

# **Construction of Knowledge Test to Measure the Knowledge of farmers about Banana production technology**

## **Abstract**

Due to the non-availability of a standardized scale to measure farmers' knowledge about banana production technology, it was thought necessary to construct a test for the purpose and an attempt has been made to develop a test for measuring knowledge about banana production technology. Pertinent items were collected covering all aspects of banana production technology. After getting the jury's opinion on the items of test index of item difficulty, index of item discrimination, and index of item validity were worked out. to administer the knowledge test a respondent is given one mark for each correct answer and zero marks for each wrong answer. Twenty-five statements were finally selected from 32 statements.

**Key Words:** farmers' knowledge, Package of practice, post-harvesting practices, value addition

## **1. Introduction**

Banana farming is regarded as a major boon to local communities, and farmers that focus on banana farming would be able to increase their productivity and boost their livelihoods over time. Among many farmers, however, the banana is considered a subsistence cash crop. Traditional farming practices prevail, hence, productivity, and production levels remain low. Government strategies are now enhancing the productivity profitability and competitiveness of small banana farming. Effective adoption can be attained if farmers possess sufficient knowledge of banana production technology. With this background, the present paper is aimed to develop a knowledge test to measure the knowledge level of farmers about banana production technology. For the present study, knowledge is operationalized as the quantum of information known to the farmers on recommended banana production technology, including a package of practice, post-harvesting practiced value addition, etc.

A knowledge test was developed with 25 items to measure the knowledge of banana production technology. Each item is measured on a two-point continuum. I.e. correct and incorrect with scores of "1" and "0" respectively. The maximum and minimum scores to be obtained are 25 and 0 respectively.

The detailed procedure for the construction and standardization of the knowledge test is given below.

## **2. Methodology**

The whole paper is presented in two parts, the first part deals with knowledge test development, and the second part deals with the measurement of the knowledge level of farmers

### **2.1. Knowledge test development**

#### **2.1.1. Collection of items**

The items of the test were collected through the relevant literature and discussion with the experts in the field of Horticulture, Agronomy, and Entomology, thus a total of 71 items were collected focusing on various aspects of banana production technology. After making improvements and editing based on the opinion of the concerned scientist 48 items were retained. They retained 48 items and were then pacified to item analysis to have suitable items to

be included in the final schedule based on the opinion of the respondents from the non-sample area.

### **2.1.2. Item analysis**

Item analysis has been done based on the three indices i.e. item difficulty index, item discrimination index, and point biserial correlation. The index of item discrimination provides information on how well an item discriminates in agreement that whether there is an item discriminates against well-informed respondents from a poorly informed respondent. Whereas the item difficulty index indicates the extent to which an item was difficult. The point biserial correlation provided information on how well the item measures or discriminates in agreement with the rest of the test. Pretesting of the items was done as suggested by Gonard (1948). The items were revised and administered to 48 respondents selected to pretest in a controlled situation.

### **2.1.3. Item Difficulty Index (P)**

The 48 items were selected from 48 non-sample respondents with a two-point response continuum. The scores allotted were one for correct response and zero for incorrect response. After computing the total score obtained for each of the 48 respondents on 48 items, they were arranged in order from highest to lowest. Based on this the 48 respondents were then divided into six equal groups. These groups were labeled as G1, G2, G3, G4, G5, and G6 with 8 respondents in each group. For item analysis, the middle two groups G3 and G4 were eliminated keeping only four extreme groups with high and low scores.

The index of item difficulty was worked out as the percentage of the respondents answering an item correctly. The items with 'p' values ranging from 50 to 95 were considered for the final selection of the knowledge test.

The difficulty level was calculated using the following formula.

$P_i = n_i / N$ ; Where,  $P_i$  = Difficulty index for the  $i$ th item,  $n_i$  = Number of respondents correctly answered the  $i$ th item,  $N$  = Total number of respondents to which  $i$ th item was administered.

### **2.1.4. Item Discrimination Index (E 1/3)**

The item discrimination index is specified by "E 1/3".for getting the E1/3 value of items following formula has been used for calculation-

$$E\ 1/3 = \frac{(S1 + S2) - (S5 + S6)}{N/3} \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

In the groups G1, G2, G5, and G6, S1, S2, S5, and S6 are the frequencies of correct answers. 'The total number of respondents of the sample selected for the item analysis  $N = 48$ . The discrimination index varies from 0 to 1. The items with a discrimination index ranging from 0.5- to 1.00. Were selected for the final test.

