Original Research Article

Minimal hepatic encephalopathy: prevalence and associated factors

Abstract :

Introduction: Minimal hepatic encephalopathy (EHM) is a frequent and severe complication of liver disease with a poor prognosis. It is responsible for an altered quality of life for patients. However, it is a poorly understood complication. There is no consensus on the diagnosis itself. Consequently, this pathology is under-diagnosed.
The main objective of this study is to definite in cirrhotic cases the frequence of minimal hepatic encephalopathy (EHM), cases with no clinical hepatic encephalopathy and to identify its risk factors.

Materials and Methods: This is a prospective study. 40 patients were included over a period of one year. A psychometric score of hepatic encephalopathy (PHES) composed of 5 tests was calculated. Any patient with a PHES score < -4 had an EHM.

Results: We recruited 40 patients. The study population was characterized by a slight male predominance (60%), the average age of the patients was 60.7 ± 11.8 years. The prevalence of EHM was 42.5% and varied according to the Child-Pugh class of the patients (Child A: 11.76%, Child B: 47.06% and Child C: 41.18%). Thrombocytopenia, hypoalbuminemia and elevated ASAT were found to be independent predictors of EHM in cirrhotic patients.

Conclusion**:** The Psychometric Hepatic Encephalopathy Score (PHES) has been shown to be useful for the diagnosis of EHM and can be applied both in the outpatient setting and at the patient's bed. The frequency of EHM in our study was 42.5%. Its independent risk factors can be used as a screening tool in cirrhotic patients. Larger studies should be encouraged.

Keywords: Cirrhosis - Minimal hepatic encephalopathy - Psychometric tests - Child & Pugh score.

Introduction:

Hepatic encephalopathy (HE) includes an extensive range of neuropsychiatric incarnations, generally met in cases with hepatic disease, in the absence of other attendant reasons of cerebral infarction (1). Minimal hepatic encephalopathy (MHE) corresponds to rake 0 on the West-Haven classification (table 1) also named subclinical or inactive encephalopathy, MHE correspond to typical neurological clinical inspection with cognitive deficiencies that can be illustrated by neuropsychological examinations (tests).

Liver cirrhosis is the leading cause of liver-related death worldwide. Better still, HE has been shown to be associated with high mortality rates, regardless of the severity of the underlying liver disease in the world [2].

The aim of this work is to identify the prevalence of minimal hepatic encephalopathy in cirrhosis adult patients with no clinical hepatic encephalopathy and to determine its risk factors

Table1 : West-Haven criteria for the diagnosis of Hepatic encephalopathy

Stage	Distinguishing features
0	No abnormality detected
1	Trivial lack of awareness, euphoria or anxiety, shortened attention span, impaired performance of addition
2	lethargy or apathy, minimal disorientation for time or place, subtle personality change, inappropriate behavior, impaired performance of subtraction
3	somnolence to semistupor, but responsive to verbal stimuli, confusion, gross disorientation
4	Coma (unresponsive to verbal or noxious stimuli)

Materials and method:

This is a prospective study spread over a period of one year from November 2020 to November 2021 that included 40 patients aged 18 or over with cirrhosis. Excluded were patients with clinical hepatic encephalopathy (HE), patients with psychiatric and neurological diseases responsible for cognitive impairment, such as Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, patients currently on psychoactive medications , such as antidepressants, sedatives, narcotics, barbiturates, patients with impaired visual acuity and dyslexic patients, patients

with neoplasia of any organ as well as medical conditions severe enough to affect cognitive function, such as people with severe heart, lung or kidney disease.

For screening for minimal hepatic encephalopathy, the portosystemic encephalopathy syndrome (PSE) test was performed and the psychometric score for portosystemic encephalopathy (PHES) was calculated. This PSE test was chosen for the study in accordance with recent AASLD (The American Association for the Study of Liver Disease) guidelines because it is a well-studied and well-validated test that can be used in monocentric studies.

