

Original Research Article

***Piper nigrum* and *Morinda lucida* possess antioxidant capacities and regulate the activities of key carbohydrate and lipid digestive enzymes.**

Abstract

Introduction: Carbohydrate and lipid digestive enzymes are instrumental in the absorbability of nutrients associated to diabetes and obesity. This study evaluated hydroethanolic extracts of *Piper nigrum* leaf and *Morinda lucida* stem bark for antioxidant capacity and enzymes (carbohydrate and lipid digestive) inhibition.

Methods: Colorimetric assays determined enzyme (α -amylase, α -glucosidase, lipase and cholesterol esterase) inhibition and antioxidant capacity (total phenolic (TPC) and flavonoid (TFC) content, radical scavenging activity (DPPH, ABTS), and ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP)) of hydroethanolic ethanolic extracts, ethyl acetate and hexane fractions.

Results: At 1 mg/ml extracts of *P. nigrum* and *M. lucida* inhibited α - amylase (9.82 ± 1.05 - 36.63 ± 0.69 %) and α -glucosidase (22.47 ± 0.34 - 67.77 ± 0.58 %) activities. At 100 μ g/ml extracts and fractions inhibited lipase (56.72 ± 1.11 - 81.61 ± 0.71 %) and cholesterol esterase (18.14 ± 0.79 - 36.84 ± 0.70 %) activities. IC_{50} for α - amylase (2.20 ± 0.02 - 7.8 ± 1.42 mg/ml), α -glucosidase (0.16 ± 0.01 - 3.74 ± 0.01 mg/ml), lipase (8.58 ± 2.57 - 53.03 ± 5.20 μ g/ml) and cholesterol esterase (172.20 ± 5.12 - 419.80 ± 4.55 μ g/ml) were registered. At 4 mg/ml, *P. nigrum* presented a higher TPC (153.78 ± 8.31 - 354.63 ± 6.33 mg/ml), TFC (21.65 ± 1.14 - 33.86 ± 0.00 mg/ml) than *M. lucida* TPC (10.21 ± 0.11 - 169.89 ± 6.54 mg/ml), TFC (ND - 87.32 ± 6.14 mg/ml). *P. nigrum* presented radical scavenging (DPPH and ABTS) activity with IC_{50} 0.12 ± 0.00 - 1.27 ± 0.01 mg/ml compared to 1.31 ± 0.02 - 3.44 ± 0.12 mg/ml of *M. lucida*. The FRAP IC_{50} values were better for *P. nigrum* (3.38 ± 0.14 - 4.48 ± 1.05 mg/ml) than *M. lucida* (3.34 ± 1.32 - 15.4 ± 2.03 mg/ml).

Conclusion: *P. nigrum* presented better antioxidant capacity and more effective on lipid digestive enzymes while *M. lucida* was more effective on carbohydrate digestive enzymes.

Key Words: *Morinda lucida*, *Piper nigrum*, α -amylase, α -glucosidase, lipase, cholesterol esterase, antioxidant activity.

Abbreviations

ALCL3: Sodium tri chloride

ABTS: 2, 2'-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid)

CAT: Catalase

DPPH: 2, 2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl

FRAP: Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power

HCL: Hydrogen chloride

IC50: Inhibitory Concentration 50

Mg/ml: Milligrams per millilitres

Na₂CO₃: Sodium Carbonate

NaNO₂: Sodium Nitrites

NaOH: Sodium hydroxide

ND: Not Detected

NT: Not Tested

Pcase: Pancreatic cholesterol esterase

pNPG: P-nitrophenol glycopyranoside

PPL: Porcine Pancreatic Lipase

PPA: Pancreatic Alpha Amylase

ROS: Reactive Antioxidant Species

RNS: Reactive Nitrogen Species

SD: Standard Deviation

TFC: Total flavonoid content

TPC: Total Phenolic Content

1. Introduction

The imbalance between energy intake and expenditure is the major cause of obesity-related diseases, which are chronic metabolic disorders resulting from altered lipid metabolic processes including lipogenesis and lipolysis [1, 2]. A global shift in diet toward increased intake of energy-dense foods that are high in fat and carbohydrates but low in vitamins, minerals, and other micronutrients as well as the

increased prevalence of a sedentary lifestyle predispose to obesity [3]. It is also known to increase the risk of breast, colon, prostate, endometrium, kidney and gall bladder cancers. According to World Health Organization (WHO), it is estimated that 2.8 million people die each year and 35.8 million global disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) are due to overweight and obesity [4]. Obesity is associated with increased blood glucose and lipid concentration which are major risk factors for developing chronic diseases such as diabetes mellitus and other complications [5, 6, 7]. Also, the onset of diabetes and diabetic complications is associated with oxidative stress leading to cellular damage. Additionally, consumption of carbohydrate and fat enriched diets results in a significant increase of postprandial glucose and oxidative stress by the formation of reactive oxygen species through several biochemical pathways [8]. Obese subjects generally possess low antioxidant defence with enhanced levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen species (RNS) [9].

Key carbohydrate and lipid metabolizing enzymes can play a role in the management of diabetes and obesity. The enzymes of the pancreatic secretion, mainly, pancreatic alpha-amylase, pancreatic lipase, and pancreatic phospholipase A2 are involved in the hydrolysis of dietary carbohydrate and lipids [10, 11, 12]. Alpha-amylase catalyses the hydrolysis of the α -bonds of α -linked polysaccharides (starch and glycogen molecules) into absorbable small molecules (maltose and glucose) [13], while α -glucosidase anchored in the mucosal brush border of the small intestine catalyses the endpoint digestion of starch and disaccharide that are abundant in the human diets to maltose and maltotriose [14, 15]. The end products of the effects of these two enzymes if absorbed may lead to an increase in blood sugar concentration. Hence, in diabetic condition, inhibition of these two enzymes can play a key role in controlling blood sugar level. Pancreatic lipase is the key enzyme in lipid digestion, responsible for absorption of dietary fats through the breakdown of triacylglycerols into free fatty acids and monoacylglycerols in the intestinal lumen [12, 14, 16]. Pancreatic phospholipase A2 serves in the initial digestion of phospholipids to free fatty acids and lysolipids. Considerable evidence from cell and animal studies suggests the importance of pancreatic phospholipase A2 in facilitating the digestion and absorption of lipids. Given the key role these enzymes play in starch and lipid digestion, they represent attractive targets for the prevention of excessive body weight gain and obesity-related diseases including diabetes. Thus, inhibition of these

enzymes is one of the therapeutic approaches for diabetes since it can cause retardation of carbohydrate digestion, which leads to the prevention of excess glucose absorption [16]. Alpha-glucosidase inhibitors (acarbose and voglibose) and pancreatic lipase inhibitor (orlistat) are clinically used for treatment of hyperglycaemia and hyperlipidemia respectively [8, 17]. However, this has been associated with unpleasant gastrointestinal side effects such as abdominal pain, flatulence, meteorism, and diarrhea [18, 19]. Plants or natural products seems to be an alternative source of medicine since it has been shown that some of them possess inhibitors of digestive enzymes that interfere with the hydrolysis and absorption of dietary carbohydrates and lipids. Polyphenols from teas, berries, and other plants have been associated with inhibition of these enzymes in, in vitro and in vivo studies. Green tea catechins inhibit the intestinal absorption of lipids in vivo [20, 21] by altering the activity of pancreatic phospholipase A2. Procyanidins and cocoa extracts have also been reported to inhibit digestive enzymes with the lavado cocoa extract being the most effective [22]. Hence a possible phytomedicine that can at the same time fight against high blood glucose concentration and hyperlipidaemia may be more effective in controlling these pathologies.

