection techniques have coverage and sensitivity that are equivalent to rapid chromatography-based approaches. Thousands of  $m/z$  signals were detected in yeast extracts with 400 being detected after intensive filtering (Madalinski et al.2008). Sévin et al. 2014 discovered more than 1000 chemicals in *E. coli* by matching a substantially higher number of characteristics to theoretical  $m/z$  within 0.001 Da tolerance. It shows that state-of-the-art devices may provide deep coverage of important pathways in primary metabolism even at very high throughput. Samples with low quantity or wide chromatographic elution, which are difficult to distinguish in, for example, LC–MS, partially compensates for the loss of detectable characteristics induced by the removal of chromatography. Because there is less noise in peak integration, flow-injection analysis has a competitive repeatability (Nanita et al, 2009). Furthermore, due to the short cycle duration and high frequency of analysis, most signal, background, and noise drifts that are prevalent in electrospray mass spectrometry of complex materials may be detected and corrected efficiently (Kirwan et al,2013)

## **2 Direct infusion (DI)**

It is the technique where samples are directly injected mass spectrometer's ionisation source devoid of previous chromatographic separation is known as direct injection analysis. This method is frequently used in conjunction with atmospheric pressure ionisation methods. Direct infusion is an efficient method that may handle a sample in a matter of minutes. The rapid analysis time improves cluster analysis accuracy and inter-sample repeatability. ESI and nominal mass resolution mass analyzers were used for direct injection analyses. For metabolic fingerprinting in crude fungal extracts, Smedsgaard et al. 1997 employed ESI in positive mode and a single-stage quadrupole apparatus. Castrillo et al. (2003) used direct injection ESI in positive mode and triple quadrupole MS to examine yeast intracellular metabolites.

## **3.MALDI-MS**

MALDI, or matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization, a mass spectrometry which may be used to examine biomolecules (proteins, peptides, and sugars) as well as bigger chemical compounds (polymers and dendrimers). These compounds are ionised using MALDI because they are delicate and will fragment if ionised using other, more traditional ionisation techniques. A matrix protects the biomolecule from harm while also allowing for optimal vaporisation and ionisation utilising a laser beam (Figure 2). The most frequent three crystallised molecules employed in

MALDI-MS are 3,5-dimethoxy-4-hydroxycinnamic acid, alpha-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid, and 2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid (DHB). To form a solution, purified water and an organic solvent like ethanol or acetonitrile are mixed together.

Liu et al. 2020 tested Citrus Huanglongbing (HLB) infected citrus leaves caused by the phloem bacteria *Candidatus Liberibacter*. Since this disease is asymptomatic, its phenotypic detection is not easy. Thus, they developed the fast detection method by testing metabolite differences between healthy and unhealthy leaves using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS). The result showed the infected and noninfected leaves were distinguishable on the basis of MALDI-TOF-MS along with multivariate analysis. It was found that 32 MS peaks of metabolite showed the clear cut differences among healthy and unhealthy plants. Among these peaks, in 9 substances the upward trend was observed and for 19 metabolites, downward trend was observed which included a significant decrease in malate content of infected leaf regardless the symptom appeared or not. Thus, regulation pattern of metabolites can be used as biomarkers for early detection of infected plant.




Figure 2– MALDI analysis result by Youanguan et al.2020

### 3. Ambient mass spectrometry-

Ambient ionization/sampling mass spectrometry (ambient mass spectrometry) is a kind of mass spectrometry that allows for high-throughput surface examination of native materials.

Direct analysis in real time (DART) and desorption electrospray ionisation (DESI), two flagship ambient mass spectrometry methods have not only enabled previously impossible experiments, but have also been surrounded by a plethora of other techniques, each with their own advantages and applications. This chapter discusses the kind of studies that are at the heart of ambient mass spectrometry and includes a number of specific examples to help readers unfamiliar with the topic have a better understanding of it.

### **DART-**

**DART-MS (direct analysis in real time mass spectrometry) is a well-known method** for quickly analysing mass spectra of a wide range of compounds. DARTMS can analyse a sample under atmospheric pressure, allowing it to be analysed in an open lab environment. Chemicals that have been deposited or adsorbed on surfaces, as well as substances that are being desorbed into the environment, may be analysed using DART MS. This makes DART-MS excellent for forensic and safety applications, such as screening for explosives, warfare chemicals, or illicit compounds on baggage, clothes, or bank notes, and so on. DART may also be used to quickly analyse solid or liquid bulk materials for quality control. DART-MS can also be utilised on live animals. The DART ionisation source and interface may be customised in a variety of geometries and with a variety of accessories to alter the setup as needed, depending on the needs of analytical practise. DART-MS analyses data using a gas-phase ionisation method. In DART, the ionising species are initially created via a corona discharge in a pure helium environment, which produces excited helium atoms that, when released into the atmosphere, initiate a cascade of gas-phase processes. Finally, reagent ions generated at the surface from atmospheric water or (solvent) vapour are analysed, causing a chemical ionisation process.




