# Original Research Article

# Influence of iron and bio-fertilizer on growth parameters and yield of baby corn (*Zea mays* L.) Prayagraj condition.

### **Abstract**:

**Back ground**: Baby corn maize (Zea mays L.) is a highly profitable alternative to farmers due to its short crop duration, being harvested at the juvenile stage. It demands large amounts of nutrients in a short time. Iron micronutrients gives higher yield as they play major role in assimilation rate and metabolic activities in plant. Biofertilizers are required to restore the fertility of the soil. Prolonged use of chemical fertilizers degrades the soil and affects baby corn yield.

Objectives: Effect of iron and bio-fertilizers on growth and yield of baby corn

**Methods**: With the goal of studying the effect of iron and bio-fertilizers on growth and yield of Baby corn (Zea mays L.) Var. ABV - 04 under a Randomized block design with 8 treatments (T1-T8). The experimental results revealed that 0.5% FeSO<sub>4</sub> (3g/lit of water) + Azotobacter seed inoculation (200 g/10kg of seed) produced maximum plant height (185.27), number of leaves/plant(12.58), plant dry weight (89.28) and yield parameters cobs/plant (2.34), cob weight without husk (12.34g), cob yield with husk (10.81 t/ha), and cob yield without husk (3.91t/ha).

**Conclusion**: Based on the findings of this study, it is concluded that 0.5 percent FeSO<sub>4</sub> (5g/lit of water) + Azotobacter seed inoculation (200 g/10kg of seed) can ensure the profitable production of baby corn. These practises could be passed on to farmers to help them earn more money in this agro-climatic zone. Based on the findings of this study, it is concluded that 0.5 percent FeSO<sub>4</sub>(5g/lit of water) + Azotobacter seed inoculation (200 g/10kg of seed) can ensure the profitable production of baby corn. These practises could be passed on to farmers to help them earn more money in this agro-climatic zone.

Keywords: Growth, yield, iron and bio-fertilizer

#### **INTRODUCTION**

"Maize is one of the most important cereal crops next to rice and wheat in world agriculture economy both as food for men and feed for animals. It has high yield potential, there is no crop on earth which has so immense potentiality and that is why it is called queen of cereals. Its botanical name is Zea mays L. belonging to the family Gramineae, sub family Poaceae and chromosome number is 20 (2n)" [14]. "Christopher Columbus reported that maize was cultivated in Halti, where it was named "mahiz". He carried maize from America to Europe and later it was carried by Portuguese and others Europeans to Africa and Asia, during 16<sup>th</sup> and 17<sup>th</sup> centuries. Already, this crop has been developed into a multi dollar business in foreign countries (Thailand, Taiwan, Singapore, Malaysia, USA, Canada and Germany) because of its potential as a value added product for export and a good food substitute. During recent times, its potentiality has been extended to the field of vegetable production" [7]. "In India, cultivation of baby corn is a recent development and its industry is still at a juvenile stage. Its cultivation is only now picking up seriously in Meghalaya, Western UP, Haryana, Maharashtra, Karnataka and Andhra Pradesh. In India, maize (Zea mays L.) is grown on an area of 9.43 m ha, with production and productivity of 24.35 M mt and 2583 kg/ha, respectively. Baby corn grown for vegetable purpose is successful in countries like Thailand, Taiwan, Srilanka and Burma. It has been developed into a multi-dollar business because of its potential as a value-added product for export and a good food substitute" [13].

"Application of micronutrient also play significant role in improvement of grain yield of maize. Among micronutrient iron plays an important role in photosynthesis, nitrogen metabolism and regulates auxin concentration in the plant. The Fe deficiency was found wide spread in indian soil. Iron is most crucial amongst the micronutrients that take part in plant growth parameter and development due to its catalytic action in metabolism of almost all crops" [3]. However, micronutrients can be applied into the soil as well essential nutrient is iron (Fe), the lack of which causes chlorosis and is responsible for significant decreases in yield and quality of plants.

