Influence of nitrogen doses on self-life of different potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L) cultivars

ABSTRACT

Shelf life of potato is highly variable depending upon various factors including nitrogen application and storage conditions. The perishable nature of potato tubers necessitates the study of factors affecting tuber post-harvest life. Objectives of this experiment were to study the influence of nitrogen doses on storage parameters of different potato varieties, *viz.*, physiological loss in weight, decay loss and sprouting. The treatments consisted of four doses of nitrogen (control, 80, 160, and 240 kg ha^{-1}) and three potato cultivars *viz.*, *Kufri Pukhraj, Kufri Gaurav* and AICRP-P-39. After harvesting potatoes from the field, the tubers were cleaned and stored using a complete randomized design (CRD) and three replications, in a well-ventilated room for three months to assess their shelf life. The field application of 240 kg nitrogen per hectare resulted in the poor shelf life of tubers as compared to the ones grown under lower doses. However, the superior shelf life of potato tubers was observed under control during the whole storage period. Among the cultivars, *Kufri Pukhraj* had better storage quality than *Kufri Gaurav* and AICRP-P-39. Thus, to obtain maximum shelf life of potato tubers, the variety *Kufri Pukhraj* with no application of nitrogen is advisable.

Keywords: dose of nitrogen, shelf life, potato cultivar, *Solanum tuberosum* L.

1. INTRODUCTION

Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) is the major food crop after wheat and rice, and it is grown in over 150 countries throughout the world [1]. India, the world's second-largest potato producer after China, produces 50.19 million MT tubers yearly over 2.17 million hectares, with an average productivity of 23.1 MT ha^{-1} [2]. The crop may be cultivated in nearly every state and under a wide range of conditions. The states of Uttar Pradesh, West Bengal, and Bihar account for about 75% of total potato acreage and 80% of total production. In India, the per capita availability of potato is 23.5 kg/year, which is about a third of the global average [19]. Potato is known as the "King of Vegetables" and is a high-valued vegetable crop in Haryana. It is primarily grown in Ambala, Panchkula, Yamunanagar, Kurukshetra, Hisar, and Karnal districts of Haryana.

Potato, as a highly nutritive crop, necessitates the optimal concentration of essential plant nutrients for effective crop production. Nitrogen is the most vital and limiting nutrient for potato crop growth and development among the many key nutrients [20]. In plants, it has a role in the structure and configuration of nucleic acids, proteins, free amino acids, and enzymes. Potato tubers are indeed the crop's most valuable and nutrient-dense organ. Due to its perishability, tubers should be sold and consumed as soon as possible after harvest. Too much time in the marketing process might result in significant losses for both farmers and consumers. To avoid this, potato tubers must be stored in order to keep a consistent supply in the market. This necessitates the monitoring of tuber quality at harvest as well as in storage. Many factors influence the storage behaviour of potato tubers. Weight loss and chemical composition of stored tubers is influenced by meteorological variables, physiological age of the seed tuber, cultivated variety, and soil type during the growth period, as well as agronomic factors such as leaf withering before maturity and harvest date [3]. Agronomic measures like as fertilizer application influence tuber yield and quality after harvest, and should be maximised, since too low or too high fertilizer application can reduce tuber shelf life [4].

Studies conducted by Mail *et al.* [5] showed a positive correlation between storage period and degradation in the quality of tubers. Gupta *et al.* [6] reported that during the storage period the