Morinda lucida (*M. lucida*) and *Piper nigrum* (*P. nigrum*) are used in folk medicine for the treatment of diabetes and prevention of weight gain. In traditional medicine *M. lucida* (Benth), specie of Rubiaceae family is used in the treatment of different types of fevers, jaundice, hypertension, cerebral congestion, dysentery, diabetes and gastric ulcer [23, 24]. Earlier scientific reports shown that the leaves and the roots of *M. lucida* possess antioxidant and hypoglycaemic/antidiabetic activity [25, 26, 27]. Activities of *M. lucida* extracts against *Salmonella typhi* [28], isolated uterine smooth muscle contractility [29], toxicity and mutagenic studies [30, 31] have all been reported. *P. nigrum*, belonging to the Piperaceae family and commonly known as black pepper, is used as a spice and in herbal medicine. Traditionally, it's used for the treatment of coughs, intestinal diseases, bronchitis, venereal diseases, colds, and rheumatism [32]. In earlier studies, oral administration of aqueous extract of *P. nigrum* leaf presented antioxidant defence and anti-atherogenic activity [33, 34]. A study by Mballa *et al.* [7] have shown the preventive effect of the hydro-ethanolic extract of the *P. nigrum* leaf on the development of metabolic and cardiovascular changes in cafeteria diet fed rats. Also, fruits and leaves of *P. nigrum* have shown in vitro alpha-glucosidase, alpha-amylase and aldose reductase inhibitory activity,

increased glucose consumption by adipocytes and exhibited antioxidant activity [35, 36]. In vivo studies have shown that *P. nigrum* reduced hyperglycaemia and hyperlipidaemia in alloxan and streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats [36, 37].

The present study was undertaken to evaluate the in vitro carbohydrate and lipid digesting enzyme inhibitory activities of the hydro-ethanolic extract, ethyl acetate and hexane, of *P. nigrum* leaves and *M. lucida* stem bark and their antioxidant properties.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Materials

Fresh leaves of *P. nigrum* and stem barks of *M. lucida* were collected in the locality of Djombé-penja, in the Littoral region and Mfou in the Centre region of Cameroon in August 2017 respectively. The identification of these plants was made at the National Herbarium of Yaoundé, Cameroon where the vouchers were kept under the numbers 25818/SFR and 2528/SRFK respectively.

2.2. Extraction and Fractionation of Plant Materials

2.2.1. Preparation of the hydro-ethanolic crude extracts.

Leaves and barks collected from their natural environment were washed with tap water, shade dried for two weeks. The samples were separately ground with the help of an electric grinding mill and the powdered material obtained were macerated in a mixture of ethanol and water (70/30) (hydroethanolic) solution for 72 hours at room temperature. The resulting solutions were then filtered through cheese cloth and Whatman filter paper N°1. The filtrate was concentrated with the aid of a rotary evaporator (BÜCHI10) at 45°C under reduced pressure and then dry (crude extract) in a hot air oven for 24 hours. The two extracts were then stored at -4°C until used. The yield of the extraction process was calculated according to the following formula:

$$\text{Yield} = \frac{\text{Mass of dry extract}}{\text{mass of powder}} \times 100$$

2.2.2. Fractionation

The fractionation was prepared from the crude extract using solvents of increased polarity as follows. The crude extract was each dissolved in 400 mL of

hexane and kept for 24 hours. The upper hexane phase was collected, and extraction process was repeated twice with the lower residual phase. The hexane fractions were then pooled and stored as such. The lower phase was then mixed with subsequent solvents (ethyl acetate) and followed the same treatment as hexane fraction. The fractions were concentrated with the aid of a rotary evaporator and further to dry substances through a ventilation drying oven. The yield of each process was calculated and the fractions were stored at 4 °C until required.

2.3. Enzyme Inhibitory Activities of Plant Extracts

2.3.1. In vitro α -amylase inhibition assay

The method earlier described by Xiao *et al.* [38] was used in this assay with slight modification. Into each test tube, 125 μ L of 0.02 M sodium phosphate buffer, 500 μ L of porcine pancreatic α -amylase solution (PPA) and 500 μ L of plant extracts/fractions at different concentrations (0.05 – 4 mg/mL w/v) were added. The mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 10 min after which 500 μ L of starch soluble (1% w/v) was added to each test tube and further incubated at 37 °C for 15 min. Then 200 μ L of iodine solution (coloured reagent (5mM I and 5mM KI)) was added for colour development. The reaction was stopped by the addition of 40 μ L of HCL to the mixture. The absorbance was read at 620 nm using u/v spectrophotometer. A control test was prepared in same way except that it did not contain the plant extract and its enzyme activity represents 100% activity. The α -amylase inhibitory activity was calculated using the following equation:

$$\% \text{ Inhibition} = \frac{\text{Absorbance of control} - \text{Absorbance of extract}}{\text{Absorbance of control}} \times 100$$

2.3.2. In vitro α -Glucosidase Inhibition Activity.

The effect of the plant extracts on α -glucosidase activity was determined according to the chromogenic method described by Kim *et al.* [39] with slight modifications. The substrate solution p-nitrophenyl glucopyranoside (pNPG) was prepared in distilled water. Then, phosphate buffer (20 mM, pH 6.9), 3 Mm of glutathione reduced solution, and sodium carbonate (100 mM) were also prepared while 0.15 units of α -glucosidase (from *Bacillus stearothermophilus*) were pre-incubated with each extract/fraction at different concentrations for 5 minutes. 10 mM of substrate (pNPG) was then added to start the reaction. The reaction mixture was

incubated at 37 °C for 20 minutes and stopped by adding 2 mL of 100 mM Na₂CO₃. The α -glucosidase activity was determined by measuring the yellow-coloured p-nitrophenol released from pNPG at 400 nm. Acarbose was used as the reference drug while phosphate buffer was used as control. The α -glucosidase inhibitory activity was calculated using the following equation:

$$\% \text{ Inhibition} = \frac{\text{Absorbance of control} - \text{Absorbance of extract}}{\text{Absorbance of control}} \times 100$$

2.4. Inhibiting Activity of Lipids Digestive Enzymes

2.4.1. Pancreatic lipase inhibition activity.

The inhibition of pancreatic lipase was carried out according to the method described by Sharma *et al.* [40]. Briefly, 80 μ L of each extract at different concentrations (3-100 μ g/mL) were mixed with 20 μ L of porcine pancreatic lipase (PPL, 4 mg/mL) and 90 μ L of phosphate buffer, then incubated at 37 °C for 37 min. After incubation, 10 μ L of substrate (10 mM p-nitrophenyl butyrate) was added to the mixture and further incubated at 37 °C for 30 min and the absorbance was read at 405 nm using an ELISA microplate reader. Orlistat was used as the reference drug. Percentage of inhibition was calculated using the formula bellow:

$$\% \text{ Inhibition} = \frac{\text{Absorbance of control} - \text{Absorbance of test}}{\text{Absorbance of control}} \times 100$$

Where test = absorbance of sample (plant extract or orlistat), control = absorbance of enzyme + substrate.

2.4.2. Cholesterol esterase inhibition activity

The inhibition of pancreatic cholesterol esterase (PCase) was carried out according to the method described by Adisakwattana *et al.* [41]. Briefly, 50 μ L of each extract at different concentrations (6 - 200 μ g/mL) was mixed with 50 μ L of 5.16 Mm taurocholic acid and 50 μ L of an aqueous solution of cholesterol esterase and incubated for 10 min at 25 °C. Then 50 μ L of 0.2 mM p-Nitrophenyl Butyrate solution was added to the mixture and further incubated for 5 min at 25 °C. The absorbance

was read at 405 nm using an ELISA microplate reader. Orlistat was used as the reference drug. Percentage of inhibition was calculated using the formula:

$$\% \text{ Inhibition} = \frac{\text{Absorbance of control} - \text{Absorbance of test}}{\text{Absorbance of control}} \times 100$$

Where test = absorbance of sample (plant extract or orlistat), control = absorbance of enzyme + substrate.

