

## Growth and Decomposition of Onion Production in Maharashtra, India

### ABSTRACT

The study was aimed to investigate the growth, instability and decomposition of onion in Maharashtra. The data of area, production and productivity of onion pertaining to 30 years (1989-90 to 2018-19) were made available through the secondary source of NHRDF which was further analysed using exponential function, Cuddy Della Valle's Index and decomposition analysis model. The results of this study revealed that, there was positive and significant growth of area, production and yield of onion during overall study period with annual growth rates of 8.43 per cent, 9.36 per cent and 0.85 per cent, respectively. There was high instability during overall period for area and production while, productivity showed a stability with low instability value of 12.89 per cent. The decomposition analysis indicated that the area under onion cultivation played an important role for the rise in production of onion with 62.80 per cent of area effect, 4.97 of yield effect and 32.21 per cent of the interaction effect during overall study period. The results of decomposition analysis have important policy implications because each growth component alone has a limited scope to expand overtime. This requires serious attention of the breeders and entomologists working in the agricultural universities of the states and also the ICAR institutes in the relevant field to bring some technological breakthrough to raise onion productivity in the state.

**Key Words:** Growth Rate, Instability, Cuddy Della Valle's Instability Indices, Decomposition, Onion

Onion (*Allium cepa L*) is one of the most important vegetables in the consumption basket of people, across the length and breadth of the country as well as across the socio-economic strata of society. Onion is believed to have originated in Asia, though it is likely that onions may have been growing wild on every continent. Onion cultivars are consist about 89 per cent water, 4% sugar, 1 per cent protein, 2 per cent fiber and 0.1 per cent fat. They are high in vitamin C, vitamin B6 and folic acid and are a good source of dietary fiber. They are very low in fats and in sodium, and with an energy value of 166 kJ (40 kcal) per 100 g (3.5 oz) serving.

The worldwide production of Onion is 999.68 Lakh tonnes which is cultivated on an area of over 51.93 Lakh ha with productivity of 19.25 tonnes/ha. The major onion producing countries are China, India, USA, Egypt, Turkey, Pakistan, Sudan, Bangladesh, Iran and Russia. These ten major countries account for around 66 per cent of total global onion

production. China stood at first position among the major onion producing countries followed by India with an area of 12.20 Lakh ha, 228.19 Lakh tonnes of production and 18.70 tonnes/ha of productivity. These two countries together contributes nearly 50 per cent of global area and production of onion (FAO, 2021).

Maharashtra ranks first in Onion production with a share of 29.55%. It produces about 8087 thousand ton of onion from 450-thousand-hectare area with the productivity of 17.88 ton/ha (NHRDF, 2018-19). The state of Maharashtra is, therefore, called onion basket of India.

The paper has worked out the subsequent objectives: 1) To estimate growth rates of area, production and productivity of onion in Maharashtra, 2) To work out instability of area, production and productivity of onion in Maharashtra and 3) To estimate relative contribution of area and yield to change in the output of onion in Maharashtra.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The current study was based on secondary time series data of area, production and productivity of onion pertaining to 30 years starting from 1989-90 to 2018-19, which was collected from NHRDF. The entire study period was categorized as follows; 1989-90 to 1998-99 (Period I), 1999-00 to 2008-09 (Period II), 2009-10 to 2018-19 (Period III) and (Overall period) 1989-90 to 2018-19. The methodology used for this analysis is described as below.

#### I. Growth rate

The compound growth rates of area, production and productivity of onion were estimated for last 30 years. The compound growth rates of area, production and productivity were estimated by using following exponential model.

$$Y = a.b^t \quad \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

Where,

Y = Depended variable for which growth rate is to be estimated

a = Intercept

b = Regression Coefficient

t = Time Variable

This equation was estimated after transforming (1) as follows

$$\text{Log } y = \text{log } a + t \text{ Log } b \quad \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

Then the per cent compound growth rate (g) was computed using the relationship.

$$\text{CGR (r)} = [\text{Antilog}(\log b) - 1] \times 100 \dots\dots\dots(3)$$

The significance of the regression coefficient was tested using the student's 't' test.

## II. Instability

To measure the instability in area, production and productivity, an index of instability was used as a measure of variability through Coefficient of Variation (CV) and Cuddy Della Valle's instability indices.

- Coefficient of variation (CV)

$$\text{Coefficient of variation (CV)} = \frac{\sigma}{\bar{x}} \times 100$$

Where,

$\sigma$  = Standard deviation

$\bar{X}$  = Arithmetic mean

The simple Coefficient of Variation (C.V) often contains the trend component and thus over estimates the level of instability in time series data characterized by long term trends and Cuddy Della Valle's instability was estimated as follows.