### **2.1.5. Point Biserial Correlation ( $r_{pbis}$ )**

The point biserial correlation was used to know the internal consistency of the items i.e. the relationship of the total score to a dichotomized answer to any given item. In a way, the validity power of the item was computed by the correlation of the individual item of preliminary knowledge the test is calculated by using the formula suggested by Garret (1966).

$$r_{pbis} = \frac{\sum XY - \frac{(\sum X)(\sum Y)}{N}}{SD \times \sqrt{Pq}} \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

$r_{pbis}$  = Point biserial correlation.

$MP$  = Mean of the total scores of the respondents who answered the item correctly.  
 $\frac{\text{Sum total of } XY}{N}$

$MP$  =  $\frac{\text{Sum total of } XY}{N}$   
 $N$  = Total number of correct answers

$MQ$  = Mean of the total scores of the respondents who answered the item incorrectly.  
 $\frac{\text{Sum total of } X - \text{Sum total of } XY}{N}$

$MQ$  =  $\frac{\text{Sum total of } X - \text{Sum total of } XY}{N}$   
 $N$  = Total number of Wrong answers

$SD$  = Standard deviation of the entire sample.

$P$  = Proportion of the respondents giving the correct answer to the item.  
 $\frac{\text{Total number of correct answers}}{N}$

$P$  =  $\frac{\text{Total number of correct answers}}{N}$   
 $N$  = Total number of respondent

$Q$  = Proportion of the respondents giving an incorrect answer to the item (or)  $Q = 1 - P$

$X$  = Total score of the respondent for all items.

$Y$  = Response of the individual for the items i.e. (Correct = 1; Incorrect = 0)

$XY$  = Total score of the respondent multiplied by the response of the individual to the item. i.e. (Correct = 1; Incorrect = 0)

Items having significant point biserial correlation either at 1 % (or) 5 % level was selected for the final test of the knowledge.

#### **2.1.6. Representativeness of the Test**

It is attended that the test items selected finally covered the knowledge about the banana production technology

#### **2.1.7. Total elected items**

Out of 32 items, 25 items were finally selected based on

- Items with difficulty level indices ranging from 0.50-0.95.
- Items with discrimination indices ranging from 0.5-to 1.00.
- Items having significant point biserial

Thus, the finally selected knowledge test items comprised of 3 types of questions viz true/false, multiple-choice direct questions totaling 25 items to measure the knowledge on banana production technology.

The selected items with  $P$ ,  $E1/3$ , and  $R_{pbis}$  values are appended (table 1).

#### **2.1.8. Test-Retest Reliability**

The test was administered to 48 respondents separately with an interval of 15 days. The two sets of knowledge scores obtained by the farmers were correlated. The correlation coefficient ( $r=0.72$ ) was highly significant indicating a high degree of dependability of the instrument for measuring the knowledge of banana growers.

#### **2.1.9. Validity**

Point biserial correlation was used to test the validity of the test items ( $r_{pbis}$ ). The items that have highly significant correlation coefficients either at 1 % or at 5 % level indicated the validity

of the items of the knowledge test designed to measure the knowledge about banana production technology of banana growers.

#### **2.1.10. Content Validity**

The content validity of the knowledge test was obtained from a long list of test items representing the whole universe of banana production technology collected from various sources. It was assumed that the score obtained by administering the knowledge test of this study measures what was intended to measure.

Thus the knowledge test developed in the present study measures the knowledge of farmers about banana production technology it showed a greater degree of reliability and validity.

#### **2.1.11. Scoring Pattern**

The selected knowledge test items were arranged under different types as Correct/incorrect, multiple-choice, and fill-up the blanks. The correct response to each test item was given a score of 'one' and the incorrect response a score of 'zero'. The knowledge score of a respondent is the summation of scores of correctly answered items out of total test items. The possible knowledge score ranged from 0 to 48.

### **2.2. Results and Discussion Administration of the test**

The final knowledge test comprising 25 items was administered. The responses in the form of correct or incorrect answers were listed. The correct answer was assigned 'one' and the incorrect with 'zero'.