In our study, a version of the PSE test modified according to the recommendations of Dhiman et al [3] was used. This version consists of 5 tests: Number Connection Test A and B (NCT A/B), Line Tracing Test (LTT), Digit Symbol Test (DST), Serial Dotting Test (SDT) explained in the table 2 below:

Table 2: the components of PSE score [3]

Test :	**Description :**
Number Connection Test A (NCT-A)	The randomly scattered numbers must be linked together in series as quickly as possible.
Number Connection Test B (NCT-B)	Randomly scattered numbers and letters must be connected in alternating series (1-A-2-B...) as quickly as possible.
Line Tracing Test (LTT)	A given line is to be drawn as quickly as possible
Digit-Symbol Test (DST)	The patient receives a sheet of paper on which each number from 1 to 9 is associated with a symbol. Under each number, the patient must write the corresponding symbol in a given time.
Serial Dotting Test (SDT)	This is a paper containing 100 circles. The upper part has 20 circles for training purposes. Subjects are asked to put a dot in each of the 100 circles given on the sheet as quickly as possible, after being prepared by putting a dot in the top 20 circles of the sheet.

The results of the various PSE tests were reported and adapted to the age and level of education of the patients using the Spanish standardization (available on the site: http://www.redEH.org) which allowed us to have the PHES score expressed in points. Like the majority of studies, the PHES score threshold was set at -4 for MHE screening, in other words, any patient with a PHES score < -4 had minimal hepatic encephalopathy (MHE).

Results:

The average age of the patients included in the study is 60.7 ± 11.8 years with extremes of 31 and 82 years. The sex ratio M/F is 1.5. 40% of patients did not go beyond 5 years of schooling, while 60% were in school for more than 5 years. Regarding toxic habits, smoking is found in 30%, and alcohol consumption in 15% of cases.

All patients presented with decompensated cirrhosis in the form of an edemato-ascites syndrome in 24 patients (60%), gastrointestinal bleeding in 21 patients (53%), hepatocellular carcinoma in 6 patients (15%), hepatorenal syndrome in 2 patients (5%) and ascitic fluid infection in only one patient (3%). Regarding the biological values, the median values of hemoglobin and platelet count were respectively 10.1 [7.8-12.2] g/dl and 71.5 [56-126.5] × 103 elem/ mm3. Median albumin and international normalized ratio (INR) values were 28 [25-34] g/L and 1.4 [1.3-1.7], respectively. The median values of total bilirubin (BT), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alkaline phosphatase (ALP) and gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT) were respectively 14 [12, 3-26.3] mg/L, 20.5 [13-39.3] IU/L, 38[20-76] IU/L, 93.5 [66.3-156.8] IU/L and of 47 [24-88] IU/L. Anemia (hemoglobin < 10 g/dL) and thrombocytopenia (platelet count < 100 x 103 elem/mm3) were present in 48% and 78% of patients, respectively. 63% of patients had hypoalbuminemia (<30g/L), while 65% had a prolonged INR (>1.2). 43%, 18%, 45%, 28% and 45% of patients had an increase in total bilirubin, ALT, AST, ALP and GGT, respectively.

In addition, an esophagogastroduodenal fibroscopy (FOGD) was performed in all patients and objectified the presence in 26 patients (65%) of esophageal varices stage 1, 2 or 3. Regarding the severity of cirrhosis assessed by the Child-Pugh score, more than half of the patients were classified Child-Pugh B with a percentage of 52%.

The descriptive analysis of the various bedside psychometric tests of our patients is summarized in Table 3.

Table 3: Assessment of PHES in the study population

Test :	average	standard deviation
NCT-A : *(seconds)*	121,35	33,437
NCT-B : *(seconds)*	177,40	46,690
DST : (number of cases filled in 90sec)	12,80	5,422
SDT : *(seconds)*	92,75	20,186
LDT : *(seconds)*	95,95	23,821

Regarding the PHES score, 17 patients had a PHES score < -4, indicating minimal hepatic encephalopathy with a prevalence rate of 42.5%.

Regarding the descriptive analysis, there is a significant difference between patients with EHM and those who are not in terms of the following variables: AST increase (P= 0.049); Thrombocytopenia (P= 0.033); Hypoalbuminemia (P= 0.016), and MELD score (P=0.001). Regarding the severity of cirrhosis, there is a progressive increase in the frequency of EHM from 11.76% among Child Pugh class A patients to 47.06% and 41.7% among those in class B and C respectively. The difference was statistically significant with a P value = 0.033. In multivariate analysis, only 3 variables were found to have independent predictive value for EHM. These are: ↑ASAT (>1.5N), hypoalbuminemia (Albumin<30g/L) and thrombocytopenia (Plq<100,000elem/m3) as shown in Table 4.