"Bio-fertilizers play an important role in the increasing availability of nitrogen and phosphorus. Among several bio agent *Azospirillum* is known to fix atmospheric nitrogen and increased about 10-15 % grain yield in maize" [9]. The availability of phosphorous low as compared to that of N & K. under such situation, the phosphate solubilizing microorganism (PSB) plays significant role in making the phosphorous available to plants by secretion of organic acids and enzyme phosphatase which solubilizes the insoluble phosphate and thereby it helps in increasing the crop production.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The research was performed at the Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences (SHUATS), Prayagraj, during the Rabi season 2021-22, (U.P.). The research field is about 9 kilometers from Prayagraj city, along the Yamuna River, on the Prayagraj-Rewa Road's left side. Prayagraj lies in Uttar Pradesh's subtropical zone, with hot summers and pleasant winters. The area's average temperature is 23°C to 38°C, with temperatures seldom dropping below 3°C or 4°C. The relative humidity levels range from 26% to 78%. In this location, the average annual rainfall is 1050 mm. The soil chemistry analysis revealed a sandy loam texture with a pH of 7.2, low amounts of organic carbon (0.48 percent) and potassium (215.4 kg/ha), and a low quantity of accessible phosphorus (13.6 kg/ha) [13]. The soil was electrically conductive and had a conductivity of 0.26 dS/m. For each of the eight treatment combinations, three replications were employed. The therapy details and treatment combinations are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Iron and biofertilizer were maintained according to the treatment combinations. Plant height (185.27cm) at harvest, number of leaves (12.58) at harvest, dry weight (89.28) at harvest, number of cobs/plant (2.34), weight of cob (12.34g), baby corn yield with husk (10.81t/ha), and baby corn yield without husk (3.91t/ha) were all successfully measured, and economic analysis of each treatment was completed to determine the best treatment combination for baby corn cultivation. The statistics were calculated and analyzed using the (7) statistical approach.

#### **Results and Discussion**

#### **Growth parameters at maturity**

#### Plant height (cm) at harvest

Table 1 shows iron and bio-fertilizer on plant height at harvest. The Data indicated that spacing had significant impact on plant height at harvest during the crop growth period. At harvest maximum plant height (185.27 cm) was recorded with application of 0.5% [5gms/lit of water] Feso4 + Azotobacter seed inoculation [200gm/10kg of seeds] which was significantly superior over rest of the treatments and remained at par with application 0.3% [3gms/lit of water] Feso4 + Azotobacter seed inoculation [200gm/10kg of seeds] (181.19), 0.5% [5gms/lit of water] Feso4 + Azospirilum seed inoculation [200gm/10kg of seeds] (175.54), 25 kg/ha Feso4 (Soil application) + Azotobacter seed inoculation [200gm/10kg of seeds] (173.56). Application of Fe improves photosynthesis and activates many enzymes and helps intransport of assimilates towards stem. Bio fertilizer plays major role in plant physiology and morphology. Plant height was significantly affected by foliar application of Fe [12]. Similar observation was also reported by [1]. They observed significant improvement on plant height in corn with ultimate increase in organic manure rates. Bio fertilizer supply essential nutrient elements to promote vigorous growth and physiological activities in the plant system. Increased the rate of bio fertilizer application significantly get higher plant height, leaf area index and dry matter production. Similar result was also observed by and [4 and 2].

# Number of leaves per plant at harvest

Table 1 shows iron and bio-fertilizer on number of leaves per plant at harvest. The Data indicated that spacing had significant impact on number of leaves per plant at harvest. At harvest, maximum no of leaves (12.58) was recorded with application of 0.5% [5gms/lit of water] Feso4 + Azotobacter seed inoculation [200gm/10kg of seeds]. There was no significant minimum no of leaves (10.33) was recorded with application of 0.3 % (3g/lit of water) FeSO<sub>4</sub> + Azospirilum seed inoculation (200 g/10kg of seed). Fe is an important element in crops, because it is necessary for synthesize chlorophyll, keeps up the structure of chloroplasts, involved in nitrogen fixation which lead to higher crop production [12].

