Gamma Irradiation - A Tool for Enhancing Storage Life of Grain Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.)

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted at the Department of Seed Science and Technology, College of Agriculture, Vellayani to study the influence of gamma irradiation in enhancing storage life of grain cowpea. The experiment consists of six treatments (100 Gy, 200 Gy, 300 Gy, 400 Gy, 500 Gy and control) with different doses of gamma rays. All the treatments were effective in control of pulse beetle infestation without any seed damage and consequently no weight loss compared to control with 56.333, 28.182 per cent seed damage and weight loss. The treatments showed higher germination parameters compared to control at lower doses of gamma rays. The treatment T_2 (200 Gy) recorded highest seed germination percentage (84.33%). Speed of germination, seedling shoot length and seedling dry weight was highest at T_2 (200Gy) with 32.13, 11.83 cm and 0.703 g. Seedling root length was highest at T_1 (100 Gy) with 13.84 cm. Seedling vigour index I and II were maximum at T_2 (200 Gy) with 2130.49 and 59.28. In the field experiment, progressive decrease in all morphological parameters was observed with increase in doses of beyond 200 Gy. No significant variation was observed in 100 Gy, 200 Gy and control. However, among all the gamma doses, 200 Gy (T_2) recorded the least seed damage, weight loss and improvement in germination compared to control.

Keywords: Cowpea seeds; gamma irradiation; seed storage; seed germination; pulse beetle.

1. INTRODUCTION

Seed is the fertilized, matured ovule and a carrier of genetic potential for sustainable crop production. It is the basic and crucial input of agriculture around which all other input acts. Cowpea [Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.] also called black-eyed pea, lobia, barbati is a widely leguminous crop (2n=22).requirement of cowpea has been increasing over decades but there was a deficit in cowpea production in comparison with the demand by the growing population. In addition to the shortage of production, the stored product was also affected by the number of various biotic and abiotic factors. Among them, insect pests are of economic concern as they contribute to nearly 10- 50 per cent of damage and damage loss. Apart from them, mites, rodents, birds, and microbes also cause great loss. Stored pests that feed on seeds are the major biotic factor that causes considerable economic losses storage. Pulse beetle (Bruchids), Callosobruchus chinensis is the most important pest as they multiply rapidly and cause heavy loss both in field and storage [1]. Synthetic insecticides and fumigants are often used in storage to combat pests, but their widespread use in the field and storage has resulted in a slew of issues, including insecticide resistance, poisonous contaminants in food crops, waste and rising application prices [2]. Gamma irradiation of legumes has emerged as a new technology to combat the problems caused by the storage pests and helps to maintain its longevity in storage. Irradiation by use of gamma source Co 60 or Cs 135, X rays or high energy electrons provides an alternative to chemical

treatment that leads to inherent problems like residues and environmental pollution [3]. Irradiation can potentially eliminate insect pests of stored grains as well as field crops. It is an eco-friendly technology for insect pest management, without causing any induced residual effect and radioactivity.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted in Department of Seed Science and Technology, College of Agriculture, Vellayani, Thiruvananthapuram to study the effects of gamma rays in enhancing storage life of grain cowpea. The experiment consists of six treatments viz T₁: 100 Gy, T₂: 200 Gy, T₃: 300 Gy, T₄: 400 Gy, T₅: 500 Gy, T₆: Control. The experiment was conducted in Completely Randomized Block Design (CRD) in three replications. For imposition of gamma rays, 600 grams of seed is used in each treatment. Irradiation was carried out at Indian Institute of Horticultural Research (IIHR), Bangalore. The irradiated seeds were packed in polythene bags and stored for six months at ambient room temperature. The monthly observations on various parameters were carried out and statistically analysed. The percentage seed damage (Eq. 3) was determined by collecting a sample of 100 seeds from each three replications of each treatment at monthly intervals proposed by Adams and Schulten [4]. Seed weight loss percentage (Eq. 3) was calculated from number of damaged undamaged seeds, weight of damaged and undamaged seeds as given by Adams and Schulten [4]. Number of eggs per 100 seeds was also calculated at monthly intervals. germination test was carried out with 100 seeds in four replications with rolled paper towel method for 8 days [5]. Normal healthy seeds were taken for germination test. Other germination parameters like speed germination [6] (Eq. 3), seedling shoot and root length, seedling dry weight, Seedling vigour index I (Eq. 4) and II (Eq. 3) [7] was calculated at intervals. Irradiated monthly seeds randomly selected in each treatment and sown in field to evaluate any morphological abnormalities arising due to mutation effect. Seeds were sown with the spacing of 30x15 cm. The experiment was carried out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications. morphological parameters germination percentage, plant height, number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, 100 seed test weiaht and morphological abnormalities were observed.