Table 4: Multivariate analysis of factors associated with EHM ***OR:*** Odds ratio; **IC:** confidence interval

Variable	OR	IC 95%
↑ASAT	**0,461**	**0,199 - 1,065**
Hypoalbuminemia	**0,277**	**0,074 - 1,035**
Thrombocytopenia	**0,215**	**0,033 - 1,409**
Child-Pugh	3,312	2,553 - 4,070
MELD	2,680	1,307 - 6,668

Discussion:

Hepatic encephalopathy is a general and serious involution of liver affection, with an prejudicial prognostic. It's reliable for an modification in the quality of life of cases and their families. Still, this is a inadequately comprehended involution. The determination itself isn't the subject of unanimity, and this pathology is frequently under-diagnosed. Symptoms of overt hepatic encephalopathy are related in about 30-45 of cases with hepatic cirrhosis and 10-50 of cases with a transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt (TIPS) (4). Hepatic encephalopathy is subdivided into three categories intermittent, insistent, and littlest, relying on the clinical presentation of the case. Cases with intermittent hepatic encephalopathy may have presumably regular cognitive capacity between occurrences. Cases with insistent hepatic encephalopathy are none totally detached of hepatic encephalopathy. Cases with littlest hepatic encephalopathy stay clinically asymptomatic. Clinical hepatic encephalopathy is generally honored at the bedside, while minimum hepatic encephalopathy (MHE) frequently goes unnoticed. actually, the expression subclinical hepatic encephalopathy was firstly advanced to relate cases with nuanced incarnations of hepatic encephalopathy that are delicate to fete. This expression highlights the necessity for added examinations to diagnose a level of cerebrum dysfunction that couldn't be detected by conventional clinical exploration. 30-45 of cases with cirrhosis elaborate a battery of potentially reversible neurocognitive anomalies across the HE diapason (5).

A common strategy to diagnosing EHM is grounded on the regarding way (6) Presence of an affection that can engender EHM, similar as cirrhosis or the appearance of a port shunt-systemic, evidence of a standard cerebral standing on clinical exploration. Besides a standard neurological examination, the test that has proven most helpful for this aspiration is theMini-Mental State Examination (MMSE). Eventually, if the clinical inspection and the MMSE communicate standard conclusions, the coming track is to quantify any inactive cognitive deficiencies serving psychometric tests. There are neurophysiological examinations and imaging ways to round them, but they're substantially applied in trial environs. There's no impeccable test for diagnosing EHM. Since the primal 1970s, further than 60 individual tests have been allowed and acted for the determination of EHM (7). These tests can be arranged into three main categories Psychometric or neuropsychological tests (which we performed in this study), electrophysiological or neurophysiological tests and Neuroimaging tests. Neuropsychological testing is an inaugurated approach for quantifying cognitive impairment due to varied configurations of encephalopathy. The main failing of neuropsychological

estimation is the obligation for a extended and complicated judgment by an expert. To annul this disagreement, numerous writers have decreased the assessment to a determinate number of tests; these are the brief neuropsychological collections. Unfortunately, there's no unanimity on the number of exams to involve or the degree of impairment according to an aberrant conclusion.

The psychometric hepatic encephalopathy score (PHES) has done formalized and confirmed by Weissenborn and col (3). This test consists of a series of psychometric exams and was allowed particularly for the determination of MHE. The PHES firstly contained 7 exams the line tracing (LTT), serial dotting test (SDT), digit symbol test (DST), number connection test (NCT-A and B), the digit span test (DST) and the d- cancellation test. Still, the appeal for a low group and the short perceptivity of some of the examinations showed to the introduction of the modified group, occasionally additionally named the portosystemic encephalopathy (PSE) pattern test, which includes the NCT A and B, LTT, SDT and DST (Figure 1 and 2). When acting the PHES test, it's consequential to appreciate the impact of agedness, gender, scholarship, and cultural modifications on examination achievement. This group assesses multiple of the anomalies undergone in cases with EHM, containing engine hurry and perfection, visuo-spatial frontage, graphic discernment, graphic illustration, advertence, absorption, and to a lower limit quantity, memory. Good application of these standards has handed a brief, impartial, and dependable choice to estimate EHM at the bedside.