## Plant dry weight

Table 1 shows iron and bio-fertilizer on dry weight per plant at harvest. The Data indicated that at harvest, At harvest, maximum dry weight (89.28) was recorded with application of 0.5% [5gms/lit of water] Feso4 + Azotobacter seed inoculation [200gm/10kg of seeds] which was significantly superior over rest of the treatments and remained at par with application 0.3% [3gms/lit of water] Feso4 + Azotobacter seed inoculation [200gm/10kg of seeds] (87.38), 0.5% [5gms/lit of water] Feso4 + Azotobacter seed inoculation [200gm/10kg of seeds] (84.87), 25 kg/ha Feso4 (Soil application) + Azotobacter seed inoculation [200gm/10kg of seeds] (83.28), 15 kg/ha Feso4 (Soil application) + Azotobacter seed inoculation [200gm/10kg of seeds] (82.56). **Jat et al.** [5] also reported a significant increase in shoot dry weight by Fe application under both aerobic and flooded plots.

### **Yield parameters**

# **Number of cobs per plant**

Observations regarding the response of different levels of iron and bio-fertilizer on yield and yield attributes of baby corn are given in table 2 the results revealed that the data among different treatments, crop supplied with 0.5% [5gms/lit of water] Feso4 + Azotobacter seed inoculation [200gm/10kg of seeds] recorded highest number of cobs/plant (2.34) was at par with treatment with 0.3% [3gms/lit of water] Feso4 + Azotobacter seed inoculation [200gm/10kg of seeds] (2.26), 0.5% [5gms/lit of water] Feso4 + Azotobacter seed inoculation [200gm/10kg of seeds] (2.24), 25 kg/ha Feso4 (Soil application)+ Azotobacter seed inoculation [200gm/10kg of seeds] (2.16), 15 kg/ha Feso4 (Soil application)+ Azotobacter seed inoculation [200gm/10kg of seeds] (2.08),25 kg/ha Feso4 (Soil application)+ Azotobacter seed inoculation [200gm/10kg of seeds] (2.05). Application of bio fertilizer and inorganic combination along with foliar application of iron ultimately accrued huge quantity of biomass and partitioned a large fraction of assimilates to the sink, resulting in enhanced yield structures (cobs) as displayed by all the yield attributes. The finding of, [8] confirmed these results.

### Weight of cob (without husk) (g)

Table 2 shows iron and bio-fertilizer on weight of cob (without husk). The data revealed that various treatments of the highest weight per cob without husk of baby corn (12.34) was measured with application 0.5% [5gms/lit of water] Feso4 + Azotobacter seed

inoculation [200gm/10kg of seeds] which was superior over rest of the treatments followed by 0.3% [3gms/lit of water] Feso4 + Azotobacter seed inoculation [200gm/10kg of seeds] (12.20), 0.5% [5gms/lit of water] Feso4 + Azospirilum seed inoculation [200gm/10kg of seeds] (11.59). From this study, it was inferred that combination of potassium and Iron micronutrients gives higher yield as they play major role in assimilation rate and metabolic activities in plant. Similar results were found with **Salomone and Dobereiner [10].** 

### Cob yield with husk (t/ha)

The data on cob yield with husk (t/ha) as influenced by different iron and bio-fertilizers is tabulated in table 2. It is evident from this data the cob yield was significantly influenced by different iron and bio-fertilizers. The highest cob yield with husk of baby corn (10.81 t/ha) was measured with application 0.5% [5gms/lit of water] Feso4 + Azotobacter seed inoculation [200gm/10kg of seeds] which was superior over rest of the treatments followed by 0.3% [3gms/lit of water] Feso4+Azotobacter seed inoculation [200gm/10kg of seeds] (9.81 t/ha) and 0.5% [5gms/lit of water] Feso4 + Azospirilum seed inoculation [200gm/10kg of seeds] (9.12 t/ha). Similar results were found with Shanmugam and Veeraputhran [11].

# Cob yield without husk (t/ha)

The data on cob yield without husk (t/ha) as influenced by different iron and biofertilizers is tabulated in table 2. It is evident from this data the cob yield was significantly influenced by different iron and bio-fertilizers. The highest cob yield without husk of baby corn (3.91 t/ha) was measured with application 0.5% [5gms/lit of water] Feso4 + Azotobacter seed inoculation [200gm/10kg of seeds] which was superior over rest of the treatments followed by 0.3% [3gms/lit of water] Feso4 + Azotobacter seed inoculation [200gm/10kg of seeds] (3.74 t/ha), 50kg potassium + 0.1% iron (3.61 t/ha) and 0.5% [5gms/lit of water] Feso4 + Azospirilum seed inoculation [200gm/10kg of seeds] (3.62 t/ha). Similar results were found with **Kumar** *et al.* [6].