Figure 3- DART ionization source (Cody et al.2005)

### **DESI-**

Traditional electrospray ionisation (ESI) is the source of DESI (Dole,1997; Pramanik et al. 2002). To create an electrically charged aerosol, DESI uses a compressed air regulated electrospray of a solvent having low concentration of an electrolyte (Takats et al. 2004). In contrast to ESI, the spray capillary in DESI is pushed back from the API interface's aperture, and the aerosol (devoid of analyte species) is directed at a 45° angle toward the item to be examined. Sprayer, object, and aperture together forms a compact V-alignment. The highly charged aerosol droplets are then propelled by the intense pneumatic spray onto the surface of the item to be studied, resulting in a thin liquid coating covering the surface. DESI makes a surface "moist." The solvent will be able to remove analytes from the item in this manner. The API interface samples having charged droplets released from the surface by the combined effect of the persistent aerosol stream and the electrostatic charging of the surface. The same mechanisms as in ESI are used to liberate isolated gas phase ions. DESI, like ESI, can analyse substances in the ultra-low to ultra-high mass range while keeping the molecules intact (Watson et al.2002)

**Table- Available Metabolome Databases** (Source- Han et al. 2009)

Name	Content
<b>Compound and species specific Databases</b>	
PubChem	A collection of chemical structure and biological properties of small molecules
HMDB	A comprehensive data of more than 6800 human metabolites
LIPID MAPS	Structure and annotation of more than 9000 lipids
KNAPSAcK	A cross-species metabolite database with around 28500 chemicals and over 1000 mass numbers, largely from plants and microorganisms.
<b>Reference spectral Library</b>	
NIST08	There are 14,802 positive ion spectra and 1410 negative ion spectra in a commercial collection of 2,20000 EI mass spectra from more than 190,000 pure chemical substances.
GMD	GC-TOF-MS analysis of mass spectra and retention indices of known plant metabolites
METLIN	A database of LC-MS mass spectra of metabolites from human and microbial species
MassBank	A high resolution MS/MS database standard chemical substances
MS2T	A MS/MS spectral tag library of phytochemical compounds
MMCD	A NMR and LC-MS spectral library of metabolite standard

Pathway specific Databases	
KEGG	Pathway maps, genes, species, enzymes, and ligands are all part of this composite database.
BioCyc	A collection of 506 pathway/genome databases, as well as a database of metabolite chemicals, for species having fully or partly sequenced geno
EcoCyc	A scientific database from E.coliK-12 MG1655
MetaCyc	A database of experimentally identified nonredundant metabolite path

### Conclusion-

Various model species have been used to achieve a substantial percentage of plant metabolomics success. However, improving the applicability of metabolomics in agricultural species has a lot of demands, possibilities, and obstacles. Metabolomics, has been effectively employed to improve the breeding of vital crops. The identification of genomic areas and genes linked with metabolic quantitative loci (mQTL) or the production of specialised metabolites has been done with key crops using current genetic resources as a foundation for future breeding initiatives. With multiple examples , metabolomics has considerably increased our understanding of plant specialised metabolism and natural product production at the molecular and biochemical levels. Metabolomics is also allowing researchers to gain a better knowledge of medicinal plants and to identify key metabolic QTLs for improved breeding. Despite the fact that metabolomics has demonstrated its worth, it still confronts significant hurdles, such as large-scale metabolite identifications. The discipline of metabolomics can only improve as improved technology continue to advance. This shows that metabolomics has a bright future ahead of it.