performance of different genotypes varies. Sprouting of potato tubers caused some undesirable changes in the tuber quality such as weight loss, shrinkage and loss of nutritive value [7]. Keeping in view the above stated points, the experiment was conducted to assess the effect of different doses of nitrogen on shelf life of different potato cultivar, *viz.*, *Kufri Pukhraj*, *Kufri Gaurav* and AICRP-P-39.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This investigation was carried out at Post-harvest laboratory of the Department of Vegetable Science, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar (29°09'N and 75°43'E, elevation 215 m) during the *rabi* season (Oct.-Nov.) of 2019-20. The soil of the experimental site was sandy loam with approximately 0.48 organic carbon and pH 7.6. The treatments comprised of three potato cultivars *viz.*, *Kufri Pukhraj*, *Kufri Gaurav* and AICRP-P-39 and doses of nitrogen (control, 80, 160 and 240 kg ha^{-1}). The experiment was laid out in a completely randomized design (factorial) with three replications. The crop was planted on 23rd October, 2019. All the recommended package of practices was followed uniformly as per the crop requirements with irrigation. Nitrogen was applied in the form of urea fertilizer at 35 days after planting (DAP). Potato tubers were harvested manually on 21 Feb, 2020. Thereafter, on 5 Mar, 2019, three kg of healthy clean tubers were stored at normal room temperature with enough ventilation in the post-harvest laboratory for three months. Different parameters were recorded during the storage period such as physiological loss in weight (%), decay loss (%) and sprouting (%). The parameters viz., physiological loss in weight (%), decay loss (%) and sprouting (%) in tubers (>2 mm sprouts) was calculated every 15 days for three months by weighing five randomly marked tubers from each replication. Statistical analysis of experimental data was conducted using the OPSTAT software package.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Physiological loss in weight (PLW) (%):

The data on physiological loss in weight were recorded at an interval of 15 days during storage period of 90 days and expressed as cumulative percentage (Table 1). The physiological loss in weight increased considerably with the increase in storage period from starting to the end of experiment. The storage of potato tubers at ambient room temperature during hot summer months leads to severe loss in their quality and weight. The results are in conformity with the results of Verma *et al.* [8]. The physiological loss in weight of tubers of all tested cultivars increased with increasing doses of nitrogenous fertilizer. The maximum value for cumulative physiological loss in weight was observed with the nitrogen dose of 240 kg ha^{-1} (29.46%), followed by 160 kg ha^{-1} (25.18%) and the minimum under control (16.10%). This increase in weight loss could be due to tubers having higher nitrogen content and moisture content, making them more sensitive to shrivelling and moisture loss during storage. Increased physiological weight loss of potato tubers could be attributed to this higher moisture loss [12]. Similar results were reported by Singh and Lal [9], Gathungu *et al.* [10], and Rezaee *et al.* [11]. The potato cultivars differed significantly with respect to physiological loss in weight. Among the potato cultivars, the cv. *Kufri Pukhraj* showed the minimum cumulative physiological loss in weight (20.41%) in comparison to *Kufri Gaurav* (22.64%) and AICRP-P-39 (24.22%) on 90th day of storage under ambient conditions. These results are in accordance with the findings of Gautam *et al.* [13]. This variation among cultivars with reference to physiological loss in weight might be attributed to the genetic factors [14]. The interaction of different nitrogen doses and cultivar for all the treatments differed significantly. The variety *Kufri Pukhraj* under control treatment showed minimum physiological loss in weight (2.20 and 14.78%), whereas, the maximum physiological loss in weight was observed in variety AICRP-P-39 supplied with the nitrogen dose of 240 kg ha^{-1} (8.83 and 31.91%) during the starting and end of the experiment, respectively. The treatment combinations N_0V_1, N_0V_2, N_0V_3, N_1V_1, N_1V_2 and N_1V_3 were statistically at par with each

other at 90th day of storage study.

Decay loss (%):