#### Conclusion

The combination of 0.5 % FeSO<sub>4</sub> (5g/lit of water) + Azotobacter seed inoculation (200 g/10kg of seed) proved to be the most advantageous to farmers, resulting in 185.27cm plant height, number of leaves 12.58, plant dry weight 89.28(g/plant), no of cobs per plant 2.34, Cob weight

without husk12.34(g),cob yield with husk10.81(t/ha) and cob yield without husk 3.91(t/ha), respectively.

# References

- **1.** Akongwubel, A. O., U. B. Ewa, A. Prince, O. Jude, A. Martins, O. Simon and O. Nicholas. (2012). Evaluation of agronomic performance of maize (*Zea mays* L.) under different rates of poultry manure application in an ultisol of Obubra, cross river state, Nigeria. *International Journal of Agriculture and Forestry*; **2**(4): 138-144.
- **2.** Channal, B. K. (2017). Effect of organic and inorganic sources of nutrients on soil fertilitand productivity of maize (*Zea mays* L.). (Doctor of science), University of Agriculture Science, Dharwad.
- **3.** George, R., and M. Schmitt. (2002). Zinc for crop production. Regents of the University of Minnesota.
- **4.** Igua, P., L. Huasi, E. H. P. Goroka and P. N. Guinea. (2009). Effect of chicken manure, *Tithonia diversifolia* and *Albizzia spp* on maize plant height and dry matter production–lessons learnt in the eastern highlands of PNG. Paper presented at the 17th International Farm Management Congress, Bloomington/Normal, Illinois, and USA.
- **5.** Jat, S. L., Shivay, Y. S. and Parihar, C. M., 2011, Dual purpose summer legumes and zinc fertilization for improving productivity and zinc utilization in aromatic hybrid rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Indian J. Agron.*, **56**(4): 328-333.
- **6.** Kumar, A. (2009). Influence of varying plant population and micronutrient levels on growth, yield, economics and nitrogen use efficiency of popcorn (*Zea mays*). *Crop Res.*, **37**:19-23.

- **7.** Mugalkhod, A.S., Shivamurthy, D., Kumar, A. and Biradar, M.S. (2011). Yield components of baby corn (*Zea mays* L.) as affected by planting method and irrigation schedule under drip. *Plant Achives* 11 (1): 379-381.
- **8.** Nagavani, A. V. and Subbian, P. 2014. Productivity and economics of hybrid maize as influenced by integrated nutrient management. *Current Biotica*. **7**(4): 283-293.
- **9.** Patil, S., Girijesh, G. K., Nandini, K. M., Kumar, K., Pradeep, L. S. and Kumar, T. M. R. (2017). Effect of Zinc Application through Soil and Foliar Means on Biofortification of Zinc in Rainfed Maize (*Zea mays* L.), *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* **5**(1): 246-253
- **10.** Salomone, G., and J. Dobereiner. (2004). Maize genotype effects on the response to Azospirillum inculation. *Biology and Fertility of soils*, **21**(3): 193-196.
- **11.** Shanmugam, P. M. and Veeraputhran, R., (2000). Effect of organic manure, biofertilizers, inorganic nitrogen and zinc on growth and yield of *rabi* rice (*Oryza sativa* L). *Madras Agricultural Journal*, **88**(7-9): 514–517
- **12.** Zayed, B. A., Salem, A. K. M. and Sharkawy, H. M. 2011. Effect of Different Micronutrient Treatments on and Fe on Rice (*Oriza sativa* L.) Growth and Yield under Saline Soil Conditions. *World J. Agric. Sci.*, **7**(2): 179-184.
  - 13. Kumar P, Dawson J, Sanodiya LK. Effect of organic manures and panchagavya on growth and yield of baby corn (Zea mays L.). The Pharma Innovation Journal 2022; 11(5): 305-309
  - 14. Murdia LK, Wadhwani R, Wadhawan N, Bajpai P, Shekhawat S. Maize utilization in India: an overview. American Journal of Food and Nutrition. 2016;4(6):169-76.