## Reference-

- A.Adato, T. Mandel, S. Mintz-Oron, I. Venger, D. Levy, M. Yativ, E.Dominguez, Z. Wang, C.H.R. De Vos, R.Jetter, L.Schreiber and A.Heredia (2009). Fruitsurface flavonoid accumulation in tomato is controlled by a SIMYB12-regulated transcriptional network. *PLoS Genet.* 5(12), e1000777, 10.1371/journal.pgen.1000
- A.Erban, N.Schauer, A.R.Fernie and J.Kopka (2007). Nonsupervised construction and application of mass spectral and retention time index libraries from time-offlight gas chromatography-mass spectrometry metabolite profiles. In “Methods in Molecular Biology,” (W. Weckwerth, ed.), Vol. 358, (Part I), pp. 19–38. Springer, New York, USA
- A.Luedemann, A.Erban, L.von Malotky and J.Kopka (2011). Processing of comprehensive fingerprint data matrices from nominal mass GCMS data using TagFinder. In “Plant Metabolomics Methods,” (N. W. Hardy and R. D. Hall, eds.), Humana Press, Ottawa, USA (in press).
- A.P. Rees and S.A.Hill (1994). Metabolic control analysis of plant metabolism. *Plant Cell Environ.* 17, 587–599.
- A.R.Fernie, R.N.Trethewey, A.J.Krotzky and L.Willmitzer (2004). Metabolite profiling: From diagnostics to systems biology. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 5, 763–769.
- A.Ross, G. Schlotterbeck, F.Dieterle and H.Senn (2007). NMR spectroscopy techniques for application to metabonomics. In “The Handbook of Metabonomics and Metabolomics,” (J. C. Lindon, J. K. Nicholson, and E. Holmes, eds.), pp. 55–112. Elsevier, Oxford, UK.
- B.Ebert, D.Zoeller, A.Erban, I.Fehrle, J.Hartmann, A.Niehl, J.Kopka and J.Fisahn (2010). Metabolic profiling of *Arabidopsis thaliana* epidermal cells. *J. Exp. Bot.* 61, 1321–1335.
- B.Markert (1995). Sample preparation (cleaning, drying, homogenization) for trace element analysis in plant matrices. *Sci. Total Environ.* 176, 45–6
- B.N.Pramanik, A.K.Ganguly and M.L. Gross (eds) (2002) Applied electrospray mass spectrometry. Marcel Dekker, New York
- C.Douillard and E.Guichard (1990). The aroma of strawberry (*Fragaria ananassa*): Characterisation of some cultivars and influence of freezing. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 50, 517–531.
- C.H.R.de Vos, S.Moco, A.Lommen, J.J.B.Keurentjes, R.J.Bino R.D. and Hall (2007). Untargeted large-scale plant metabolomics using liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry. *Nat. Protoc.* 2, 778–791.
- C.Ludwig and M.R.Viant (2010). Two-dimensional J-resolved NMR spectroscopy: Review of a key methodology in the metabolomics toolbox. *Phytochem. Anal.* 21, 22–32
- C.Wagner, M. Sefkow and J.Kopka (2003). Construction and application of a mass spectral and retention time index database generated from plant GC/EI-TOFMS metabolite profiles. *Phytochemistry* 62, 887–900

- D.C.Boyes, A.M.Zayed, R. Ascenzi, A.J. McCaskill, N.E.Hoffmann, K.R.Davis J.and Goërlach (2001). Growth stage-based phenotypic analysis of Arabidopsis. A model for high throughput functional genomics in plants. *Plant Cell* 13, 1499–1510.
- D.C.Sévin and U.Sauer (2014). Ubiquinone accumulation improves osmotic-stress tolerance in *Escherichia coli*. *Nature chemical biology*, 10(4), 266-272.
- D.J.Weston (2010). Ambient ionization mass spectrometry: current understanding of mechanistic theory; analytical performance and application areas. *Analyst* 135:661–668
- D.Ryan and K.Robards (2006). Analytical chemistry considerations in plant metabolomics. *Sep. Purif. Rev.* 35, 319–356.
- D.Tholl, W.Boland, A.Hansel, F. Loreto, U.S.R. Rose and J.P.Schnitzler (2006). Practical approaches to plant volatile analysis. *Plant J.* 45, 540–560
- F.Ma and L.Cheng (2003). The sun-exposed peel of apple fruit has higher xanthophyll cycle-dependent thermal dissipation and antioxidants of the ascorbate/glutathione pathway than the shaded peel. *Plant Sci.* 165, 819–827.
- G.Madalinski, E. Godat, S. Alves, D. Lesage, E. Genin, P. Levi, P and C. Junot (2008). Direct introduction of biological samples into a LTQ-Orbitrap hybrid mass spectrometer as a tool for fast metabolome analysis. *Analytical chemistry*, 80(9), 3291-3303.
- H.K.Kim and R.Verpoorte (2010). Sample preparation for plant metabolomics. *Phytochem. Anal.* 21, 4–13.
- Han, S., Mathias, E. V., and Ba, Y. (2007). Proton NMR determination of Mg<sup>2+</sup> and Ca<sup>2+</sup> concentrations using tetrasodium EDTA complexes. *J. Chem.* 1, 1–5.
- J.A.Kirwan, D.I.Broadhurst, R.L. Davidson and M.R.Viant (2013). Characterising and correcting batch variation in an automated direct infusion mass spectrometry (DIMS) metabolomics workflow. *Analytical and bioanalytical chemistry*, 405(15), 5147-5157.
- J.Draper, A.J.Lloyd, R.Goodacre and M.Beckmann (2013). Flow infusion electrospray ionisation mass spectrometry for high throughput, non-targeted metabolite fingerprinting: a review. *Metabolomics*, 9(1), 4-29.
- J.Han, R.Datla, S.Chan and C.H.Borchers (2009). Mass spectrometry-based technologies for high-throughput metabolomics. *Bioanalysis*, 1(9), 1665-1684.
- J.Hannemann, H. Poorter, B. Usadel, O.E. Blasing, A. Finck, F.Tardieu, O.K.Atkin, T. Pons, M. Stitt and Y.Gibon (2009). Xeml Lab: A tool that supports the design of experiments at a graphical interface and generates computer-readable metadata files, which capture information about genotypes, growth conditions, environmental perturbations and sampling strategy. *Plant Cell Environ.* 32, 1185–1200.
- J.Hummel, N.Strehmel, J. Selbig, D.Walther and J.Kopka (2010). Decisiontree supported substructure prediction of metabolites from GC-MS profiles. *Metabolomics* 6, 322–333.
- J.I.Castrillo, A.Hayes, S.Mohammed, S.J.Gaskell and S.G. Oliver (2003). An optimized protocol for metabolome analysis in yeast using direct infusion electrospray mass spectrometry. *Phytochemistry*, 62, 929–937.