#### **2.2.1. Categorization**

The obtained knowledge score of respondents were grouped into 3 categories by using the class interval technique. The class intervals were calculated based on the maximum and minimum obtained score

**Table 1: Respondents in four extreme group**

| S.NO. | Frequencies of the correct answer of respondents in four extreme groups |    |    |    | Difficulty Index | Discrimination index | Rpbis |
|-------|---|----|----|----|------------------|----------------------|-------|
|       | G1  | G2 | G5 | G6 |                  |                      |       |
| 1*    | 8   | 8  | 7  | 8  | 97               | 1.00                 | 0.78  |
| 2*    | 8   | 7  | 7  | 8  | 95               | 1.00                 | 0.21  |
| 3*    | 7   | 7  | 8  | 8  | 95               | 0.87                 | 0.21  |
| 4*    | 7   | 6  | 8  | 8  | 93               | 0.81                 | 0.35  |
| 5*    | 6   | 8  | 5  | 8  | 89               | 1.00                 | 0.73  |
| 6*    | 7   | 8  | 5  | 6  | 87               | 1.00                 | 0.89  |
| 7*    | 8   | 8  | 6  | 4  | 87               | 0.87                 | 0.89  |
| 8*    | 8   | 7  | 6  | 5  | 87               | 0.87                 | 0.89  |
| 9*    | 7   | 7  | 6  | 6  | 87               | 0.87                 | 0.89  |
| 10*   | 5   | 7  | 8  | 6  | 87               | 0.87                 | 0.89  |
| 11*   | 7   | 5  | 6  | 7  | 85               | 0.81                 | 0.40  |
| 12*   | 8   | 6  | 7  | 4  | 85               | 0.68                 | 0.14  |
| 13*   | 7   | 5  | 7  | 6  | 85               | 0.68                 | 0.14  |
| 14*   | 8   | 6  | 4  | 7  | 85               | 0.68                 | 0.40  |

|     |   |   |   |   |    |      |      |
|-----|---|---|---|---|----|------|------|
| 15* | 8 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 83 | 1.00 | 0.16 |
| 16* | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 79 | 0.75 | 0.14 |
| 17* | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 66 | 0.50 | 0.13 |
| 18* | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 66 | 0.50 | 0.13 |
| 19* | 7 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 66 | 0.50 | 0.13 |
| 20* | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 66 | 0.50 | 0.13 |
| 21* | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 66 | 0.50 | 0.13 |
| 22* | 5 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 62 | 0.62 | 0.24 |
| 23* | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 62 | 0.62 | 0.24 |
| 24* | 4 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 62 | 0.62 | 0.24 |
| 25* | 5 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 62 | 0.62 | 0.24 |
| 26  | 5 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 60 | 0.43 | NS   |
| 27  | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 58 | 0.37 | NS   |
| 28  | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 58 | 0.37 | NS   |
| 29  | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 54 | 0.50 | NS   |
| 30  | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 52 | 0.18 | NS   |
| 31  | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 50 | 0.25 | NS   |
| 32  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 43 | 0.18 | NS   |

\* Selected items

NS: Non-Significant

NC- Rpbis is not calculated for items difficulty index of more than 80 and less than 20 and items Discrimination index of more than 0.8 and less than 0.2

Table 2 represents the final knowledge items selected for assessing the knowledge level of farmers on banana production technology. The items were selected finally using standard procedures. Out of 32 items 25 items were selected to measure the knowledge of farmers about banana production technology.

**Table 2: Knowledge Items Identified for banana production technology with knowledge score of respondents**

| S.N. | Statements   | Response |           | Mean | Per. (%) | Rank |
|------|--|----------|-----------|------|----------|------|
|      |  | Known    | Not known |      |          |      |
| 1    | Which soil is most preferred for banana cultivation with a pH between 6-7.5<br>(A).Deep (B.) rich loamy Salty(C). Clay loam soil (D)Red soil | 245      | 51        | 0.82 | 82       | XV   |
| 2    | You know the recommended irrigation method and which method do you follow<br>A-Drip irrigation B- Check basin C. Furrow irrigation           | 275      | 21        | 0.92 | 92       | VII  |
| 3    | Normally a pit size should be 1.6m×1.6m is required  | 264      | 32        | 0.89 | 89       | IX   |
| 4    | In the pit method of planting the size of pit should   | 247      | 49        | 0.83 | 83       | XIV  |