The data on decay loss (%) were recorded at an interval of 15 days during storage period of 90 days and expressed as cumulative percentage (Table 1). The decay loss of tubers increased with storage period, *i.e.*, more decay was noticed at the end of storage study as compared to start and mid of the experiment. The decay loss might be due to susceptibility of potato tubers to different disease causing organisms and the pests attack during storage, which got enough time to multiply with increasing storage period. The decay loss in tubers of all tested cultivars increased with increasing nitrogen doses. The maximum decay loss was observed with the nitrogen dose of 240 kg ha^{-1} (15.93%), followed by 160 kg ha^{-1} (14.19%) and the minimum under control (11.39%) at the end of experiment. This might be due to tuber harvested from the treatment having higher nitrogen level causing susceptibility to shrivelling and moisture loss resulting in more rotting. Similar results were reported by Reiter *et al.* [15]. Among the cultivars, significant difference was noticed for decay loss throughout the storage study. The cv. *Kufri Pukhraj* showed the minimum decay loss (12.56%) in comparison to *Kufri Gaurav* (13.58%) and AICRP-P-39 (14.27%) on 90th day of storage under ambient conditions. This difference can be attributed to genetic variation among the cultivars. Similar variation was also noticed in the experiments conducted by Malik *et al.* [5], Brar and Rana [7]. The interaction of different nitrogen doses and cultivars for all the treatments differed significantly. The variety *Kufri Pukhraj* under control treatment (0.00 and 10.44%) showed minimum decay loss, whereas, the maximum decay loss was observed in the variety AICRP-P-39 supplied with the nitrogen dose of 240 kg ha^{-1} (3.02 and 16.38%) at 45th and 90th day of storage study, respectively. The treatment combinations N_0V_1 and N_1V_1 were statistically at par with each other at the end of storage period.

Sprouting (%):

Sprouting in tubers during storage degrades their nutritive value and marketability [7]. The sprouting percentage increased with storage period, *i.e.*, storing tubers for long period leads to more sprouting in tubers (Table 2). This might be due to release of dormancy in tubers with time. The results are in conformity with the findings of Sudha *et al.* [16]. The sprouting percentage in tubers of all tested cultivars increased with increasing nitrogen doses. The maximum sprouting % of *Kufri Pukhraj*, *Kufri Gaurav* and AICRP-P-39 was observed with the nitrogen dose of 240 kg ha^{-1} (11.62, 35.96 and 66.71%), followed by 160 kg ha^{-1} (10.22, 33.76 and 62.07%) and the minimum under control (8.08, 28.65 and 55.14%) at the 30th, 60th and 90th day of storage period, respectively. This might be due to more weight loss occurring from higher doses of nitrogen (explained in PLW% above), as sprouting is closely associated with weight loss in tubers [17]. The results of present investigation are in line with the findings of Gautam *et al.* [18]. Among the cultivars, significant difference was noticed for sprouting percentage. The cv. *Kufri Gaurav* showed significantly maximum cumulative sprouting % (11.00, 33.55 and 62.73%) followed by AICRP-P-39 (9.39, 32.43 and 61.10%), while *Kufri Pukhraj* showed the minimum cumulative sprouting % (8.12, 30.90 and 57.25%) at 30th, 60th and 90th day of storage period, respectively. The results confirm the findings of Gupta *et al.* [6], Brar and Rana [7] and Sudha et al. [16]. The interaction between nitrogen doses and cultivars for sprouting (%) was found significant for all the treatment combinations. The significantly minimum sprouting was recorded in the variety *Kufri Pukhraj* under control (5.25, 27.88 and 51.39%), whereas, the maximum sprouting per cent was recorded in the variety *Kufri Gaurav* supplied with nitrogen dose of 240 kg ha^{-1} (12.56, 36.42 and 68.90%) followed by AICRP-P-39 with same dose (12.08, 36.25 and 66.10%) on 30th, 60th and 90th day of storage study, respectively. The treatment combinations N_0V_1 and N_1V_1 were statistically at par with each other at 90th day of storage period.

4. CONCLUSION

The shelf life of tubers gets considerably shortened when nitrogen dose increased. The storability of potato tubers was better under control compared to nitrogen dose of 80, 160, and 240 kg ha^{-1}. Also, among the cultivars, the cv. *Kufri Pukhraj* performed superior to *Kufri Gaurav* and AICRP-P-39 for most of the characteristics studied. Based on the results, it can be concluded that potato tubers of the cv. *Kufri Pukhraj* supplied without nitrogen could be stored longer than other treatment combinations with minimum quality loss.