2.5. In Vitro Antioxidant Activities of Crude Extracts and Fractions

2.5.1. Total phenolic content

Total phenolic content (TPC) of *P. nigrum* leaf and *M. lucida* stem bark extract and fractions were analysed using the Folin– Ciocalteu colorimetric assay method described by Li *et al.* [42] with some modifications. 200 µL of each sample (extracts/fraction/catechin) was added to 1 mL Folin–Ciocalteu phenol reagent (2 N, 10 times diluted). After 4 min, 800 µL of a sodium carbonate solution (1 mM Na₂CO₃, 75 mg/mL) was added in the reaction. The mixture was homogenized and incubated for 2 hours at room temperature. The absorbance was then measured at 765 nm. Standard curve for catechin in the range of 10-100 µg/mL was prepared in the same manner and results were expressed as mg catechin equivalent per gram of extract/fraction (mgCAT/g).

2.5.2. Total flavonoid content

Total flavonoid content (TFC) was determined using the aluminium colorimetric method described by Chang *et al.* [43] using quercetin as the standard. A calibration curve of quercetin was prepared in the range concentration of 10-100 µg/mL. Briefly 500 µL of extracts, and fractions were placed in different test tubes and to each 1500 µL of distilled water and 150 µL of sodium nitrite (NaNO₂) (5%) were added and incubated for 5 minutes at room temperature. After which 150 µL of AlCl₃ (10%) was added to the mixture and 6 minutes later 500 µL of 1 M NaOH (4%) was added in the reaction medium. The mixture was homogenized and the absorbance of the solution (pink color) was read at 510 nm. The total flavonoid content was expressed as mg quercetin equivalent per gram of extracts (mgQE/g).

2.5.3. Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP) assay

The quantification of antioxidant compounds reducing ferrous iron was carried out using the method described by Benzie and Strain [44]. The reaction medium consisting of 75 μ L sample (extract/ gallic acid) and 2 mL freshly prepared FRAP reagent was mixed and incubated for 15 minutes at room temperature. The absorption of the reaction mixture was measured with spectrophotometer at 793 nm with gallic acid as standard. The result was expressed in mg gallic acid equivalent per gram of extract (mg gallic acid equivalent per g of extract).

2.5.4. DPPH (2, 2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) free radical scavenging assay.

Radical scavenging assay was carried out using DPPH free radical test, employing the method of Blois [45] with some modifications. The initial absorbance of DPPH (0.1 mM) in methanol was measured using spectrophotometer at 517 nm until the absorbance reading stabilized and gallic acid was used as positive control. Briefly in 2 mL of DPPH methanolic solution, 500 μ L of extract/fraction or standard was added. After agitation the samples were incubated in a dark room for 30 minutes and the change in absorbance was measured at 517 nm. The percentage inhibition was calculated according to the formula:

$$\% \text{ DPPH Inhibition} = \frac{\text{Absorbance DPPH control} - \text{Absorbance DPPH test}}{\text{Absorbance DPPH control}} \times 100$$

The values of the IC₅₀ (The concentration with 50% of inhibition) were calculated from the equation from the linear regression of concentration against percentage inhibition.

ABTS radical scavenging assay

ABTS^{•+} scavenging activity was assessed according to the method described by Arnoe *et al.* [46] with some modifications. A mixture of ABTS (7 mM) and Potassium persulfate (4.9 mM) in methanol was prepared and stored at room temperature for 24 h in a dark room to produce ABTS^{•+}. The solution of ABTS^{•+} (8mL) was diluted with 72 mL of methanol and the absorbance adjusted to 1.50 at 734 nm. Into 1800 μ L of ABTS^{•+} solution, 200 μ L of extracts/fractions or standard (catechin) solution at different concentrations (0.025 to 2mg/mL a multiple of two

increments) were added to the diluted solution. After incubation at room temperature for 15 minutes, the absorbance was read at 734 nm. The radical scavenging activity was calculated as the percentage of inhibition according to the formula:

$$\text{Percentage inhibition (\%)} = \frac{\text{ABTS control} - \text{ABTS sample}}{\text{ABTS control}} \times 100.$$

The IC₅₀ was obtained graphically from the linear regression curve.

2.6. Statistical Analysis

The results are expressed as the mean $\pm$ SEM for triplicates using Microsoft Excel 2013, IC₅₀ was calculated from linear regression with Graphpad Prism 5 software. Significant difference between groups was evaluated using analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Waller Duncan multiple comparison tests. Difference of $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Inhibitory activity on carbohydrate digestive enzymes

The inhibitory activities of *P. nigrum* and *M. lucida* crude extracts/fractions against α -glucosidase and α -amylase are presented in Table 1. For the *P. nigrum*, the hydro-ethanol extract showed the best inhibitory activity against α -glucosidase with high percentage inhibition (55.03 ± 0.44) and a low IC₅₀ (0.95 mg/mL). Against α -amylase it was the hexane fraction that had a better inhibitory effect as indicated by the IC₅₀ (2.20 mg/mL). For the *M. lucida* stem bark, the ethyl acetate fraction had the best inhibitory activity against α -glucosidase with IC₅₀ value of 0.16 mg/mL closely followed by the hydro-ethanolic extract. Meanwhile the *M. lucida* stem bark extracts and fractions had a weak inhibitory activity against α -amylase.

Generally, the enzymes inhibitory capacity of the two plants extracts was concentration dependent with the highest concentration portraying the best activity in both enzymes **inhibition**. The *P. nigrum*, was average in inhibiting both enzymes with the ethyl acetate fractions showed almost the same activities on both enzymes, while the hexane fractions as more effective on the α -amylase. The *M. lucida* stem bark had a better inhibitory activity against α -glucosidase than α -amylase at all tested

fractions as indicated by the lower IC₅₀ values (0.16 - 0.99 mg/mL) for α-glucosidase compared to α-amylase (7.02 - 26.63 mg/mL).

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 1: Percentage inhibition and inhibitory concentrations of *P. nigrum* and *M. lucida* extracts and fractions on α -amylase and α -glucosidase activities.

Concentration (mg/mL)	α - glucosidase (% inhibition)	IC ₅₀ (mg/mL)	α -amylase (% inhibition)	IC ₅₀ (mg/mL)	α - glucosidase (% inhibition)	IC ₅₀ (mg/mL)	α -amylase (% inhibition)	IC ₅₀ (mg/mL)
<i>Piper nigrum</i>				<i>Morinda lucida</i>				
Hydroethanolic crude extract								
0.031	6.25±0.34	0.95±0.11	4.02±0.45	5.98±0.14	9.89±0.89 ^{cγ}	0.99±0.02	ND	7.02±1.32
0.062	7.01±1.12		7.10±2.10		11.38±1.95 ^{cγ}		0.80±1.07 ^{dα}	
0.125	9.21±2.14		10.32±2.03		14.16±0.50 ^{cγ}		2.05±0.19 ^{dα}	
0.250	20.62±3.97 ^{cα}		12.18±5.83 ^{cβ}		33.09±3.35 ^{bγ}		3.14±0.55 ^{dα}	
0.500	31.80±4.76 ^{bα}		15.42±1.72 ^{bβ}		34.91±0.62 ^{bγ}		5.63±0.67 ^{cα}	
1.000	50.72±1.54 ^{aα}		19.81±0.86 ^{bβ}		46.61±4.38 ^{aγ}		9.82±1.05 ^{bα}	
2.000	53.07±0.77 ^{aα}		29.88±0.81 ^{aβ}		-		14.33±0.23 ^{aα}	
4.000	55.03±0.44 ^{aα}		32.13±0.51 ^{aβ}		-		-	
Ethyl acetate fraction								
0.031	ND	3.74±0.01	ND	3.30 ± 0.02	09.43±0.58 ^{eγ}	0.16±0.01	ND	7.8±1.42
0.062	ND		ND		33.41±1.08 ^{dβ}		0.67±0.45 ^{dβ}	
0.125	ND		ND		56.72±2.32 ^{cα}		1.16±0.28 ^{dβ}	
0.250	8.49±2.20 ^{dβ}		31.37±7.25 ^{eα}		59.50±1.28 ^{cα}		1.66±0.38 ^{dβ}	
0.500	24.33±4.71 ^{cβ}		33.64±1.97 ^{cα}		63.57±0.70 ^{bα}		5.43±1.60 ^{cα}	
1.000	36.94±2.05 ^{bβ}		36.63±0.69 ^{bα}		67.77±0.58 ^{aα}		8.78±1.93 ^{bα}	
2.000	45.06±2.64 ^{aβ}		47.31±2.33 ^{aα}		-		12.39±1.95 ^{aα}	
4.000	50.33±0.34 ^{aα}		52.06±3.61 ^{aα}		-		-	
Hexane fraction								
0.250	11.37±0.39 ^{cγ}	2.77±0.02	07.43±0.61 ^{dγ}	2.20±0.02	10.34±0.08 ^{eγ}	2.97±0.04	ND	ND
0.500	14.56±0.44 ^{cγ}		14.89±2.71 ^{dβ}		23.01±1.04 ^{dβ}		ND	
1.000	22.47±0.34 ^{bγ}		23.48±0.82 ^{cβ}		36.62±2.34 ^{cα}		ND	