|           |   |            |            |             |           |             |
|-----------|---|------------|------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
|           | be 60×60×60cm   |            |            |             |           |             |
| <b>5</b>  | 1.8×1.8 m spacing is mostly adopted in the square system of planting  | <b>255</b> | <b>41</b>  | <b>0.86</b> | <b>86</b> | <b>XII</b>  |
| <b>6</b>  | Distance between the two lines should be 0.90 to 1.20m and plant-to-plant distance is 1.2 to 1.2m   | <b>165</b> | <b>131</b> | <b>0.55</b> | <b>55</b> | <b>XVII</b> |
| <b>7</b>  | In banana, cropping can be done with a suitable material like bamboo or wooden poles  | <b>280</b> | <b>16</b>  | <b>0.94</b> | <b>94</b> | <b>V</b>    |
| <b>8</b>  | The pits are to be refilled with topsoil mixed with 10kg of FYM (well decomposed) 250 gm. of neem cake and 20 gm. of carbofuran   | <b>256</b> | <b>40</b>  | <b>0.86</b> | <b>86</b> | <b>XII</b>  |
| <b>9</b>  | Do you know the recommended banana commercial varieties for your area and have you adopted them<br>Robusta, Grand Naine(G9), Dwarf Cavendish, Poovan<br>Nendran   | <b>285</b> | <b>11</b>  | <b>0.96</b> | <b>96</b> | <b>III</b>  |
| <b>10</b> | Tissue cultural plants are healthy, pest and disease-free uniform, and shorter harvesting period  | <b>282</b> | <b>14</b>  | <b>0.95</b> | <b>95</b> | <b>IV</b>   |
| <b>11</b> | fertilizer management is necessary for banana production and do you have knowledge about it<br>N-200 gram/plant<br>P-100 gram/plant<br>K-300 gram/plant   | <b>259</b> | <b>37</b>  | <b>0.86</b> | <b>86</b> | <b>XII</b>  |
| <b>12</b> | Do you know about the major pests/insects of the banana crop and their control measure?<br>A) Pseudo stem weevils - Furadon/Thimet/1Kg neem cake/celphos tablets (3/plant)<br>B) Aphids – Nuvacron/metasistox/Demacron/Malation (0.05%)<br>C) Nematode- Furadon / Thimate/ 1 kg neem cake   | <b>245</b> | <b>51</b>  | <b>0.82</b> | <b>82</b> | <b>XV</b>   |
| <b>13</b> | Do you know the banana bunchy top virus is transmitted through Aphids   | <b>262</b> | <b>34</b>  | <b>0.88</b> | <b>88</b> | <b>X</b>    |
| <b>14</b> | Do you know about having the major diseases of bananas and their control measures<br>A)-Bunchy top-Metasistox/nuvacron/democron in 1 lit of water monocrotophos (0.1%)<br>B)-Panama wilt-Application of quick lime to base of the plant, dipping suckers with bavistin (0.2%) for 30 min.<br>C)-Virus streak disease-Inter cropping with cucumber plants irradiation of infected plants | <b>276</b> | <b>20</b>  | <b>0.93</b> | <b>93</b> | <b>VI</b>   |
| <b>15</b> | Banana intercropping can be profitable  | <b>249</b> | <b>47</b>  | <b>0.84</b> | <b>84</b> | <b>XIII</b> |
| <b>16</b> | Mulching is desirable after planting  | <b>284</b> | <b>12</b>  | <b>0.95</b> | <b>95</b> | <b>IV</b>   |
| <b>17</b> | Seedlessness of banana is due to vegetative   | <b>267</b> | <b>29</b>  | <b>0.90</b> | <b>90</b> | <b>VIII</b> |

|    |   |     |    |      |    |     |
|----|---|-----|----|------|----|-----|
|    | parthenocarpy   |     |    |      |    |     |
| 18 | Earthling up of the soil is required to be carried out two times annually   | 278 | 18 | 0.93 | 93 | VI  |
| 19 | In banana crop, drip irrigation improves water efficiency by saving 56% of water  | 268 | 28 | 0.90 | 90 | VII |
| 20 | Do you know about the ideal temperature range for the banana crop is around 26-30C <sup>0</sup>   | 287 | 9  | 0.96 | 96 | III |
| 21 | Banana crop ready for harvesting after 11-12 months   | 290 | 6  | 0.97 | 97 | II  |
| 22 | Threshing is done by removing dried leaves  | 242 | 54 | 0.81 | 81 | XVI |
| 23 | Do you know about the post-harvesting practices of the banana crop<br>A) Precooling after harvest<br>B) Cleaning or Disinfecting<br>C) Sorting and grading<br>D) Packaging<br>(E) Storage | 269 | 27 | 0.90 | 90 | VII |
| 24 | Deflowering in a banana is generally practiced because it helps in conserving energy into growing a bigger bunch and no longer stalk  | 258 | 38 | 0.87 | 87 | XI  |
| 25 | Do you have knowledge about the value-added products of banana<br>Banana chips, Puri, wine , Sauce , Vinegar  | 292 | 4  | 0.98 | 98 | I   |

**Table-3. Overall knowledge about banana production technology of farmers**

| S.No. | Knowledge | Frequency | Percentage |
|-------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| 1.    | Low       | 75        | 25.33      |
| 2.    | Medium    | 106       | 35.82      |
| 3.    | High      | 115       | 38.85      |
|       | Total     | 296       | 100        |

It is found in table 3, that 38.85 % of respondents had high-level knowledge and 35.82 % had medium-level knowledge whereas 25.33 % of the respondent had high-level knowledge .it is observed that banana growers have adequate knowledge about banana production technology.