5. REFERENCES

[1] Singh HP. Policies and strategies conducive to Potato development in Asia and the Pacific region. In: Proceedings of the Workshop to Commemorate the International Year of Potato. 2008; 18-29.

[2] NHB. National Horticulture Board, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers' Welfare, Government of India, 2018.

[3] Firman D, Allen E. Agronomic Practices. Potato biology and biotechnology advances and perspectives. 2007; 33(5):719-738.

[4] Kibar H. Design and management of post-harvest potato (Solanum tuberosum) storage structures. Ordu University Journal of Science and Technology. 2012; 2(1):23-48.

[5] Malik TP, Kumar J,Panghal VPS. Shelf life of potato hybrids under ambient conditions. Haryana Journal of Horticultural Science. 2008; 37(3-4):364.

[6] Gupta VK, Luthra SK, Singh BP. Storage behaviour and cooking quality of Indian potato varieties. Journal of food science and technology. 2015; 52(8):4863-4873.

[7] Brar A, Rana MK. Effect of different potato varieties and tuber sizes on physiological changes under ambient storage performance. Journal of Applied and Natural Science. 2016; 8(2):736-742.

[8] Verma SC, Sharma TR, Verma SM . Effect of extended high temperature storage on weight losses and sugar content of potato tuber. Indian Journal of Agricultural Science. 1974; 44:702-706.

[9] Singh SK, Lal SS. Effect of potassium nutrition on potato yield, quality and nutrient use efficiency under varied levels of nitrogen application. Potato Journal. 2012; 39(2):155-165.

[10] Gathungu GK, Aguyoh JN, Isutsa DK. Influence of irrigation water, nitrogen and phosphorus nutrient rates on relative weight loss and sprouting characteristics of seed potato tubers after storage. Sustainable Agriculture Research. 2013; 2: 526-2016-37852.

[11] Rezaee M, Almassi M, Minaei S, Paknejad, F. Impact of post-harvest radiation treatment timing on shelf life and quality characteristics of potatoes. Journal of Food Science and Technology. 2013; 50:339-345.

[12] Chandra G, Kumar U, Raghav M, Kumar P. Seed tuber yield, quality and storability of potato varieties with varying nitrogen levels in Tarai region of Uttarakhand. International Journal of Current Research. 2017; 9:49108-49112.

[13] Gautam IP, Khatri BB, Sharma MD, Thapa RB, Shreshtha K, Chaudhary D. Evaluation of potato genotypes for keeping quality under ambient conditions in Nepal. Potato Journal. 2012; 39(2):128-132.

[14] Patel DK, Patel BM, Patel PT, Patel DM, Patel BJ. Influence of irrigation methods along with nitrogen and potash management on yield and nutrient uptake by potato. Agricultural Science Digest. 2012; 32(1):38-42.

[15] Reiter MS, Rideout SL, Freeman JH. Nitrogen fertilizer and growth regulator impacts on tuber deformity, rot and yield for russet potatoes. International Journal of Agronomy. 2012; 10:1155-1161.

[16] Sudha R, Venkatasalam EP, Divya K, Bairawa A, Mhatre PH. Storage behavior of potato cultivars under ambient conditions in the Nilgiris. Journal of Horticultural Sciences. 2017; 12(2):186-192.

[17] Mehta A, Kaul HN. Physiological weight loss in potatoes under non-refrigerated storage: contribution of respiration and transpiration. Journal of the Indian Potato Association. 1997; 24:106-113.

[18] Gautam IP, Sharma MD, Khatri BB, Thapa RB, Shrestha K, Chaudhary D. Effect of nitrogen and potassium on yield, storability and post-harvest processing qualities of potato for chips. Nepal Agriculture Research Journal. 2011; 11:40-51.

[19] Pandey NK, Pandit A, Chandran KP, Pandey SK. Potato statistics: India and world. Tech Bull. 2007; 81.