2.000	33.63±16.76 ^{aβ}	44.03±4.42 ^{bα}	44.50±1.48 ^{cα}	ND
4.000	38.18±03.76 ^{aβ}	55.45±6.73 ^{aα}	57.10±1.24 ^{cα}	ND

a,b,c,d: concentration effect on the inhibitory capacity of plant extracts and fractions. Means with the same letters (a, b, c, and d) within a column are not significantly different from each other at $p>0.05$.

$\alpha\beta\delta$: compares the enzyme inhibitory capacity of plant extracted with the same solvent. Means designated with the same symbols are not significantly different from one another at $p>0.05$. ND = not detected

3.2. Inhibitory activity on lipid digestive enzymes

The inhibitory activities towards pancreatic lipase and cholesterol esterase are reported in Table 2. All the plant extracts and fractions exhibited anti-lipase activity in a concentration dependent manner with maximum percentage inhibition above 50% obtained at 100 µg/mL. However, these anti-lipase activities of *P. nigrum* (hydro-ethanol, IC₅₀, 15.95 µg/mL and ethyl acetate IC₅₀, 15.75 µg/mL) were not comparable to orlistat (IC₅₀, 7.48 µg/mL). On the other hand, the ethyl acetate fraction of *M. lucida* (IC₅₀, 8.58 µg/mL) showed close value to orlistat (IC₅₀, 7.48 µg/mL).

At 100 µg/mL orlistat significantly inhibited the cholesterol esterase activity up to 68.64 % with an IC₅₀ of 88.30 µg/mL meanwhile for the extracts, the inhibitory activity was weak with very high IC₅₀ values. The ethyl acetate fraction of *P. nigrum* (IC₅₀, 172.2 µg/mL) showed the best anti-cholesterol esterase activity amongst the rest of the extracts.

Table 2: Percentage inhibition and inhibitory concentrations of *P. nigrum* and *M. lucida* extracts and fractions on lipase and cholesterol esterase activities.

Concentration n (µg/mL)	Lipase (% inhibition)	IC ₅₀ (µg/mL)	Cholesterol esterase (%)	IC ₅₀ (µg/mL)	Lipase (%inhibition)	IC ₅₀ (µg/mL)	Cholesterol esterase (%inhibition)	IC ₅₀ (µg/mL)
<i>Piper nigrum</i>				<i>Hydro-ethanol crude extract</i>		<i>Morinda lucida</i>		
3.13	46.32 ± 1.36 ^{cβ}	15.95±2.3 2	ND	419.80±4.5 5	35.72±0.070 ^{bβ}	53.03±5.2 0	ND	296.60±4.6 9
6.23	49.86 ± 0.11 ^{cβ}		6.96 ±0.79 ^{cγ}		41.05±0.90 ^{aα}		15.90±1.86 ^{dδ}	
12.5	56.15 ± 1.76 ^{bβ}		10.29 ±0.20 ^{cγ}		45.49±0.90 ^{aα}		21.22±5.25 ^{cδ}	
25.00	58.39 ± 2.51 ^{bβ}		12.65±0.14 ^{cγ}		49.43±0.93 ^{aα}		17.45±3.93 ^{dδ}	
50.00	59.65 ± 2.51 ^{bβ}		14.66 ± 0.26 ^{bγ}		52.74±0.63 ^{aα}		24.23±3.25 ^{cδ}	
100.00	76.56 ± 3.30 ^{aα}	15.75±3.1 2	18.14 ±0.79 ^{bγ}	172.20±5.1 2	56.72±1.11 ^{aα}	8.58±2.57	31.29±1.84 ^{aβ}	333.20±5.6 8
200.00	-		26.83 ±0.69 ^{aγ}		-		35.21±0.02 ^{aβ}	
<i>Ethyl acetate fraction</i>								
3.13	37.11± 0.88 ^{cβ}	15.75±3.1 2	ND	172.20±5.1 2	43.84±0.68 ^{cβ}	8.58±2.57	ND	333.20±5.6 8
6.23	47.43± 1.16 ^{bβ}		4.62±0.71 ^{dγ}		53.78±0.63 ^{cβ}		12.20±0.02 ^{bγ}	
12.5	55.21 ±0.93 ^{bα}		7.72±0.10 ^{dγ}		60.21±1.09 ^{bβ}		16.14±0.16 ^{bγ}	

25.00	60.99 $\pm 1.00^{b\alpha}$	10.97 $\pm 0.08^{d\gamma}$	63.00 $\pm 1.45^{b\beta}$	17.99 $\pm 0.40^{b\gamma}$
50.00	75.49 $\pm 0.72^a$ α	18.54 $\pm 1.07^{c\gamma}$	64.10 $\pm 1.05^{b\beta}$	24.15 $\pm 0.67^{a\beta}$
100.00	81.61 $\pm 0.71^a$ α	36.84 $\pm 0.70^b$ β	73.71 $\pm 0.23^{a\alpha}$	29.68 $\pm 0.54^{a\beta}$
200.00		54.17 $0.85^{a\alpha}$		32.20 $\pm$ 0.93 $^{a\beta}$
Orlistat				
3.13	40.55 $\pm 0.07^c$ β	ND		
6.23	47.19 $\pm 0.70^b$ β	7.48 ± 1.02	28.47 $\pm 0.30^{c\beta}$	88.30 ± 4.21
12.5	54.52 $\pm 0.27^b$ β		31.25 $\pm 0.08^{c\beta}$	
25.00	78.54 $\pm 0.43^a$ α		36.18 $\pm 0.42^{c\beta}$	
50.00	91.05 $\pm$ 0.23 $^{a\alpha}$		47.33 $\pm 0.44^b$ β	
100.00	97.84 $\pm 0.82^a$ α		62.83 $\pm 0.16^a$ α	
200.00	-		68.64 $\pm 2.38^a$	

Data are expressed as mean $\pm$ SD, n=3. ns=non-significant. Significantly different at *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 when compared to Control in Dunnett's Multiple Comparison. ^{a,b,c,d}: concentration effect on the inhibitory capacity of plant extracts and fractions. Means with the same letters (a, b, c, and d) within a column are not significantly different from each other.

$\alpha\beta\delta$: compares the enzyme inhibitory capacity of plant extracted with the same solvent. Means designated with the same symbols are not significantly different from one another, ND = not detected, NT: not tested

3.3 Antioxidant Potential of Plants Extracts

3.3.1. Total phenolic content and total flavonoid content

The total phenolic and flavonoid content of *P. nigrum* extracts/fractions are presented in Table 3. The TPC was higher in the hydro-ethanolic crude extracts (326.1 ± 0.74 mg CAT/g) compared to ethyl acetate (57.85 ± 2.41 mg CAT/g). The TFC was higher in hydro-ethanol crude extracts than ethyl acetate showed.

For *M. lucida*, the TPC and TFC of the tested extracts increase with concentrations (Table 3). The TPC was higher in the hydro-ethanolic crude extracts (169.89 ± 6 mg CAT/g) and decreases with the fractionation. The TFC was only consistent in the hydro-ethanol and ethyl acetate fraction. And hydro-ethanolic extract had the best TFC.