Figure 1: components of PHE : NCT-A, NCT- B et SDT: adapt by Weissenbom et al [24]



Figure 2: components of PHES (LTT et DST) : Adapt by Weissenbom et al [24]

Regarding the analytical results of our study, there was no significant difference in terms of sociodemographic factors between patients with EHM and those who are not. These results are consistent with several previous studies that found EHM to be unrelated to patient age, gender, or education level [8,9,10].

Among our 40 patients, 17 had a PHES score < -4, indicating minimal hepatic encephalopathy with a prevalence rate of 42.5%. This rate found in our study is consistent with that objectified in previous studies which showed a wide variation ranging from 35% to 75% (table 5) [8]. This variation is probably due to the divergence of the inclusion and exclusion

criteria taken into consideration, as well as the diversity of the tests used for the diagnosis [11].

Table 5 : The prevalence of EHM according to different studies: *SDT: Serial Dotting Test NCT-A: Number Connection Test-A.*

Study	date	country	Prevalence of l'EHM :	Tests used
Rathi et al. (12)	2019	India	59.7%	PHES
Bale A. et al. (13)	2017	India	52,2%	PHES
Li SW et al. (14)	2013	China	49.1%	PHES
Maldonado-Garza HJ et al. (15)	2011	Mexico	55.8%	PHES
Li YY et al. (16)	2004	China	50,9%	SDT, NCT-A
This study	2021	Morocco	42,5%	PHES

Regarding the role of the Child Pugh score in screening for EHM, there seems to be disagreement in the literature [13]. While many studies have shown that cirrhotic patients with a Child Pugh B and C score have a higher prevalence of EHM compared to a Child Pugh A score [17,18,19] a few have not shown this difference [20, 21]. On the other hand, Das et al reported that although the prevalence of EHM was similar across Child and Pugh classes, the severity of EHM depended on the severity of the underlying liver disease [22]. In our study: a progressive increase in the frequency of EHM of 11.76% was objectified in patients with a Child Pugh A score and of 47.06% and 41.7% in patients with a B score and C of Child Pugh respectively. In univariate analysis, the score was associated with the presence of EHM (P = 0.033), however, in multivariate Child Pugh score is not an independent predictive value for EHM. Regarding the MELD score, its prediction of the EHM also remains controversial [19,21]. In our study and in nivariate analysis there was a significant difference between patients with EHM and those who are not according to the MELD score (P=0.001). This difference was not found in multivariate analysis.

A meta-analysis studying the development of hepatic encephalopathy (HE) in cirrhotic patients after TIPS (transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt) showed that a high Child Pugh score was associated with an increased risk of HE while the score MELD failed to predict the same [23]. Yoo HY et al also investigated the relationship between MELD and HE

severity and found that MELD was not correlated with either HE severity or the presence of ascites [19]. Previous studies have shown that various factors such as the severity of liver disease, the presence of varicose veins [17,22] alcohol as the etiology [22], or even ammonia levels [23] were in favor of the onset of EHM in cirrhotic patients. Our study has objectified that only: ↑ASAT (>1.5N), hypoalbuminemia (Albumin<30g/L) and thrombocytopenia (Plq<100,000elem/m3) were associated with the appearance of EHM

Conclusion:

The prevalence of EHM in our study was 42.5%. Thrombocytopenia, hypoalbuminemia and elevated ASAT were found to be independent predictors of EHM in cirrhotic patients. It would be desirable to apply to any cirrhotic patient simple psychometric tests such as the PHES to screen for EHM specially to patients with Child-Pugh B/C cirrhosis presenting with thrombocytopenia, increased AST, and hypoalbuminemia. Further studies are needed to determine the frequency of minimal hepatic encephalopathy in cirrhotics hospitalized in other departments in Morocco and Africa. This will allow both to validate the results of this study and to obtain a large database on the population.