[20] Ojala JC, Stark JC, Kleinkopf GE. Influence of irrigation and nitrogen management on potato yield and quality. American potato journal. 1990; 67:29-43.

Table 1: Effect of nitrogen doses on physiological loss in weight (%) and decay loss (%) of tubers of different potato cultivars during storage under ambient conditions

N doses (kg ha^{-1})	Cultivars	Decay loss (%)						Physiological loss in weight (%)					
		Storage period (days)											
		15	30	45	60	75	90	15	30	45	60	75	90
0 (N_0)	K. Pukhraj (V_1)	0.00	0.00	0.00(0.07)	1.89	5.78	10.44	2.20	4.91	5.56	8.53	11.96	14.78
	K. Gaurav (V_2)	0.00	0.00	0.00(0.10)	2.95	6.65	11.52	2.62	5.45	6.15	9.41	13.39	16.57
	AICRP-P-39 (V_3)	0.00	0.00	0.00(0.17)	3.14	6.90	12.21	2.83	5.90	6.65	10.21	14.38	16.95
Mean of N_0		0.00	0.00	0.00(0.11)	2.66	6.44	11.39	2.55	5.42	6.12	9.35	13.24	16.10
80 (N_1)	K. Pukhraj (V_1)	0.00	0.00	0.00(0.15)	2.66	6.24	10.86	3.83	7.01	8.22	10.62	12.76	16.67
	K. Gaurav (V_2)	0.00	0.00	0.00(0.18)	3.54	7.72	12.63	4.07	7.44	10.03	11.36	13.31	19.62
	AICRP-P-39 (V_3)	0.00	0.00	0.00(0.30)	4.10	8.45	13.55	4.40	8.17	11.09	12.22	16.18	20.59
Mean of N_1		0.00	0.00	0.00(0.21)	3.43	7.47	12.35	4.10	7.54	9.78	11.38	14.08	18.96
160 (N_2)	K. Pukhraj (V_1)	0.00	0.00	0.01(0.51)	3.87	8.13	13.48	6.25	10.96	13.34	15.08	18.77	22.81
	K. Gaurav (V_2)	0.00	0.00	1.16(6.11)	4.56	8.70	14.18	7.00	11.67	14.11	17.07	22.28	25.31
	AICRP-P-39 (V_3)	0.00	0.00	1.34(6.56)	4.75	9.13	14.92	7.52	12.62	15.44	17.57	24.11	27.42
Mean of N_2		0.00	0.00	0.84(4.39)	4.39	8.65	14.19	6.92	11.75	14.30	16.00	21.72	25.18
240 (N_3)	K. Pukhraj (V_1)	0.00	0.00	1.25(6.42)	5.22	9.11	15.45	7.39	11.44	15.23	17.64	22.87	27.40
	K. Gaurav (V_2)	0.00	0.00	2.65(9.37)	5.58	9.58	15.97	8.17	12.49	17.06	19.78	24.34	29.08
	AICRP-P-39 (V_3)	0.00	0.00	3.02(10.00)	6.15	10.56	16.38	8.83	13.69	18.32	20.24	26.32	31.91
Mean of N_3		0.00	0.00	2.31(8.59)	5.65	9.75	15.93	8.13	12.54	16.87	19.22	24.51	29.46
Mean of Cultivar	K. Pukhraj (V_1)	0.00	0.00	0.63(1.79)	3.41	7.32	12.56	4.92	8.58	10.59	12.97	16.59	20.41
	K. Gaurav (V_2)	0.00	0.00	1.91(3.94)	4.16	8.16	13.58	5.46	9.26	11.84	14.40	18.33	22.64
	AICRP-P-39 (V_3)	0.00	0.00	2.18(4.26)	4.54	8.76	14.27	5.89	10.10	12.88	15.06	20.25	24.22
C.D. at 1% level of significance													
Nitrogen		0.00	0.00	0.12	0.19	0.22	0.28	0.58	1.14	2.06	2.28	3.36	5.08
Cultivar		0.00	0.00	0.08	0.10	0.17	0.15	0.08	0.04	0.10	0.06	0.01	0.02
Nitrogen × Cultivar		0.00	0.00	0.38	0.42	0.34	0.48	1.32	2.46	4.14	4.50	5.17	7.62