Table 3: Total phenolic and total flavonoid content of *Piper nigrum* and *Morinda lucida* extracts and fractions

Conc: (mg/mL)	TPC (mg CAT/g.)	TFC (mg QE/g)	TPC (mg CAT/g)	TFC (mg QE/g)
	<i>Piper nigrum</i>		<i>Morinda lucida</i>	
	Hydro-ethanol crude extract			
1.00	108.1±8.49 ^{cβ}	11.41±0.27 ^{cβ}	ND	15.03±0.67 ^{cα}
2.00	254.4±9.58 ^{bβ}	22.23±0.57 ^{bβ}	8.22±1.66 ^{bα}	42.24±5.45 ^{bα}
4.00	326.1±0.74 ^{aβ}	33.86±0.00 ^{aβ}	169.89±6.54 ^{aα}	87.32±6.14 ^{aα}
	Ethyl acetate fraction			
1.00	ND	01.09±0.12 ^{cγ}	ND	7.74±0.94 ^{cβ}
2.00	57.85±02.41 ^{bγ}	06.98±0.39 ^{bγ}	ND	26.53±3.53 ^{bβ}
4.00	153.78±8.31 ^{aγ}	21.65±1.14 ^{aγ}	124.61±0.39 ^{aβ}	61.83 ±1.74 ^{aβ}
	Hexane fraction			
1.00	142.88±3.44 ^{cα}	8.03±3.63 ^{cβ}	ND	ND
2.00	277.73±3.72 ^{bα}	18.45±7.30 ^{bβ}	ND	ND
4.00	354.63±6.33 ^{aα}	30.38±6.02 ^{aβ}	10.21±0.11 ^{aγ}	ND

Values are presented as Means ± Standard deviation. Means with the same superscripts (a, b, c, and d) within a column are not significantly different from each other at $p>0.05$. $\alpha\beta\delta$: compares the antioxidant capacity of plant extracted with the same solvent. Means designated with the same symbols are not significantly different from one another at $p>0.05$.

TPC: Total phenolic contents; TFC: Total flavonoid contents; ND = Not detected

3.3.2. Free radical scavenging activities and iron reducing power of extracts/fractions

The free radical scavenging activities and iron reducing power of extracts/fractions were recorded in Table 4. The free radical scavenging activities of *P. nigrum* extract/fractions with respect to DPPH is lower compared to ABTS (Table 4). This is confirmed by their IC_{50} values that vary from 0.45 to 1.27 mg/mL for DPPH and from 0.01 to 0.96 mg/mL for ABTS. The exception was in the ethyl acetate fraction whose IC_{50} for DPPH (0.45 mg/mL) was better than its IC_{50} for ABTS (0.96 mg/mL). The FRAP did not vary much between extract and fractions of *P. nigrum* though the hexane fraction had the best activity.

In *M. lucida* the IC_{50} values for DPPH and ABTS did not differ much although it varied between extract and fractions. The DPPH and ABTS IC_{50} values for the hydro-ethanolic crude extract (1.8 mg/mL and 1.31 mg/mL), ethyl acetate (2.56 mg/mL and 2.23 mg/mL) and hexane fractions (3.44 mg/mL and 3.29 mg/mL) were obtained respectively. The hydro-ethanolic extract of *M. lucida* had the best radical scavenging activities while the hexane extract had the least activity. The hexane fraction had the best FRAP presenting an inversely proportional relationship between FRAP and the free radical scavenging activity.

Table 4: Free radical scavenging activities and iron reducing power of extracts/fractions

Concentration (mg/mL)	DPPH (%)	IC ₅₀ (mg/mL)	ABTS (%)	IC ₅₀ (mg/mL)	FRAP (mgGAE/g)	IC ₅₀ (mg/mL)	DPPH (%)	IC ₅₀ (mg/mL)	ABTS (%)	IC ₅₀ (mg/mL)	FRAP (mgGAE/g)	IC ₅₀ (mg/mL)
<i>Piper nigrum</i>						<i>Morinda lucida</i>						
Hydro-ethanol crude extract												
0.25	33.10 ±0.73 ^e _γ		46.51 ±1.35 ^{dβ}		09.85 ±0.87 ^{ey}							
0.50	43.60 ±0.95 ^d _γ		63.53 ±2.01 ^{cβ}		12.49 ±0.26 ^{dy}	4.18 ±0.22	33.80 ±0.92 ^d _α	1.80 ±0.01	36.64 ±1.93 ^d _α	1.31 ±0.02	7.57 ±10.05 ^{cα}	13.9 ±2.01
1.00	50.40 ±1.94 ^c _γ	1.12 ±0.02	92.75 ±0.47 ^{bβ}	0.28 ±0.01	18.45 ±0.17 ^{cy}		43.99 ±3.14 ^c _α		52.53 ±0.72 ^c _α		9.28 ±0.35 ^{cα}	
2.00	57.20 ±0.83 ^b _γ		95.80 ±0.40 ^{aβ}		29.20 ±0.18 ^{by}		65.04 ±0.56 ^b _α		68.25 ±3.33 ^b _α		4.13 ±1.85 ^{bα}	
4.00	59.7 ±0.36 ^a _β		95.92 ±0.33 ^{aβ}		47.62 ±0.51 ^{aβ}		79.09 ±0.16 ^a _α		88.58 ±0.76 ^a _α		21.35 ±2.40 ^{aα}	
Ethyl acetate fraction												
0.25	39.07 ±0.10 ^e _β		18.47 ±0.11 ^{ey}		9.02 ±0.44 ^{ey}							
0.50	51.20 ±0.55 ^d _β		35.49 ±1.90 ^{dy}		11.47 ±0.46 ^{dy}	4.48 ±1.05	25.67 ±0.51 ^e _β	2.56 ±0.10	27.13 ±0.61 ^d _β	2.23 ±0.11	7.87 ±0.04 ^{dα}	15.4 ±2.03
1.00	62.17 ±0.16 ^c _β	0.45 ±0.11	58.88 ±1.94 ^{cy}	0.96 ±0.15	17.32 ±0.48 ^{cy}		37.87 ±1.07 ^c _β		39.36 ±0.95 ^c _β		9.98 ±0.16 ^{cα}	

2.00	77.34 ±0.61 ^b _β	84.60 ±2.02 ^{bγ}	27.74 ±2.04 ^{bγ}	55.83 ±2.95 ^b _β	58.52 ±1.65 ^b _β	13.03 ±0.14 ^{bα}				
4.00	83.87 ±0.90 ^a _α	95.61 ±10.16 ^{aβ}	44.73 ±0.45 ^{aγ}	71.77 ±0.51 ^a _β	80.69 ±0.13 ^a _β	20.49 ±0.31 ^{aα}				
Hexane fraction										
0.25	33.13 ±0.73 ^e _γ	53.76 ±1.07 ^{eα}	11.18 ±0.29 ^{eβ}							
0.50	43.01 ±0.95 ^d _γ	73.43 ±1.22 ^{dα}	15.27 ±0.47 ^{dβ}	3.38 ±0.14	22.54 ±0.99 ^d _γ	3.44 ±0.12	22.95 ±1.15 ^d _γ	3.29 ±0.16	21.05 ±1.11 ^{dγ}	3.34 ±1.32
1.00	50.42 ±1.94 ^c _γ	1.27 ±0.01	92.85 ±0.29 ^{cβ}	0.12 ±0.00	22.44 ±0.86 ^{cβ}	31.44 ±1.89 ^c _γ	30.79 ±1.19 ^c _γ		28.97 ±1.14 ^{cγ}	
2.00	57.16 ±0.83 ^b _γ		94.40 ±0.30 ^{bβ}		33.70 ±3.96 ^{bβ}	45.41 ±1.10 ^b _γ	43.26 ±3.05 ^b _γ		40.24 ±3.01 ^{bγ}	
4.00	59.70 ±0.36 ^a _β		95.99 ±0.04 ^{aβ}		57.04 ±1.76 ^{aβ}	61.87 ±2.66 ^a _γ	66.11 ±1.45 ^a _γ		66.12 ±1.15 ^{aγ}	

a,b,c,d: concentration effect on antioxidant capacity of plant extracts. Means with the same letters (a, b, c, and d) within a column are not significantly different from each other at p>0.05. αβδ: compares the antioxidant capacity of plant extracted with the same solvent. Means designated with the same symbols are not significantly different from one another at p>0.05. nt: not tested

4. Discussion

The present data discussed the effect of extracts and fractions from *P. nigrum* and *M. lucida* on inhibition of α -amylase, α -glucosidase, lipase and cholesterol esterase as an attempt in the management of diabetes and obesity. Carbohydrate and lipid rich diets are directly related to increase in blood glucose and lipid profiles. Hence, inhibition of major carbohydrate and lipid metabolizing enzymes prevents increase in blood glucose and lipid concentrations and regulates their concentration to standard for smooth functioning of the physiological system [16]. It was in this regard that *M. lucida* and *P. nigrum* played a great role in maintaining the blood glucose and lipid profiles towards normal.