$$Percentage\ seed\ damage = \frac{\text{Number of damaged seeds}}{\text{Total number of seeds taken}} \times 100\ (1)$$

Seed weight loss percentage=
$$\frac{U \text{ (Nd)-D (Nu)}}{U \text{ (Nu+Nd)}} \times 100$$
(2)

Nd- Number of damaged seeds

Nu- Number of undamaged seeds

D- Weight of damaged seeds

U- Weight of undamaged seeds

 $X_{n=}$ percent germination on n^{th} day $Y_{n=}$ number of days from sowing to n^{th} count

Seedling Vigor index I = Germination (%) x Seedling length (cm) (4) Seedling Vigor index II = Germination (%) x Seedling dry weight (g) (5)

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Among the different treatments, all the gamma doses used were significantly superior to control in reducing infestation of cowpea seeds during storage. Gamma irradiation proves to be an alternative for pulse beetle control and these results were confirmed with the investigations carried out by Dongre et al. [8,9] Seed damage was not observed in treatments T₁ (100 Gy) for up to three months of storage and T₂ (200 Gy) for up to five months of storage. No seed damage and insect eggs were observed in treatment T_3 (300 Gy), T_4 (400 Gy) and T_5 (500Gy) throughout the storage period. In contrast, seed infestation was observed from first month up to six months in control. At the end of storage period, percentage seed damage was highest in Control (56.33%) which was then followed by 100 Gy (2.667%) and 200 Gy (0.667%). In the same line, Darfour et al. [10] concluded that gamma rays of 250 Gv lead to 100 per cent mortality of C. maculatus within 8 days of irradiation. Exposure of green gram seeds infested with pulse beetle with different gamma doses ranging from 100 Gy to 500 Gy showed varying effects and at 100 Gy all the adult beetles were sterile [11]. Seed weight loss percentage was highest in control (28.182 %) which was then followed by 100 Gy (0.995 %) and 200 Gy (0.290 %). The results of the present study are in conformity with the findings of Enu and Enu [12] who reported that 300 Gy and 500 Gy gamma doses showed 100 per cent mortality Sitophilus zeamais and Callosobruchus maculatus. The number of eggs

progressively increased in control over the period of study. At the end of storage period, highest number of eggs was laid in control with the number of eggs ranging from 0.333 nos from the first month to 78.333 nos in the sixth month of storage.

Germination percentage was highly influenced by gamma rays. Irrespective of the treatments, germination percentage declined with increase in storage period. Susmitha and Rai [13] reported that a decrease in germination potential was due to the aging process that consequently leads to depletion of food reserves and deterioration. At the end of six months storage, T₂ (200 Gy) recorded highest germination per cent (84.33%), which was on par with T₁ (100 Gy) and T₆ (Control) with germination per cent of 81.80 per cent and 80.66 per cent. Also, T_5 (500 Gy) recorded the lowest germination per cent (26.33%) among the treated seeds as well as control seeds. The stimulatory effect germination at lower doses of gamma rays was due to RNA activation and protein synthesis. A lower dose of gamma rays had a stimulatory effect on germination due to better oxygen uptake and dehydrogenase enzyme activity that provides energy to the embryo and thereby increases the overall metabolic activity. Speed of germination was highly influenced by gamma rays. Treatment T₂ (200 Gy) recorded the highest speed of germination (32.13) which was on par with T₁ (100 Gy) and T₆ (Control). Treatment T₅ (500 Gy) recorded the lowest speed of germination (26.33). Early reports of Akshatha and Chandrasekar [14] also support these findings. The lower dose of gamma rays (25 Gy) imposed a significant increase in the speed of germination in Pterocarpus sp. Treatment T2 (200 Gy) recorded the highest seedling shoot length (11.83 cm), which was on par with T_6 (Control) with 11.56 cm and T_1 (100 Gy) with 11.50 cm. The least seedling shoot length (8.56 cm) was recorded in T_5 (500 Gy). The highest seedling root length (13.84 cm) was observed in T_1 (100 Gy) which was on par with T_2 (200 Gy) with 13.43 cm and T_6 (Control) with 12.45 cm. The least seedling root length (7.23 cm) was recorded in T_5 (500 Gy). Similar findings were reported by Pranesh *et al.* [15] in which a reduction in shoot length (5.1 and 5.9 cm) was observed at higher doses (1000 Gy) of gamma rays in Bengal gram and black gram at nine months of storage. The impact of gamma rays on germination parameters is given in Table 2.