- J.Kopka, N.Schauer, S.Krueger, C.Birkemeyer, B. Usadel, E.Bergmueller, P. Doermann, W. Weckwerth, Y. Gibon, M.Stitt, L.Willmitzer and A.R. Fernie (2005). GMD@CSB.DB: The Golm metabolome database. *Bioinformatics* 21, 1635–1638.
- J.Lisec, N.Schauer, J.Kopka, L.Willmitzer and A.R.Fernie (2006). Gas chromatography mass spectrometry-based metabolite profiling in plants. *Nat. Protoc.* 1, 387–39
- J.P.Salminen (2003). Effects of sample drying and storage, and choice of extraction solvent and analysis method on the yield of birch leaf hydrolyzable tannins. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 29, 1289–1305.
- J.Smedsgaard and J.C.Frisvad (1997). Terverticillate penicillia studied by direct electrospray mass spectrometric profiling of crude extracts. 1. Chemosystematics. *Biochemical Systematics and Ecology*, 25, 51–64.
- J.W.Allwood and R.Goodacre (2010). An introduction to liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry instrumentation applied in plant metabolomic analyses. *Phytochem. Anal.* 21, 33–47.
- J.W.Allwood, A.Erban, S. de Koning, W.B.Dunn, A.Luedemann, A.Lommen, L.Kay, R.Loßcher, J. Kopka and R.Goodacre (2009). Inter-laboratory reproducibility of fast gas chromatography–electron impact–time of flight mass spectrometry (GC-EI-TOF/MS) based plant metabolomics. *Metabolomics* 5, 479–496
- K.Hanhineva, I.Rogachev, H. Kokko, S.Mintz-Oron, I.Venger, S.Kaarenlampi and A.Aharoni (2008). Non-targeted analysis of spatial metabolite composition in strawberry (*Fragaria ananassa*) flowers. *Phytochemistry* 69, 2463–2481.
- K.Hinkelmann and O. Kempthorne (2008). *Design and Analysis of Experiments*. Vol. 1. Introduction to Experimental Design. 2nd edn. Wiley, New Jersey.
- K.Keinänen and R.Julkunen-Titto (1996). Effect of sample preparation method on birch (*Betula pendula* Roth) leaf phenolics. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 44, 2724–2727.
- K.Saito and F. Matsuda (2010). Metabolomics for functional genomics, systems biology and biotechnology. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 61, 463–489.
- L.W.Sumner, D.S.Yang, B.J. Bensch, B.S.Watson and A.D.Jones (2011). Spatially—resolved metabolomics—challenges for the future. In “*The Biology of Plant Metabolomics*,” (R. D. Hall, ed.). Blackwell-Wiley, London. (Chapter 11, 343–366).
- L.W.Sumner, Z.Lei, B.J. Nikolau and K.Saito (2015). Modern plant metabolomics: advanced natural product gene discoveries, improved technologies, and future prospects. *Natural product reports*, 32(2), 212–229.
- M.J.Anderson and P.J.Whitcomb (2007). *DOE Simplified: Practical Tools for Effective Experimentation*. 2nd edn. Productivity Press, New York.
- O.Fiehn (2002). Metabolomics—The link between genotypes and phenotypes. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 48, 155–171
- O.Fiehn, J.Kopka, P.Doermann, T.Altmann, R.N.Trethewey and L.Willmitzer (2000a). Metabolite profiling for plant functional genomic