#### **Conclusion:**

A high level of the knowledge base has been realized the reason behind that is farmers have more experience in banana cultivation technology so if we can supplement experience knowledge with scientific evidence, the knowledge level is more in operationalized area of study.

#### **Consent**

As per international standard or university standard, respondents' written consent has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

## References:

1. Mir Rufaida et al. (2018). Development of a Test for Measuring the Knowledge Level of Farmers in Paddy Cultivation, *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* 6 (4): 29-35 (2018) ISSN: 2320 – 7051
2. Archana1 et al. (2017).Construction of Knowledge Test to Measure the Knowledge of Watershed Farmers towards Natural Resource Management Practices, *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci* (2017) 6(9): 81-89
3. Sahoo et al. (2019). Scale Construction to Measure the Attitude of Farmers towards IARI-Post Office Linkage Extension Model, *Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology*, 37(4): 1-13, 2019; Article no.AJAEES.53794 ISSN: 2320-7027.
4. Srinivas et al. (2014). Construction of Knowledge Test to Measure the Knowledge of Agriculture Officers on IPM, INM and IWM Practices, Volume: 3 | Issue: 1 | Jan 2014 • ISSN No 2277 – 8160
5. Divakar, S., Prema, L., Ajith Kumar C.E.,Health and nutritional knowledge assessment scale for workers in cashewindustry. *J Hu, Ecol*, 37(3): 185-188(2012).
6. Dey and Sarkar (2011). Revisiting indigenous farming knowledge of Jharkhand (India) for conservation of natural resources and combating climate change. Traditional knowledge in disaster prediction/forecasting, management and climate change.) *Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge*. 10(1):71-79.
7. Berjesh, A and Ajay, K. (2009). Knowledge of farmers about soil and rainwater conservation Technology and its determinants. *Agriculture Science Digest*. 29(4): 283-286
8. Farmers' Group Dynamics. *Indian Res. J. Ext. Edu.* Vol.10 (3):119-122, September, 2010.
9. Kerlinger, F. N. (2004). *Foundations of Behavioral research*. 2nd ed. Surjeet Publications, Delhi.
10. Roy G.L. and Mondal, S. (20). *Research methods in social sciences and extension education*.2nd
11. Edwards AL. *Techniques of attitude scale construction*. Vakils and Simon Pvt. Ltd., Bombay; 1969.
12. S.V.Prasad and G.V.Narayana Reddy (2010). Standard test to measure knowledge of farmers about production
13. Yadav, D.S., Singh, U., Kumar, A., Development of a test for measuring the knowledge level of omen farmers in vegetable cultivation. *J Hum Ecol*, 41(2):113-117 (2013).
14. Kaloo Mysir Jeelani & Prof. TapanChoure., Present Status and Future Prospectus of Agriculture in Jammu and Kashmir. *IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science (IOSR-JHSS)* PP 62 :( 2015)
15. Burman RR, Sarkar S, Sangeetha V, Dubey SK, Sharma JP, Singh I, Gupta HS. Critical analysis of IARI-Post office linkage extension model: An innovative extension approach to reach the unreached. *Indian Research Journal of Extension Education*. 2016; 15(1):12-19.
16. Ray GL. *Extension Communication and Management (Rev.)*, Kalyani Publisher. New Delhi, India; 2013



16. Likert R. A technique for the measurement of attitudes. Archives of psychology; 1932.
17. Sontakki BS, Samanta RK, Joshi PK. Redesigning agricultural extension in India: Challenges and opportunities, summary proceedings and recommendations of NAARM-IFPRI workshop held during 20- 21 August 2010. National Academy of Agricultural Research Management, Hyderabad; 2010.
18. Pal S. Agricultural Rand policy and institutional reforms: Learning form the Sahoo et al.; AJAEES, 37(4): 1-13, 2019; Article no.AJAEES.53794 12 experiences of India and China, Economic and Political Weekly. 2008;145-155