Maximum seedling dry weight (0.703 g) was recorded in T₂ (200 Gy) which was on par with T₁ (100 Gy) with 0.693g, T₆ (Control) with 0.687 g and T₃ (300 Gy) with 0.641 g. The lowest value (0.549 g) was recorded by T_5 (500 Gy). The results are contradictory with Borzouei et al. [16] who reported that gamma doses of 100 Gy resulted in a 25 per cent increase in dry weight whereas 200, 300, and 400 Gy resulted in a decrease in dry weight compared to control. The reduced fresh and dry weight of shoot might be attributed to reduced moisture content or plant growth due to radiation stress [17]. Maximum seedling vigour index I (2130.49) was observed in T_2 (200 Gy) which was on par with T_1 (100 Gy) with 2074.57 and T₆ (Control) with 1939.24. The lowest value (1146.47) was exhibited by T₅ (500 Gy). Highest seedling vigour index II (59.28) was recorded in T₂ (200 Gy) which was on par with T₁ (100 Gy) with 56.73 and T_6 (control) with 55.52. The lowest value (39.86) was observed in T₅ (500 Gy). This result was supported by Chandrashekar [18] who found that seedling vigour index showed a two-fold increase at 50 Gy compared to control in Canarium strictum. Improvement in growth parameters may be due to enhanced photosynthesis that leads to an increase in carbohydrate content. The impact of gamma rays on seedling dry weight, seedling vigour index is given.

Table 1. Effect of gamma rays on percentage seed damage, seed weight loss percentage, and number of eggs per 100 seeds at 3 and 6 Months

Treatment	Percenta damage	•	Seed percentag	weight de (%)	loss	oss Number of eggs 100 seeds	
	3 MAS	6 MAS	3 MAS	6 MAS		3 MAS	6 MAS
T ₁ (100 Gy)	0.000	2.667 ^b	0.000	0.995⁵		0.000	4.333 ^b
	(1.00)	(1.816)	(1.00)	(1.373)		(1.00)	(2.150)
T ₂ (200 Gy)	0.000	0.667 ^c	0.000	0.290 ^b		0.333	1.667 ^{bc}
, , , ,	(1.00)	(1.244)	(1.00)	(1.122)		(1.138)	(1.577)
T ₃ (300 Gy)	Ò.00Ó	Ò.000 ´	Ò.00Ó	Ò.000 ´		Ò.000 ´	Ò.000 ´
	(1.00)	(1.00)	(1.00)	(1.00)		(1.00)	(1.00)
T ₄ (400 Gy)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000
	(1.00)	(1.00)	(1.00)	(1.00)		(1.00)	(1.00)

T ₅ (500 Gy)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	(1.00)	(1.00)	(1.00)	(1.00)	(1.00)	(1.00)
T ₆ (Control)	2.000	56.333 ^a	0.748	28.182 ^a	3.000	78.333 ^a
,	(1.656)	(7.558)	(1.303)	(5.395)	(1.882)	(8.903)
SE (m)	Ò.146 [´]	Ò.242 [´]	Ò.065 [°]	Ò.133 [′]	Ò.203 [´]	Ò.282 [′]
CD (5%)	NS	0.754	NS	0.414	NS	0.878

NS- Non significant

MAS- Months after storage

Values in parenthesis are square root transferred values

The values in the same column with the same alphabet are not significantly different

Table 2. Effect of gamma doses on germination percentage, speed of germination, seedling shoot length, and seedling root length at 3 and 6 Months