- Cuddy Della Valle's Instability Indices (CDVI):

It was used to measure instability of onion which was close to approximation of the average year to year per cent variation adjusted for trend. The algebraic form of it was;

$$\text{Instability Index} = \text{CV} \sqrt{(1 - R^2)}$$

Where,

CV = Simple Estimates of coefficient of variation in per cent and

$R^2$  = Coefficient of determination from a time trend regression (linear) adjusted by the number of degree of freedom.

## III. Decomposition of output growth

To measure the relative contribution of area, yield to the total output of the onion crop, Minhas (1964), Decomposition analysis model was used which is given below.

$$P_o = A_o \times Y_o \text{ and}$$

$$P_n = A_n \times Y_n \text{ ----- (1)}$$

$A_o$ ,  $P_o$  and  $Y_o$  are area, production and productivity in base year and  $A_n$ ,  $P_n$  and  $Y_n$  are values of the respective variable in  $n^{\text{th}}$  year item respectively.

Where,

$$A_o \text{ and } A_n = \text{Area}$$

$$Y_o \text{ and } Y_n = \text{yield in the base year and } n^{\text{th}} \text{ year respectively.}$$

$$P_n - P_o = \Delta P$$

$$A_n - A_o = \Delta A$$

$$Y_n - Y_o = \Delta Y \text{ ----- (2)}$$

For equation (1) and (2) we can write

$$P_o + \Delta P = (A_o + \Delta A) (Y_o + \Delta Y)$$

Hence,

$$P = \frac{A_o \Delta Y}{\Delta P} \times 100 + \frac{Y_o \Delta A}{\Delta P} \times 100 + \frac{\Delta Y \Delta A}{\Delta P} \times 100$$

Production = Yield effect + area effect + interaction effect

Thus, the total change in production can be decomposed into yield effect area effect and the interaction effect due to change in yield and area.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Compound annual growth rate of onion

The period wise compound growth rates of area, production and productivity of onion in Maharashtra have been presented in Table 1. During period I the growth rate of area and production found to be positive i.e. 4.28 and 2.30 per cent per annum, respectively. While, productivity registered negative growth rate i.e. -1.90 per cent per annum. The probable reason for negative growth of onion productivity during the period was unavailability of high

yielding quality seeds, sub-optimal standards of cultivation adopted by onion cultivars and susceptibility of the crop to pests and diseases (Immanueiraj, et al, 2014).

During period II the growth rate of production increased significantly at the rate of 11.15 per cent per annum which was observed mainly due to the significant increase in growth rate of area by 10.15 per cent per annum with 1.34 per cent non-significant growth of productivity.

During period III the growth rate of production significantly increased at a decreasing rate of 9.12 per cent per annum, which might be due to the decrease in area under onion crop and this was also registered through the significantly lowered growth rate (7.84 per cent per annum) of area during the same period, while productivity recorded a growth rate of 1.52 per cent per annum that was no doubt more than period II. The increase in productivity might be due to the

Table 1. Compound growth rate of onion in Maharashtra

(per cent)

Sr. No.	Period	Particulars	CAGR	t-value
1	Period I	Area	4.28	1.58
		Production	2.30	0.67
		Yield	-1.90	-1.62
2	Period II	Area	10.15**	7.35
		Production	11.62**	5.74
		Yield	1.34	1.36
3	Period III	Area	7.48*	2.76
		Production	9.12**	5.77
		Yield	1.52	0.81
4	Overall	Area	8.43**	17.72
		Production	9.36**	17.02
		Yield	0.85**	3.16

Note: \*- significant at 5% and \*\*- significant at 1%. Period I- 1989-90 to 1998-99; Period II- 1999-00 to 2008-09, Period III- 2009-10 to 2018-19 and Overall Period- 1989-90 to 2018-19

During overall period the growth rates of area, production and productivity of onion were positive and found to be significant at 1 per cent level. In the period production was increased by 9.36 per cent per annum with increased in area and productivity i.e. 8.43 and 0.85 per cent per annum, respectively.

Hence, it can be concluded from the discussion that there was positive growth in area and production of onion crop in Maharashtra during study period. the production of onion has

increased mainly due to area expansion rather than any technological breakthrough, as indicated by the growth rate of land productivity, which was often less than two per cent or negative.