- O.Fiehn, L.W.Sumner, S.Y.Rhee, J.Ward, J.Dickerson, B.M.Lange, G.Lane, U.Roessner, R. Last and B. Nikolau (2007). Minimum reporting standards for plant biology context information in metabolomic studies. *Metabolomics* 3, 195–201.
- P.Giavalisco, K.Kohl, J.Hummel, B.Seiwert and L.Willmitzer (2009). C-13 isotopelabeled metabolomes allowing for improved compound annotation and relative quantification in liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry-based metabolomic research. *Anal. Chem.* 81, 6546–6551
- R.B.Dole (ed) (1997) *Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry - fundamentals, instrumentation and applications*. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester .
- R.D.Hall (2006). Plant metabolomics: From holistic hope, to hype, to hot topic. *New Phytol.* 169, 453–468
- R.D.Hall, C.H.R.De Vos and J.Ward (2010). Plant metabolomics applications in the Brassicaceae: Added value for science and industry. *Acta Horticulturae* 867, 191–206
- S.C.Nanita, A.M. Pentz and F.Q. Bramble (2009). High-throughput pesticide residue quantitative analysis achieved by tandem mass spectrometry with automated flow injection. *Analytical chemistry*, 81(8), 3134-3142.
- T.Fuhrer, D.Heer, B.Begemann and N.Zamboni (2011). High-throughput, accurate mass metabolome profiling of cellular extracts by flow injection–time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *Analytical chemistry*, 83(18), 7074-7080.
- V.Brukhn,M.Hernould, N.Gonzalez,C. Chevalier and A.Mouras (2003). Flower development schedule in tomato *Lycopersicon esculentum* cv. sweet cherry. *Sex. Plant Reprod.* 15, 311–320.
- Y.Guitton, M.Tremblay-Franco, G.Le Corguillé, J.F.Martin, M.Pétéra, P.Roger-Mele and E.A.Thévenot (2017). Create, run, share, publish, and reference your LC–MS, FIA–MS, GC–MS, and NMR data analysis workflows with the Workflow4Metabolomics 3.0 Galaxy online infrastructure for metabolomics. *The International Journal of Biochemistry & Cell Biology*, 93, 89-101.
- Y.Iijima, Y.Nakamura, Y.Ogata, K.Tanaka, N.Sakurai, H.Suzuki, K.Okazaki, M. Kitayama, S. Kanaya, K. Aoki and D.Shibata (2008). Metabolite annotations based on the integration of mass spectral information. *Plant J.* 54, 949–962.
- Y.K.Ma, X.S. Hu, J. Chen, F. Chen, J.H. Wu, G.H. Zhao, X.J.Liao and Z.F.Wang (2007). The effect of freezing modes and frozen storage on aroma, enzyme and micro-organism in Hami melon. *Food Sci. Technol. Int.* 13, 259–267.
- Y.Liu, A. Xue, L.Ding, Y.Hao, H.Liu, M.Cui and L.Luo, (2020). Direct identification and metabolomic analysis of Huanglongbing associated with *Candidatus Liberibacter* spp. in navel orange by MALDI-TOF-MS. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 412(13), 3091-3101.
- Y.Tikunov,C.H.R de Vos, A.M.Gonzalez-Paramas, R.D.Hall and A.G.Bovy (2010). A role for differential glycoconjugation in the emission of phenylpropanoid volatiles from

tomato fruit discovered using a metabolic data fusion approach. *Plant Physiol.* 152, 55–70

- Z.Takats, J.M. Wiseman, B.Gologan, R.G.Cooks (2004). Mass spectrometry sampling under ambient conditions with desorption electrospray ionization. *Science* 306:471–473
- Z.Takats, J.M. Wiseman, B.Gologan, R.G.Cooks (2004). Electrosonic spray ionization. A gentle technique for generating folded proteins and protein complexes in the gas phase and for studying ion–molecule reactions at atmospheric pressure. *Anal Chem* 76:4050–4058