Treatment	Germination percentage (%)		Speed of germination		Seedling shoot length (cm)		Seedling root length (cm)	
	3 MAS	6 MAS	3 MAS	6 MAS	3 MAS	6 MAS	3 MAS	6 MAS
T ₁ (100 Gy)	89.00 ^a	81.80 ^{ab}	35.33 ^a	32.10 ^a	13.83 ^a	11.50 ^{ab}	15.63 ^a	13.84 ^a
	(9.48)	(9.09)	(6.03)	(5.75)	(3.85)	(3.53)	(4.07)	(3.85)
T ₂ (200 Gy)	90.40 ^a	84.33 ^a	35.20 ^a	32.13 ^a	13.63 ^a	11.83 ^a	15.50 ^a	13.43 ^{ab}
	(9.56)	(9.23)	(6.02)	(5.76)	(3.82)	(3.58)	(4.06)	(3.78)
T ₃ (300 Gy)	86.30 ^{ab}	78.19 ^{bc}	31.10 ^b	28.40 ^b	11.56 ^{bc}	10.30 ^{bc}	10.87 ^b	9.17 ^c
	(9.34)	(8.89)	(5.67)	(5.420	(3.54)	(3.36)	(3.44)	(3.18)
T ₄ (400 Gy)	83.00 ^{bc}	76.79 ^{cd}	29.63 ^c	27.60 ^b	10.63 ^{cd}	9.53 ^{cd}	9.50 ^c	8.46 ^c
	(9.16)	(8.81)	(5.53)	(5.35)	(3.40)	(3.24)	(3.24)	(3.07)
T ₅ (500 Gy)	79.39 ^c	72.60 ^d	28.03 ^c	26.33 ^c	10.03 ^d	8.56 ^d	8.20 ^d	7.23 ^d
	(8.96)	(8.57)	(5.39)	(5.23)	(3.32)	(3.09)	(3.03)	(2.86)
T ₆ (Control)	88.19 ^a	80.66 ^{abc}	34.20 ^a	31.40 ^a	12.60 ^{ab}	11.56 ^{ab}	15.07 ^a	12.45 ^b
	(9.44)	(9.03)	(5.93)	(5.69)	(3.67)	(3.54)	(4.00)	(3.66)
SE (m)	0.07	0.08	0.03	0.03	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.05
CD (5%)	0.227	0.269	0.095	0.114	0.192	0.187	0.151	0.162

MAS- Months after storage

Values in parenthesis are square root transferred values

The values in the same column with the same alphabet are not significantly different

Table 3. Effect of gamma doses on seedling dry weight, seedling vigour index I and seedling vigour index II at 3and 6 Months

Treatment	Seedling dry weight (g)		Seedling vi	gour index I	Seedling vigour index II	
	3 MAS	6 MAS	3 MAS	6 MAS	3 MAS	6 MAS
T1	0.744 ^{ab}	0.693 ^a	2622.31 ^a	2074.57 ^a	66.14 ^{ab}	56.73 ^{ab}
	(1.320)	(1.301)	(51.20)	(45.53)	(8.19)	(7.59)
T2	0.759 ^a	0.703 ^a	2635.00 ^a	2130.49 ^a	68.56 ^a	59.28 ^a
	(1.326)	(1.305)	(51.33)	(46.17)	(8.34)	(7.76)
T3	0.721 ^{bcd}	0.641 ^{ab}	1935.13 ^b	1522.68 ^b	62.24 ^c	50.14 ^{bc}
	(1.312)	(1.281)	(44.00)	(39.03)	(7.95)	(7.15)
T4	0.700 ^{cd}	0.597 ^{bc}	1672.02°	1383.03 ^b	58.07 ^d	45.89 ^{cd}
	(1.304)	(1.264)	(40.89)	(37.19)	(7.69)	(6.84)
T5	0.693 ^d	0.549 ^c	1447.06 ^d	1146.47 ^c	55.04 ^e	39.86 ^d
	(1.301)	(1.245)	(38.05)	(33.87)	(7.49)	(6.39)
T6	0.728 ^{abc}	0.687 ^a	2438.30 ^a	1939.24 ^a	64.25 ^{bc}	55.52 ^{ab}
	(1.315)	(1.301)	(49.37)	(44.02)	(8.07)	(7.51)
SE (m)	0.004	0.008	0.63	0.72	0.064	0.165
CD (5%)	0.0130	0.0260	1.985	2.264	0.201	0.513

MAS- Months after storage

Values in parenthesis are square root transferred values

The values in the same column with the same alphabet are not significantly different