#### Instability in Onion

In order to study the variability in area, production and productivity of onion for period I, period II, period III and overall period, coefficient of variation and Cuddy Della Valle's Instability Index was worked out. The results were presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Instability indices of onion in Maharashtra

Sr. No.	Period	Particulars	Area	Production	Yield
1	Period I	CV	25.40	26.67	10.98
		CDVI	21.70	25.13	9.54
2	Period II	CV	33.05	40.55	9.70
		CDVI	13.32	19.58	8.71
3	Period III	CV	25.61	27.81	16.69
		CDVI	17.73	11.57	15.99
4	Overall	CV	73.49	80.42	15.16
		CDVI	30.35	32.57	12.89

Note: - Period I- 1989-90 to 1998-99; Period II- 1999-00 to 2008-09, Period III- 2009-10 to 2018-19 and Overall Period- 1989-90 to 2018-19

Table 2 depicted that, during period I, period II, period III and overall period production exhibited highest variability with coefficient of variation (26.67, 40.55, 27.81 and 80.42 per cent, respectively). While productivity recorded lowest variability with coefficient of variation (10.98, 9.70, 16.69 and 15.16, respectively). A notable thing in overall period, area instability was larger with coefficient of variation (73.49 per cent) whereas, during period I, period II and period III coefficients of variation were (25.40, 33.05 and 25.61 per cent, respectively).

In Cuddy Della Valle's Instability Index also production exhibited highest instability with CDVI during period I, period II and overall period (25.13, 19.58 and 32.57 per cent, respectively). Even the lowest variability was registered in productivity during period I,

period II and overall period with CDVI (9.54, 8.71, and 12.89 per cent, respectively) except during period III it was observed in production (11.57 per cent). While during period III area recorded highest instability (17.73 per cent). The reason for high instability in area may be due to volatility in the market price during the study period (Kale et al, 2016).

Decomposition analysis in onion production.

In this study attempt has been made to identify the contribution of area and productivity for change in production of onion. This study period has been divided into three sub periods and overall taking into consideration the important of each sub period as discussed in methodology.

Table 3. Per cent contribution of area, yield and their interaction for change in production of onion in Maharashtra.

Sr. No.	Period	Area Effect	Yield Effect	Interaction
1	Period I	109.5	-5.49	-3.98
2	Period II	66.9	14.90	18.18
3	Period III	80.3	8.78	10.97
4	Overall	62.8	4.97	32.21

The Table 3 indicates that during period I, period II, period III and overall period area effect was the most responsible factor for increasing production of onion in Maharashtra. The highest area effect was observed during period I i.e. 109.5 per cent with negative yield and interaction effect i.e. -5.49 and -3.98 per cent, respectively. While during period II and period III the area effect were (66.9 and 80.3 per cent, respectively with yield effect (14.90 and 8.78 per cent, respectively) and interaction effect (18.18 and 10.97 per cent, respectively). During overall period area effect, yield effect and interaction effect were recorded 62.8, 4.97 and 32.21 per cent, respectively.

Thus, overall area effect has played a driving force in the differential production of onion in Maharashtra during period I, period II, period III and overall period.

## CONCLUSION

The result of this study leads to the conclusion that during the study period, there were positive growth in area and production of onion crop in Maharashtra. There was high instability in area and production of onion during all the study periods while there was stability in yield of onion crop in Maharashtra during the study periods. The decomposition analysis for Maharashtra was estimated the largest area effect on onion production. Thus, overall area effect has played a driving force in the differential production of onion in Maharashtra during period I, period II, period III and overall period. The results of decomposition analysis have important policy implications because each growth component alone has a limited scope to expand overtime. For example, land's growth potential (the acreage effect) is limited due to the scarce supply of water resources. If the current yield trends continue, the growth in crops production will decline overtime because of the limitations on land growth potential. This requires serious attention of agronomists, breeders and entomologists working in the agricultural universities in the state and also the ICAR institutes in the relevant field to bring some technological breakthrough to raise onion productivity in the state.

#### REFERENCES

- Immanuelraj, T.K., M.B. Dastagiri and V.K. Sajesh, 2014. Growth and instability of onion production in Maharashtra, India. *Journal of Global Economics*, 2(1): 1-4.
- Kale, N.K., Y.C. Sale and S.S. Bhosale, 2016. Trends in Area, Production and Productivity of Onion in Maharashtra, *International Journal of Current Science and Technology*. 4(9):260-265.
- Kulkarni, B.S., S.M. Patil and V.A. Ramchandra, 2012. Growth trends in area, production and export of onion from India -An economic analysis. *International Journal of Commerce and Business Management*, 5(2): 159-163.
- Patil, Somanagouda I. and N.M. Kerur, 2016. Growth and instability analysis of onion and garlic in India. *Agriculture Update*, 11(3): 214-218.
- Sharma, H., T.B. Parihar and K. Kapadia, 2017. Growth rates and decomposition analysis of onion production in Rajasthan state of India. *Economic Affairs*, 62(1): 157-161.