Référence :

1. Bamijoko-Okungbaye, A. (2018). Neuroimaging and the Limits of Brain Imaging Techniques. *Postmodern Openings*, *9*(3), 64-75. https://doi.org/10.18662/po/36
2. GBD 2017 Causes of Death Collaborators. Global, regional, and national age-sex-specific mortality for 282 causes of death in 195 countries and territories, 1980-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. Lancet. 2018 Nov 10;392(10159):1736-1788. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32203-7. Epub 2018 Nov 8. Erratum in: Lancet. 2019 Jun 22;393(10190):e44. Erratum in: Lancet. 2018 Nov 17;392(10160):2170. PMID: 30496103; PMCID: PMC6227606.
3. Dhiman RK, Kurmi R, Thumburu KK, Venkataramarao SH, Agarwal R, Duseja A, Chawla Y. Diagnosis and prognostic significance of minimal hepatic encephalopathy in patients with cirrhosis of liver. Dig Dis Sci. 2010 Aug;55(8):2381-90. doi: 10.1007/s10620-010-1249-7. Epub 2010 May 28. PMID: 20508990.
4. Prakash R, Mullen KD. Mechanisms, diagnosis and management of hepatic encephalopathy. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2010 Sep;7(9):515-25. doi: 10.1038/nrgastro.2010.116. Epub 2010 Aug 10. PMID: 20703237.
5. Stinton LM, Jayakumar S. Minimal hepatic encephalopathy. Can J Gastroenterol. 2013 Oct;27(10):572-4. doi: 10.1155/2013/547670. PMID: 24106728; PMCID: PMC3805337
6. Ferenci P, Lockwood A, Mullen K, Tarter R, Weissenborn K, Blei AT. Hepatic encephalopathy--definition, nomenclature, diagnosis, and quantification: final report of the working party at the 11th World Congresses of Gastroenterology, Vienna, 1998. Hepatology. 2002 Mar;35(3):716-21. doi: 10.1053/jhep.2002.31250. PMID: 11870389.
7. Ortiz M, Jacas C, Córdoba J. Minimal hepatic encephalopathy: diagnosis, clinical significance and recommendations. J Hepatol. 2005;42 Suppl(1):S45-53. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2004.11.028. Epub 2004 Dec 28. PMID: 15777572.
8. Gad YZ, Zaher AA, Moussa NH, El-desoky AE, Al-Adarosy HA. Screening for minimal hepatic encephalopathy in asymptomatic drivers with liver cirrhosis. Arab J Gastroenterol. 2011 Jun;12(2):58-61. doi: 10.1016/j.ajg.2011.04.002. Epub 2011 Jun 12. PMID: 21684474.