Table 4. Field evaluation of gamma irradiated seeds for morphological parameters

Treatment	Germination percentage (%)	Plant height (cm)	Number of pods plant ⁻¹	Number of seeds pod ⁻¹	100 seed test weight (g)
T ₁ (100 Gy)	96.0 ^a	39.92 ^a	16.48 ^b	15.24 ^a	15.20 ^a
	(9.849)	(6.397)	(4.181)	(4.030)	(4.025)
T ₂ (200 Gy)	96.0 ^a	39.91 ^a	16.67 ^a	15.25 ^a	10.53
	(9.849)	(6.396)	(4.204)	(4.031)	(3.396)
T ₃ (300 Gy)	84.0 ^b	39.96 ^a	16.39 ^b	15.27 ^{a′}	10.52
	(9.218)	(6.400)	(4.170)	(4.034)	(3.395)
T ₄ (400 Gy)	76.0 ^c	38.52 ^{b'}	16.39 ^b	15.10 ^b	10.49
, ,,,	(8.774)	(6.287)	(4.170)	(4.012)	(3.390)
T ₅ (500 Gy)	62.0 ^d	35.99 ^b	15.20 ^d	15.08 ^c	10.48
• ,	(7.937)	(6.082)	(4.025)	(4.010)	(3.388)
T ₆ (Control)	96.0 ^a	39.95°	16.37 ^{b′}	15.20 ^{a′}	10.52 ´
,	(9.849)	(6.399)	(4.168)	(4.025)	(3.395)
SE (m)	0.077 ´	Ò.003 [^]	0.004	0.003	0.003 ´
CD (5%)	0.245	0.009	0.013	0.009	NS

NS- Non significant MAS- Mo

MAS- Months after storage

Values in parenthesis are square root transferred values
The values in the same column with the same alphabet are not significantly different

In the field study, a progressive decrease in the germination percentage was observed with increasing doses of gamma rays. The treatment (T₅) 500 Gy exhibited a low germination percentage of 62% followed by (T₄) 400 Gy with 76 %, and (T₃) 300 Gy with 84 %. Treatments 100 Gy and 200 Gy showed no difference in germination percentage compared to control. Higher gamma doses ranging from 350-500 Gy decreased germination percentage of chickpea [19]. Highest dose of gamma rays 500 Gy (T₅) resulted in reduction in plant height (35.99cm). There was no significant difference among the mean values of control, 100 Gy, 200 and 300 Gy. The mean number of pods plant ranged between 15.20 nos in (T₅) 500 Gy to 16.67 nos in (T₂) 200 Gy as compared to the (T₆) control (16.37 nos). The highest mean was recorded in (T_2) 200 Gy with 16.67 nos and the lowest in (T_5) 500 Gy with 15.20 nos. Gamma rays treated populations exhibited lower treatment mean for number of seeds pod-1 as compared to control at higher doses. The mean for this character was highest in (T₃) 300 Gy (15.27 nos), followed by (T₂) 200 Gy (15.25 nos) and lowest in treatment (T_5) 500 Gy (15.08 nos) among all the treatments.

Less variation in means of 100 seed weight was observed in all the treatments and there were no significant differences among the treatments. Higher means for this character were recorded in (T_2) 200 Gy compared to control. An increase in 1000 kernel weight and harvest index of canola

was found in seeds treated with 100 Gy gamma rays [20].

Sometimes the reduction in physiological traits may be due to sudden destruction of growth inhibitors and metabolic changes [21]. No morphological abnormalities was observed in control and lower doses of gamma rays , whereas few crinkled leaves were found in higher doses like (T $_{\! 4})$ 400 Gy and (T $_{\! 5})$ 500 Gy in the early days. However, these plants recovered and produced normal leaflets afterwards.

4. CONCLUSION

Gamma radiation at 200 Gy registered a higher value for seed germination parameters and was highly effective in controlling pulse beetle infestation. Though, gamma doses above 200 Gy were effective in controlling pulse beetle, it showed reduction in germination parameters and morphological traits.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

Please check yellow highlighted references number, provides complete references

 Ahmed KS, Itino T, Ichikawa T. Duration of developmental stages of Callasobruchus chinensis L. (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) on