(Values in parenthesis are transformed values)

Table 2: Effect of nitrogen doses on sprouting (%) of tubers on weight basis of different potato cultivars during storage under ambient conditions

N dose (kg ha^{-1})	Cultivars	Sprouting (%)					
		Storage period (days)					
		15	30	45	60	75	90
0 (N_0)	K. Pukhraj (V_1)	0.00	05.25(12.16)	15.62(23.27)	27.88(31.85)	38.23(38.17)	51.39(45.78)
	K. Gaurav (V_2)	0.00	08.86(12.67)	19.45(26.15)	29.66(32.98)	45.82(42.58)	57.84(49.49)
	AICRP-P-39 (V_3)	0.00	10.12(08.54)	18.54(25.49)	28.40(32.19)	42.77(40.82)	56.20(48.54)
Mean of N_0		0.00	8.08(11.12)	17.87(24.97)	28.65(32.34)	42.27(40.52)	55.14(47.94)
80 (N_1)	K. Pukhraj (V_1)	0.00	07.89(15.01)	17.81(24.95)	28.36(32.16)	41.15(39.88)	52.26(46.28)
	K. Gaurav (V_2)	0.00	10.90(17.19)	22.65(28.41)	33.51(35.36)	51.60(45.89)	60.62(51.11)
	AICRP-P-39 (V_3)	0.00	10.36(16.81)	20.76(27.09)	30.34(33.41)	47.63(43.62)	59.63(50.54)
Mean of N_1		0.00	9.72(16.34)	20.41(26.82)	30.74(33.64)	46.79(43.13)	57.51(49.31)
160 (N_2)	K. Pukhraj (V_1)	0.00	09.13(10.37)	21.82(27.83)	32.14(34.52)	49.92(44.93)	60.22(50.88)
	K. Gaurav (V_2)	0.00	11.66(16.31)	24.25(29.49)	34.59(36.01)	56.69(48.82)	63.54(52.84)
	AICRP-P-39 (V_3)	0.00	09.88(14.25)	23.15(28.75)	34.55(35.98)	53.28(46.86)	62.46(52.20)
Mean of N_2		0.00	10.22(13.64)	23.07(28.69)	33.76(35.51)	53.30(46.87)	62.07(51.97)
240 (N_3)	K. Pukhraj (V_1)	0.00	10.22(17.42)	24.53(29.68)	35.21(36.38)	60.98(51.32)	65.12(53.78)
	K. Gaurav (V_2)	0.00	12.56(19.02)	28.50(32.25)	36.42(37.10)	65.23(53.85)	68.90(56.08)
	AICRP-P-39 (V_3)	0.00	12.08(18.02)	26.14(30.73)	36.25(37.00)	62.34(52.12)	66.10(54.38)
Mean of N_3		0.00	11.62(18.15)	26.39(30.89)	35.96(36.83)	62.85(52.43)	66.71(54.75)
Mean of Cultivar	K. Pukhraj (V_1)	0.00	8.12 (11.84)	19.95(26.43)	30.90(33.73)	47.57(43.58)	57.25(49.18)
	K. Gaurav (V_2)	0.00	11.00(16.30)	23.71(29.07)	33.55(35.34)	54.84(47.79)	62.73(52.38)
	AICRP-P-39 (V_3)	0.00	10.61(15.31)	22.15(28.02)	32.39(34.67)	51.51(45.86)	61.10(51.42)
C.D. at 1% level of significance							
Nitrogen		0.00	0.28	0.43	0.61	0.71	0.80
Cultivar		0.00	0.23	0.37	0.52	0.61	0.69
Nitrogen × Cultivar		0.00	0.55	0.75	1.05	1.23	1.38

(Values in parenthesis are transformed values)