Table 1: Effect of iron and bio-fertilizers on growth parameters at maturity of baby corn

Treatment details		Growth Parameters			
		Plant	Number of	Plant dry weight (g/	
		height (cm)	leaves plant <sup>-1</sup>	plant)	
1	15 kg/ha FeSO <sub>4</sub> (Soil application) + Azospirilum seed inoculation (200 g/10kg of seed)	159.24	10.54	80.27	
2	15 kg/ha FeSO <sub>4</sub> (Soil application) + Azotobacter seed inoculation (200 g/10kg of seed)	167.28	11.43	82.56	
3	25 kg /ha FeSO <sub>4</sub> (Soil application) + Azospirilum seed inoculation (200 g/10kg of seed)	163.96	10.95	81.59	
4	25 kg /ha FeSO <sub>4</sub> (Soil application) + Azotobacter seed inoculation (200 g/10kg of seed)	173.56	11.71	83.28	
5	0.3 % FeSO <sub>4</sub> (3g/lit of water) + Azospirilum seed inoculation (200 g/10kg of seed)	151.25	10.33	78.43	
6	0.3 % FeSO <sub>4</sub> (3g/lit of water) + Azotobacter seed inoculation (200 g/10kg of seed)	181.19	12.26	87.38	
7	0.5 % FeSO <sub>4</sub> (3g/lit of water) + Azospirilum seed inoculation (200 g/10kg of seed)	175.54	11.84	84.87	
8	0.5 % FeSO <sub>4</sub> (3g/lit of water) + Azotobacter seed inoculation (200 g/10kg of seed)	185.27	12.58	89.28	
	F Test		NS	S	
SEd. ( <u>+</u> )		4.604	0.154	2.53	
CD (P=0.05)		13.641		7.59	

Table 2: Effect of iron and bio-fertilizers on yield parameters at maturity of baby corn

		Yield Parameters				
		Cobs	Cob	Cob yield	Cob yield	
	Treatment details	/plant	weight (g	(t/ha with	without	
		(No.)	without	husk)	husk	
			husk)	4	(t/ha)	
1	15 kg/ha FeSO <sub>4</sub> (Soil application) + Azospirilum seed	1.84	10.13	7.51	3.36	
	inoculation (200 g/10kg of seed)					
2	15 kg/ha FeSO <sub>4</sub> (Soil application) + Azotobacter seed	2.08	11.07	8.43	3.46	
	inoculation (200 g/10kg of seed)					
3	25 kg /ha FeSO <sub>4</sub> (Soil application) + Azospirilum seed	2.05	10.83	7.88	3.43	
	inoculation (200 g/10kg of seed)					
4	25 kg /ha FeSO <sub>4</sub> (Soil application) + Azotobacter seed	2.16	11.23	8.58	3.54	
	inoculation (200 g/10kg of seed)	/				
5	0.3 % FeSO <sub>4</sub> (3g/lit of water) + Azospirilum seed inoculation (200 g/10kg of seed)	1.65	9.63	7.14	2.95	
6	0.3 % FeSO <sub>4</sub> (3g/lit of water) + Azotobacter seed inoculation	2.26	12.2	9.81	3.74	
	(200 g/10kg of seed)					
7	0.5 % FeSO <sub>4</sub> (3g/lit of water) + Azospirilum seed inoculation	2.24	11.59	9.12	3.62	
	(200 g/10kg of seed)					
8	0.5 % FeSO <sub>4</sub> (3g/lit of water) + Azotobacter seed inoculation	2.34	12.34	10.81	3.91	
	(200 g/10kg of seed)					
F Test		S	S	S	S	
SEd. ( <u>+</u> )		0.101	0.37	0.632	0.141	
CD (P=0.05)		0.304	1.023	1.896	0.428	







Fig 1. A and B at the time of sowing and readings at 15DAS C and D Spraying Feso<sub>4</sub> at 40DAS and harvest stage for during trail period