- azuki bean and the effects of neem and sesame oils at different stages of their development. Pakisthan Journal of Biological Sciences. 2003;6(10):932-335.
- Kumar S, Sharma AK, Rawat SS, Jain DK, Ghosh S. Use of pesticides in agriculture and livestock animals and its impact on environment of India. Asian Journal of Environmental Sciences. 2013;8(1):51-57.
- 3. Farkas J. Irradiation as a method for decontaminating food: A review. International Journal of Food Microbiology. 1998;44(3):189-204.
- Adams JM, Schulten GGM. Losses caused by insects, mites and microorganisms. Post-Harvest Grain Loss Assessment Methods. American Association of Cereal Chemists, St. Paul, Minnesota. USA. 1978;98-100.
- 5. ISTA International Seed Testing Association International rules for seed testing. Seed Science and Technology. 2013;27:25-30.
- 6. Maguire JD. Speed of germination aid in selection and evaluation for seedling emergence and vigour. Crop Sciences. 1962:2:176-177.
- Abdul-Baki AA, Anderson JD. Physiological and biochemical deterioration of seed. In: Kozlowski TT, Seed Biology (2ndeds). Academic Press, New York, London. 1973;283-315.
- 8. Dongre TK, Harwalkar MR, Nene SP, Padwal-Desai SR. Radio-sensitivity of different developmental stages of pulse beetle (*Callosobruchus maculatus*). Journal of Food Science and Technology 1997; 34(5): 413-415.
- 9. Tripathi K, Chauhan SK, Gore PG, Prasad TV, Srinivasan K, Bhalla S. Screening of cowpea [Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.] accessions against pulse-beetle, Callosobruchus chinensis (L.). Legume Research International Journal. 2015;38(5):675-680.
- Darfour B, Ocloo FCK, Wilson DD. Effects of irradiation on the cowpea weevil (Callosobruchus maculates F.) and moisture sorption isotherm of cowpea seed (Vigna unguiculata L. Walp). Arthropods. 2012;1(1):4.
- Bhalla S, Gupta K, Lal B, Kapur ML, Khetarpal RK. Efficacy of various nonchemical methods against pulse beetle, Callosobruchus maculatus (Fab.). In: Endure International Conference on Diversifying Crop Protection; 2008.

- 12. Enu R, Enu P. Sterilization of grains using ionizing radiation: the case in Ghana. European Scientific Journal. 2014;10(6):117-136.
- Susmitha P, Rai PK. Studies on effects of seed treatments, polymer coating and packaging materials on seed quality parameters of stored cowpea (Vigna unguiculata) seeds. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemsitry. 2017;6(3):677-681.
- Akshatha CK, Chandrashekar KR. Effect of gamma irradiation on germination growt and biochemical parameters of Pterocarpus santalinus, an endangered species of Eastern Ghats. European Journal of Experimental Biology. 2013;3:266-70.
- 15. Pranesh P, Doddagoudar SR, Vasudevan SN, Shakunthala NM, Aswathanarayana DS. Influence of Gamma Irradiation and Seed Treatment Chemicals on Seed Longevity of Bengal Gram (Cicer arietinum L.) and Black Gram (Vigna mungo L.). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences.2019; 8(12): 2866–2881
- Borzouei A, Kafi M, Khazaei H, Naseriyan B, Majdabadi A. Effects of gamma radiation on germination and physiological aspects of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) seedlings. Pakisthan Journal of Botany. . 2010; 42(4): 2281-2290
- 17. Majeed A, Muhammad Z. Gamma irradiation effects on some growth parameters of *Lepidium sativum L*. World Journal of Fungal and Plant Biology. 2010;1(1):8-11.
- 18. Chandrashekar KR. Gamma rays seed germination accelerated and physiological attributes in Canarium strictum Roxb. In: Proceedings of the NAARRI international conference on state of the art radiation processing-radiation processing in a changing world: Book of abstracts and souvenir. 2015;50.
- Hameed A, Shah TM, Atta BM, Haq MA, Sayed HINA. Gamma irradiation effects on seed germination and growth, protein content, peroxidase and protease activity, lipid peroxidation in desi and kabuli chickpea. Pakisthan Journal Botany. 2008; 40(3):1033-1041.
- 20. Rahimi MM, Bahrani A. Effect of gamma irradiation on qualitative and quantitative characteristics of canola (*Brassica napus*

- L.). Middle-East Journal of Science Research. 2011; 8(2):519-525.
- Ariraman M, Gnanamurthy S, Dhanavel D, Bharathi T, Murugan S. Mutagenic effect

on seed germination, seedling growth and seedling survival of Pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan (L.)* Millsp). International Letters of Natural Sciences. 2014;16.

© 2022 Jayashri et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.