In an earlier study, aqueous and ethanol extract of the stem bark of *M. lucida* demonstrated hypoglycemic properties on diabetic rat model [25, 47]. Similarly, Odutuga *et al.* [48] reported the anti-hyperglycemic of ethanol stem/bark extract of *M. lucida* in alloxan-induced diabetic rats. In addition to anti-hyperglycemic activity, Adeneye *et al* [47, 49] reported anti-hyperlipidemic effects in experimental rats and suggested that these properties may probably mediate enhanced peripheral glucose utilization and/or enhanced insulin secretion. The present study revealed the anti-hyperglycemic activity of *M. lucida* which may be possible by regulating the activity of main carbohydrate digestion enzymes (alpha amylase and alpha glucosidase). This is one of the major ways in delaying the breaking down of carbohydrate in the small intestine and hence reducing the postprandial blood glucose increase in persons suffering from diabetes [16, 47]. Similarly, *P. nigrum* leaf extract showed *in vitro* α -glucosidase inhibitory activity with the hydroethanolic extracts being the most effective (IC_{50} , 0.98). Magaña-Barajas *et al.* and Pereira *et al.* [35, 36] earlier reported the α -amylase and α -glucosidase inhibitory activities of *P. nigrum*.

Adipose tissues remain the major site of energy storage and homeostasis in the body. The accumulation of fat in the adipose tissues is one of the aetiologies of obesity. Delaying dietary fat digestion and absorption may play a role in the prevention of hyperlipidemia and hence obesity [8]. Pancreatic lipase is the most important enzyme responsible for the digestion of dietary fat. Hence, inhibition of this enzyme will slow down the deposition of fat into adipose tissue and suppression of weight gain which is of beneficial effects to overweight and obesity [12]. The present study demonstrated that all extract concentration inhibited pancreatic lipase and cholesterol esterase substantially. This inhibition may have an effect on

hyperlipidemia due to delayed hydrolysis of dietary triglyceride and cholesterol esters. Irrespective of the concentration tested the hydroethanolic extract and fractions of *P. nigrum* and *M. lucida* were found to inhibit lipase and cholesterol esterase. This may also explain earlier results [50, 51] that *P. nigrum* reduced obesity markers in high fat diet fed rats and have hypolipidemic activity. The effects of *M. lucida* on lipase showed that there is no significant difference between the ethyl acetate fraction and the reference drug orlistat. Similarly, earlier studies did not show any difference between *M. lucida* extracts and referenced drug (Orlistat), which was used as positive control [52].

The antioxidant potentials of *P. nigrum* and *M. lucida* were equally evaluated in this study. This may be useful to fight against oxidative stress related to diabetes and obesity complications. Several reports have shown that there is a positive correlation between the effects of polyphenols and flavonoids content on inhibitory potentials of α -amylase and α -glucosidase [12, 53]. The results of the quantification of bioactive compounds present in *M. lucida* and *P. nigrum* extracts showed that they contain high amount of total phenolic and flavonoids compounds [32, 35, 54]. Phenolic compounds and flavonoids have been reported to show antioxidant activity in biological systems and acting as scavengers of singlet oxygen, free radicals [55] and have the ability to inhibit pancreatic α -amylase, α -glucosidase, pancreatic lipase activity and pancreatic cholesterol esterase [8, 56]. Following the analysis of the in vitro antioxidant results, it's found that, extracts from *P. nigrum* leaf and *M. lucida* bark showed antioxidant activity. The ethyl acetate fraction from *P. nigrum* shows DPPH radical inhibition these results agreed with the earlier report of Asimi *et al.* [57], where ethyl acetate and water extract of black pepper showed a concentration-dependent increase in DPPH radical scavenging ability. Mariutti *et al.* [58], also reported that ethanol extract of black pepper scavenged DPPH with an IC_{50} value of 110 ± 2 g/kg. Other species of Piper equally possess antioxidant activity as reported by Ramesh *et al* [59]. The linearity between total phenol of *P. nigrum* extracts and their antioxidant activity has earlier been reported [60, 61]. All plants extracts and fractions from *M. lucida* showed antioxidant capacity. The highest antioxidant capacity was marked by the hydro-ethanol crude extract. These results are like those reported earlier by other researcher [53] that the antioxidant activity of the ethanol extract of *M. lucida* is linked to its richness in total polyphenols and flavonoids content. The polyphenolic compounds have an antioxidant activity linked to the redox

properties which enable them to act as reducing agents, metal chelators and as free radical scavengers due to the presence of many hydroxide groups that can react with free radicals [62]. *M. lucida* is reported to contain high levels of flavonoids, alkaloids, tannins and saponin and the presence of these compounds has been linked to hypoglycaemic and anti-diabetic activity of the plant [63].

5. Conclusion

Morinda lucida and *Piper nigrum* possess inhibitory activity against major carbohydrate and lipid digestive enzymes, which may automatically regulate hyperglycaemia and hyperlipidemia. Also, the study revealed the antioxidant capacity of these plants which may respond to postprandial oxidative stress related to consumption of lipid and carbohydrate rich meal. The enzyme inhibitory activity and antioxidant properties exhibited by *M. lucida* and *P. nigrum* is attributed to the phenolic compounds and flavonoids present in the different extracts. The remarkable inhibition of these plants extracts, and fractions indicate their potential use as important and convenient sources of anti-obesity and anti-diabetic agents.

Consent for publication

All authors read and approved the submission of this manuscript to this journal.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and analysed during the study are included in the manuscript without any restriction.

References

- [1] Rozendaal Y.J., Wang Y., Hilbers P.A., van Riel N.A., Computational modelling of energy balance in individuals with Metabolic Syndrome: BMC Syst Biol, 2019 Feb 26;13(1):24. doi: 10.1186/s12918-019-0705-z. PMID: 30808366; PMCID: PMC6390597
- [2] Parim B.N., Harishankar N., Balaji M., Pothana S., Sajjalaguddam R.R., Effects of Piper nigrum extracts: Restorative perspectives of high-fat diet-induced changes on lipid profile, body composition, and hormones in Sprague–Dawley rats. Pharm Biol, 2015; 53(9): 1318–1328. <http://doi.org/10.3109/13880209.2014.980585>
- [3] Ranjani H., Pradeepa R., Mehreen T.S., Anjana R.M., Anand K., Garg R., Mohan V., Determinants, consequences and prevention of childhood overweight and obesity: An Indian context. Indian journal of endocrinology and metabolism, 2014; 18(Suppl 1), S17–S25. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2230-8210.145049>
- [4] World Health Organization, Obesity. Situation and trends: Global Health Observatory (GHO) data. 2016 http://www.who.int/gho/ncd/risk_factors/obesity_text/en/ (accessed 1 Feb 2017).
- [5] Lowe M.E., Pancreatic triglyceride lipase and colipase: insights into dietary fat digestion. Gastroenterology, 1994; 07:1524–1536.
- [6] Ahmad R., Butt M., Sultan M. et al., “Preventive role of green tea catechins from obesity and related disorders especially hypercholesterolemia and hyperglycemia”. Journal of Translational Medicine, 2015; vol. 13, no. 1, p. 79.
- [7] Mballa L.E. Dorothee, Yadang S.A. Fanta, Tchamgoue D.A., Mba J.R., Tchokouaha R.Y. Lauve, Biang E.M., Tchinda A.T., Dzeufiet P.D. Désiré, Agbor A. Gabriel, "Cafeteria Diet-Induced Metabolic and Cardiovascular Changes in Rats: The Role of Piper nigrum Leaf Extract". Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine, 2021; vol. 2021, Article ID 5585650, 14 pages, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/5585650>
- [8] Sompong W., Muangngam N., Kongpatpharnich A. et al., The inhibitory activity of herbal medicines on the keys enzymes and steps related to carbohydrate and lipid digestion. BMC Complement Altern Med, 2016; 16, 439. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12906-016-1424-2>
- [9] Ulla A., Alam M.A., Sikder B. et al., “Supplementation of Syzygium cumini seed powder prevented obesity, glucose intolerance, hyperlipidemia and oxidative