9. Savlan I., Liakina V. and Valantinas J. (2013) “Value of computerized inhibitory control test and blood tests in minimal hepatic encephalopathy diagnosis”, *Acta medica Lituanica*, 20(3), pp. 109-116. doi: 10.6001/actamedica.v20i3.2726.
10. Adekanle O, Sunmonu TA, Komolafe MA, Ndububa DA. Cognitive functions in patients with liver cirrhosis: assessment using community screening interview for dementia. Ann Afr Med. 2012 Oct-Dec;11(4):222-9. doi: 10.4103/1596-3519.102853. PMID: 23103921
11. Sharma K, Pant S, Misra S, Dwivedi M, Misra A, Narang S, Tewari R, Bhadoria AS. Effect of rifaximin, probiotics, and l-ornithine l-aspartate on minimal hepatic encephalopathy: a randomized controlled trial. Saudi J Gastroenterol. 2014 Jul-Aug;20(4):225-32. doi: 10.4103/1319-3767.136975. PMID: 25038208; PMCID: PMC4131305.
12. Rathi S, Chopra M, Chouduri G, Sharma P, Madan K, Chhabra M, Rai RR, Govil A, Konar A, Goenka M, Agarwal M, Mukherjee J, Thorat V, Salunkhe S, Abraham P, Nagral A, Jhaveri A, Bhat N, Varghese J, R S A, Ravishankar, Reddy DC, Dhiman RK. Prevalence of Minimal Hepatic Encephalopathy in Patients With Liver Cirrhosis: A Cross-Sectional, Clinicoepidemiological, Multicenter, Nationwide Study in India: The PREDICT Study. J Clin Exp Hepatol. 2019 Jul-Aug;9(4):476-483. doi: 10.1016/j.jceh.2018.09.009. Epub 2018 Oct 15. PMID: 31516264; PMCID: PMC6728606.
13. Bale A, Pai CG, Shetty S, Balaraju G, Shetty A. Prevalence of and Factors Associated With Minimal Hepatic Encephalopathy in Patients With Cirrhosis of Liver. J Clin Exp Hepatol. 2018 Jun;8(2):156-161. doi: 10.1016/j.jceh.2017.06.005. Epub 2017 Jun 20. PMID: 29892178; PMCID: PMC5992259.
14. Li SW, Wang K, Yu YQ, Wang HB, Li YH, Xu JM. Psychometric hepatic encephalopathy score for diagnosis of minimal hepatic encephalopathy in China. World J Gastroenterol. 2013 Dec 14;19(46):8745-51. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v19.i46.8745. PMID: 24379595; PMCID: PMC3870523.
15. Maldonado-Garza HJ, Vázquez-Elizondo G, Gaytán-Torres JO, Flores-Rendón AR, Cárdenas-Sandoval MG, Bosques-Padilla FJ. Prevalence of minimal hepatic encephalopathy in cirrhotic patients. Ann Hepatol. 2011 Jun;10 Suppl 2:S40-4. PMID: 22228880.
16. Li YY, Nie YQ, Sha WH, Zeng Z, Yang FY, Ping L, Jia L. Prevalence of subclinical hepatic encephalopathy in cirrhotic patients in China. World J Gastroenterol. 2004

Aug 15;10(16):2397-401. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v10.i16.2397. PMID: 15285027; PMCID: PMC4576296.

17. Groeneweg M, Moerland W, Quero JC, Hop WC, Krabbe PF, Schalm SW. Screening of subclinical hepatic encephalopathy. J Hepatol. 2000 May;32(5):748-53. doi: 10.1016/s0168-8278(00)80243-3. PMID: 10845661.
18. Liu Q, Duan ZP, Ha DK, Bengmark S, Kurtovic J, Riordan SM. Synbiotic modulation of gut flora: effect on minimal hepatic encephalopathy in patients with cirrhosis. Hepatology. 2004 May;39(5):1441-9. doi: 10.1002/hep.20194. PMID: 15122774..
19. Yoo HY, Edwin D, Thuluvath PJ. Relationship of the model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) scale to hepatic encephalopathy, as defined by electroencephalography and neuropsychometric testing, and ascites. Am J Gastroenterol. 2003 Jun;98(6):1395-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2003.07466.x. PMID: 12818287.
20. Rikkers L, Jenko P, Rudman D, Freides D. Subclinical hepatic encephalopathy: detection, prevalence, and relationship to nitrogen metabolism. Gastroenterology. 1978 Sep;75(3):462-9. PMID: 680502.
21. Sharma P, Sharma BC. Predictors of minimal hepatic encephalopathy in patients with cirrhosis. Saudi J Gastroenterol. 2010 Jul-Sep;16(3):181-7. doi: 10.4103/1319-3767.65189. PMID: 20616413; PMCID: PMC3003225.
22. Das A, Dhiman RK, Saraswat VA, Verma M, Naik SR. Prevalence and natural history of subclinical hepatic encephalopathy in cirrhosis. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2001 May;16(5):531-5. doi: 10.1046/j.1440-1746.2001.02487.x. PMID: 11350549.
23. Jalan R, Wright G, Davies NA, Hodges SJ. L-Ornithine phenylacetate (OP): a novel treatment for hyperammonemia and hepatic encephalopathy. Med Hypotheses. 2007;69(5):1064-9. doi: 10.1016/j.mehy.2006.12.061. Epub 2007 Apr 27. PMID: 17467190.
24. Weissenborn K. PHES: one label, different goods?! J Hepatol. 2008 Sep;49(3):308-12. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2008.06.023. Epub 2008 Jul 11. PMID: 18644646.