- stress in high carbohydrate high fat diet induced obese rats,” BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine, 2017; vol. 17, no. 1, p. 289.
- [10] Gu Y., Hurst W.J., Stuart D.A., Lambert J.D., Inhibition of key digestive enzymes by cocoa extracts 1 and procyanidins. J. Agric. Food Chem., 2011; 59 (10), 5305e5311.
- [11] Nair S.S., Kavrekar V., Mishra A., In vitro studies on alpha amylase and alpha glucosidase inhibitory activities of selected plant extracts. Eur. J. Exp. Biol., 2013; 3 (1), 128e132.
- [12] Unuofin O.J., Otunola A.G., Afolayan J.A., In vitro α -amylase, α -glucosidase, lipase inhibitory and cytotoxic activities of tuber extracts of *Kedrostis Africana* (L.) Cogn. Heliyon 4 (2018) e00810. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2018.e00810
- [13] Peyrot des Gachons C., & Breslin P.A., Salivary Amylase: Digestion and Metabolic Syndrome. Current diabetes reports, 2016; 16(10), 102. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11892-016-0794-7>
- [14] Damager I., Numao S., Chen H., Brayer G.D., Withers S., Synthesis and characterisation of novel chromogenic substrates for human pancreatic alpha-amylase. Carbohydr. Res., 339(2004)1727–1737.
- [15] Navarro D., Abelilla J.J., & Stein H.H., Structures and characteristics of carbohydrates in diets fed to pigs: a review. Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology, 2019; 10, 39. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40104-019-0345-6>
- [16] Inthongkaew P., Chatsumpun N., Supasuteekul C., Kitisripanya T., Putalun W., Likhitwitayawuid K., Sritularak B., α -Glucosidase and pancreatic lipase inhibitory activities and glucose uptake stimulatory effect of phenolic compounds from *Dendrobium formosum*, Revista Brasileira de Farmacognosia, 2017; Volume 27, Issue 4, Pages 480-487, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjp.2017.05.005>.
- [17] Van De Laar F.A., Lucassen P.L., Akkermans R.P., Van De Lisdonk E.H., Rutten G.E., Van Weel C., α -glucosidase inhibitors for patients with type 2 diabetes results from a cochrane systematic review and meta-analysis. Diabetes Care, 2005; 28:154–63
- [18] Li T., Kongstad K.T., Staerk D., Identification of α -glucosidase inhibitors in *Machilus litseifolia* by combined use of high-resolution α -glucosidase inhibition profiling and HPLC-PDA-HRMS-SPE-NMR. J. Nat. Prod., 2019; 82:249–258. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jnatprod.8b00609>.

- [19] Spínola V., & Castilho P.C., Assessing the In Vitro Inhibitory Effects on Key Enzymes Linked to Type-2 Diabetes and Obesity and Protein Glycation by Phenolic Compounds of Lauraceae Plant Species Endemic to the Laurisilva Forest. *Molecules* (Basel, Switzerland), 2021; 26(7), 2023. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26072023>
- [20] Wang S., Noh S.K., Koo S.I., Green tea catechins inhibit pancreatic phospholipase A (2) and intestinal absorption of lipids in ovariectomized rats. *J. Nutr. Biochem.*, 17(2006) 492–498.
- [21] Koo S.I., Noh S.K., Green tea as inhibitor of the intestinal absorption of lipids: potential mechanism for its lipid-lowering effect. *J. Nutr. Biochem.*, 18 (2007)179–183.
- [22] Gu Y., Hurst W.J., Stuart D.A., Lambert J.D., Inhibition of Key Digestive Enzymes by Cocoa Extracts and Procyanidins. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 59 (2011)5305–5311.
- [23] Zimudzi C., Cardon D., *Morinda lucida* Benth. PROTA (Plant Resources of Tropical Africa/Ressources Vegetales de l'AfricaTropicale), Wageningen, Netherlands, 2005.
- [24] Oyetayo, Folake & Oseni, Olatunde & Akinlolu, Olapade & Momodu, Daniel. (2021). Antidiabetic, Antilipidemic and Antioxidant Properties of Aqueous Extracts of *Morinda lucida* and *Nauclea latifolia* Leaves in Alloxan Induced Rats. *Biointerface Research in Applied Chemistry*. 11. 11602-11615. [10.33263/BRIAC114.1160211615](https://doi.org/10.33263/BRIAC114.1160211615).
- [25] Domekoko U.L., Longo F., Tarkang P.A., Tchinda T.A., Tsabang N., Donfagsiteli N.T., Tamze V., Kamtchouing P., Agbor G.A., Evaluation of the antidiabetic and antioxidant properties of *Morinda lucida* stem bark extract in streptozotocin intoxicated rats. *Pak J Pharm Sci.*, 2016; 29(3):903-11. PMID: 27166555.
- [26] Chokki M., Cudălbeanu M., Zongo C., Dah-Nouvlessounon D., Ghinea I.O., Furdui B., Răclău R., Savadogo A., Baba-Moussa L., Avamescu S.M., Dinica R.M., Baba-Moussa F., Exploring Antioxidant and Enzymes (A-Amylase and B-Glucosidase) Inhibitory Activity of *Morinda lucida* and *Momordica charantia* Leaves from Benin. *Foods*, 2020; 9, <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods904043417>
- [27] Abdulkareem A.O., Igunnu A., Ala A.A., Olatunji L.A., Leaf extract of *Morinda lucida* improves pancreatic beta-cell function in alloxan-induced diabetic rats,

- Egyptian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 2019; 6, 73-81, <https://doi.org/10.1080/2314808X.2019.1666501>. 22.
- [28] Akinyemi K.O., Mendie U.E., Smith S.T., Oyefolu A.O., Coker A.O., Screening of some medicinal plants used in southwest Nigerian traditional medicine for anti-Salmonella typhi activity. J. Herb Pharmacother., 2005 5(1) 45-60.
- [29] Elias S.O., Ladipo C.O., Oduwole B.P., Emeka P.M., Ojobor P.D., Sofola O.A., Morinda lucida reduced contractility of isolated uterine smooth muscle of pregnant and non-pregnant mice. Niger. J. Physiol. Sci. 22(1-2) (2007)129-134.
- [30] Sowemimo A. A., Fakoya F. A., Awopetu I., Omobuwajo O. R., Adesanya S. A., Toxicity and mutagenic activity of some selected Nigerian plants. J. Ethnopharmacol., 2007; 113: 427-432.
- [31] Raji Y., Akinsomisoye O.S., Salman T.M., Antispermato-genic activity of Morinda lucida extract in male rats. Asian J. Androl., 2 (2005) 405-410.
- [32] Agbor G. A., Vinson J. A., Oben J. E., and Ngogang J. Y., "In vitro antioxidant activity of three Piper species," Journal of Herbal Pharmacotherapy, 2007; vol. 7, pp. 49–64.
- [33] Agbor G. A., Vinson J. A., Oben J. E., and Ngogang J. Y., "Antioxidant effect of herbs and spices on copper mediated oxidation on lower and very low-density lipoprotein". Chinese Journal of Natural Medicines, 2010; vol. 8, pp. 114–120.
- [34] Agbor G. A., Vinson J. A., Sortino J., and Johnson R., "Antioxidant and anti-atherogenic activities of three Piper species on atherogenic diet fed hamsters". Experimental and Toxicologic Pathology, vol. 64, no. 4, pp. 387–391, 2012
- [35] Magaña-Barajas E., Buitimea-Cantúa G.V., Hernández-Morales A., Torres-Pelayo V.D.R., Vázquez-Martínez J., Buitimea-Cantúa N.E., In vitro α -amylase and α -glucosidase enzyme inhibition and antioxidant activity by capsaicin and piperine from Capsicum chinense and Piper nigrum fruits. J Environ Sci Health B., 2021; 56(3):282-291. doi: 10.1080/03601234.2020.1869477.
- [36] Pereira P.S.A., Banegas-Luna J.A., Peña-García J., Pérez-Sánchez H. and Zeno Apostolides Z., Evaluation of the Anti-Diabetic Activity of Some Common Herbs and Spices: Providing New Insights with Inverse Virtual Screening. Molecules, 2019; 24, 4030; doi:10.3390/molecules24224030
- [37] Onyesife C.O., Ogugua V.N., Anaduaka E.G., Hypoglycemic potentials of ethanol leaves extract of black pepper (Piper nigrum) on alloxan-induced diabetic rats. Annals Biol. Res., 2014; 5, 26–31.

- [38] Xiao Z., Storms R., Tsang A., A quantitative starch iodine for measuring alpha amylase and glucoamylase activities. *Anal Biochem.*, 2006; 351(1):146-148.
- [39] Kim Y., Jeon G., Wang, W. Lee Y., Rhee R., Inhibitory effect of pine extraction α -glucosidase activity and postprandial hyperglycemia. *Nutrition*, 2005; 6:756-761.
- [40] Sharma S.M., Bhadange D.G., Antimicrobial potential of Lamiaceae members. *International J. of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Scien.*, 2005; 3:324–327.
- [41] Adisakwattana S., Ruengsamran T., Kampa P., Sompong W., In vitro inhibitory effects of plant base foods and their combinations on intestinal α -glucosidase and pancreatic α -amylase. *BMC Complement Altem Med.*, 2012; 12:1-8.
- [42] Li T., Lui J., Zhang X., Ji G., Antidiabetic activity of lipophilic (-)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate derived under its role of alpha glucosidase inhibition. *Biomedicine and pharmacotherapy*, 2007; 61:91-96.
- [43] Chang C., Yang M., Wan H., Estimation of the total Flavonoid Content in Propolis by two complimentary calorimetric Methods. *J. Food Drug Anal.*, 2002; 10:178-182.
- [44] Benzie I. F. F., Strain J. J., The ferric reducing ability of plasma (FRAP) as measure of antioxidant power: The FRAP Assay, *Anal. Biochemistry*, 1996; 239:70-79.
- [45] Blois M.S, Antioxidant determinations by the use of a stable free radical. *Natu.*, 1958; 181:1198–1200.
- [46] Arnao M., Cano A., Acosta M., The hydrophilic and lipophilic contribution to total antioxidant. *Food Chem.*, 2001; 73:239-244.
- [47] Adeneye A.A., and Agbaje E.O., Pharmacological evaluation of oral hypoglycaemic and antidiabetic effects of fresh leaves ethanol extract of *Morinda lucida* benth in normal and alloxan-induced diabetic rats. *Afri J Biomed Res*, 2008; 11: 65-71.
- [48] Odutuga A.A., Dairo J.O., Minari J.B., Bamisaye F.A, Anti-diabetic effect of *Morinda lucida* stem bark extracts on alloxan-induced diabetic rats. *Res J. Pharmacol*, 2010; 4(3):78-82.
- [49] Adeneye A.A, Olagunju J.A, Olatunji B.H, Balogun A.F, Akinyele B.S, Ayodele M.O, Modulatory Effect of *Morinda lucida* Aqueous Stem Bark Extract on Blood Glucose and Lipid Profile in Alloxan-Induced Diabetic Rats. *Afr. J. Biomed. Res.*, 2017; Vol. 20, 75- 84.

- [50] Pak-Dek M.S., Abdul-Hamid A., Osman A., Soh C.S., Inhibitory effect of *Morinda citrifolia* L. leaf extract on lipoprotein lipase activity. *J. Food and Scienc.*, 2008; 73:1750-3841.
- [51] Sarfaraz S., Najam R., Azhar I., Sarwar G., Comparative Evaluation of Hypolipidemic Effects of Ethanolic Extract of Fruit of *Piper Chaba* and *Piper Nigrum* on Albino Rabbits. *Forensic Biomechanics*, 2016; 7: 128. doi:10.4172/2090-2697.128
- [52] Mohd Zin Z.M., Abdul-Hamid A., Osman A., Antioxidant activity of extract from Mengkudu (*Morinda citrifolia* L.) root, fruit and leaf. *Food Chem.*, 2002; 78:227-231.
- [53] Idih F.M., Alagbe O.V., Sheneni V.D. and Ebune J., Evaluation of Bioactive Compounds, in Vitro Antioxidant Activity and Acute Toxicity of Ethanol Extract of *Morinda lucida* Leaves. *Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology*, 2021; 7(2): 32-38. doi: 10.9734/AJBGMB/2021/v7i230171
- [54] Dechakhamphu A., Wongchum N., Screening for anti-pancreatic lipase properties of 28 traditional Thai medicinal herbs. *Asian Pac J Trop Biomed.*, 2015; 5:1042–5.
- [55] Wilmsen P.K., Spada D.S., Salvador M., Antioxidant Activity of the Flavonoid Hesperidin in Chemical and Biological Systems. *J. Agric Food Chem.*, 2005; 53(12):4757-61.
- [56] Adisakwattana S., Intrawangso J., Hemrid A., Chanathong B., Mäkynen K., Extracts of edible plants inhibit pancreatic lipase, cholesterol esterase and cholesterol micellization, and bind bile acids. *Food Technol Biotech.*, 2012; 50:11–6
- [57] Asimi O.A., Sahu N.P., and Pal A.K., Antioxidant capacity of crude water and ethyl acetate extracts of some Indian spices and their antimicrobial activity against *Vibrio vulnificus* and *Micrococcus luteus*. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*, 2013; 7(26):1907- 1915.
- [58] Mariutti L.R., Barreto G.P., Bragagnolo N., Mercadante A.Z., Free radical scavenging activity of ethanolic extracts from herbs and spices commercialized in Brazil. *Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technol.*, 2008; 51:1225-1232.
- [59] Ramesh kumar K.B., Anuaravind A.P, Mathew P.J., Comparative phytochemical evaluation and antioxidant assay of *Piper longum* L. and *Piper chaba* Hunter

- used in Indian traditional systems of medicine. *Journal of Herbs, Spices & Medicinal Plants*, 2011; 17:351-360.
- [60] Nahak G., Sahu R.K., Phytochemical evaluation and antioxidant activity of *Piper cubeba* and *Piper nigrum*. *J. of Applied Pharmaceutical Scien.*, 2011; 8:153-157.
- [61] Rice-Evans C.A., Miller J., and Paganga G., Antioxidant properties of phenolic compounds. *Trends in Plant Scien.*, 1997; 2:152-159.
- [62] Frankel E.N, Natural and biological antioxidant in food and biological systems, their mechanism of action, applications and implications, *Lipid Technol.*, 1995; 77-80.
- [63] Sudheesh S., Manital V.B. and Vijayalakshmi N.R., Potential health promoting effects of flavonoids-a comparative study of hypolipidaemic and hypoglycaemic activities. In: Abstracts of Posters, P: 179, 53rd Annual Meeting of the Society of Medical Plant Research 2005.