Original Research Article

LIVESTOCK HOLDING AND TIME SPENT BY FARM WOMEN IN DAIRYING ACTIVITIES IN ANDHRA PRADESH

ABSTRACT

A study was conducted to investigate the involvement of women in dairying activities in Krishna district of Andhra Pradesh. The study involved a total of 225 respondents covering 75 villages. The result revealed that small farm women possessed significantly ($P \le 0.05$) more graded Murrah buffaloes (3.68 \pm 0.28), whereas women under medium farm category possessed significantly ($P \le 0.05$) more number of local cows (0.28 \pm 0.07), Murrah buffaloes (0.45 \pm 0.21) as well as more ($P \le 0.01$) buffalo heifers (1.22 \pm 0.14). The milk production (litres per day) (13.25 \pm 0.80), consumption (1.48 \pm 0.06) and milk consumption by women (0.16 \pm 0.07) was observed to be high in medium women dairy farmers in the study area. Milk sale per day was observed to be significantly ($P \le 0.05$) high in small farmers (14.20 \pm 1.90). Small farm women (587.00 \pm 81.70) obtained more income (rupees/day) through dairying followed by medium (516.34 \pm 54.35) and landless (385.66 \pm 32.39) farm women. It was observed that women are spending more time on dairy activities in the study area compared to men. The time spent was more on feeding activities.

Key words: farm women, livestock holding, milk production, income, dairying, time spent

INTRODUCTION

Indian economy is primarily agrarian with 68% of its population residing in rural areas and depending directly or indirectly on agriculture for their livelihood. Dairying as an occupation is subsidiary to agriculture and is an important source of livelihood for small

and marginal farmers and landless laborers. Livestock in general and dairying in particular play a vital role in the Indian economy and has the highest potential of generating the income and employment through augmenting productivity of milch animals (Mishra *et al.* 2017). Further besides being a source of income for rural households and rural women, it also ensures nutritional security of the family addressing issues like malnutrition. Rural women often manage complex households and pursue multiple livelihood strategies.

Despite the fact women in India do most of the work in animal husbandry yet their contribution has largely been ignored and inadequately acknowledged (Krishna *et al.* 2020). Hence there is a need to document their contribution to dairying. The present study focused on the livestock holding, milk production, gross income of farm women through dairying and time spent by women on different dairy farm activities in Krishna district of Andhra Pradesh.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

Krishna district of Andhra Pradesh has five animal husbandry divisions. Three mandals were selected from each animal husbandry division and 5 villages from each mandal were selected randomly. Three women dairy farmers were selected from each village based on their land holding capacity (landless-0 acres, small farmer- up to 5 acres, medium farmer - 5-10 acres) resulting in a total of 225 respondents. The data was collected from the women dairy farmers on livestock holding, milk production, consumption, sale of milk, gross income through dairying, and time spent by women on different dairy farm activities. The data was analyzed following the statistical methods according to Snedecor and Cochran (1994). The information obtained was analyzed and interpreted.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the present study shown in Table 1 revealed that the mean livestock holding is more in small women farmers. The farmers in the study area had significantly

(P≤0.01) more graded Murrah buffaloes (3.23±0.13) compared to other livestock. Small farmers possessed significantly (P≤0.05) more graded Murrah buffaloes (3.68±0.28) and more (P≤0.01) buffalo heifers (1.25±0.06), whereas medium farmers possessed significantly (P≤0.05) more number of local cows (0.28±0.07) and Murrah buffaloes (0.45±0.21). The results revealed that small farm women considered dairying as the major subsidiary occupation to agricultural farming. They are also maintaining more graded Murrah buffaloes compared to local animals indicating they are aware of the production potential of superior germplasm. Ongole cattle breed is native of Andhra Pradesh (Vinoo et al. 2005, Dharma Rao et al. 2021). The major breeding tract and patronage of Ongole cattle includes Ongole, Krishna, Nellore, Godavari and Guntur districts of Andhra Pradesh state (Reddy et al.2021). The medium farm women had more passion in maintaining local breed of Ongole cows as well as purchasing pure Murrah buffaloes from their native tract. The findings of the study were similar to Bhati and Upadhyay (2017) who reported that most of the dairy women in Rajasthan reared local breed of cows (Rathi) and buffaloes (Murrah).

The milk production (lt/day) was reported as 10.75, 15.33 and 13.25 in landless, small and medium category, respectively in the present study, where as Sharma *et al*. (2020) reported lower values of 4.27, 5.87 and 7.54 in landless, small and medium category of farmers, respectively in Punjab. It was observed that overall milk production (litres) (13.25±0.80) and consumption (1.48±0.06) was high in medium women dairy farmers (Table 2). Similarly Aparna *et al*. (2016) reported that most of the farm women in Karnataka produced 10-20 litres of milk per day where as Bhati and Upadhyay (2017) reported a higher value of 25-30 litres per day in Rajasthan. The overall average milk consumption (lt/day) was observed to be 1.31 in the present study which was similar to the average value of 1.78 reported by More *et al*.(2020) in Latur district of Maharashtra. In

the present study the milk consumption by women per day (0.16 ± 0.07) was observed to be more in medium farm women. The study revealed that the milk consumption by women was low compared to other family members as well as recommended standards. Hence there is a need to educate the women to improve their milk consumption to combat malnutrition. The overall average milk sale (lt/day) was observed to be 12.19 in the present study which was similar to the average value of 10.59 reported by More *et al.* (2020) in Latur district of Maharashtra. Milk sale per day was observed to be significantly ($P\le0.05$) high in small farm women (14.20 ± 1.90), followed by medium (12.74 ± 1.13) and landless (9.65 ± 0.81) category. As the small farmers are selling more milk they obtained more income through dairying. The overall, average sale price of milk per litre was observed to be 40.78 ± 0.36 rupees in the study area. The value was higher in landless (41.20 ± 0.69) compared to small and medium farmer category.

The results from the Table 3 revealed that small farmers obtained high (P<0.05) income (620.03±83.88 Rs/day) through dairying, followed by medium (588.75±82.68 Rs/day) farmers and landless (409.15±37.82 Rs/day) in the study area. This might be because small farmers maintained more number of dairy animals, thus attained more income. The farmers of the present study were getting more income through sale of milk than that reported by Bhati and Upadhyay (2017) in Rajasthan. The Overall gross income through sale of manure per day was observed to be 7.77 ± 0.49 rupees in the study area. The gross income through sale of manure per day was observed to be 6.92 ± 0.54 , 8.29 ± 0.91 and 8.08 ± 1.03 rupees in landless, small and medium farmers, respectively. The Overall gross income through sale of animals per day was observed to be 10.64 ± 1.24 rupees. Medium farmers (15.29 ± 3.03) are getting more ($P\le0.01$) income followed by landless (9.80 ± 1.47) and small (6.82 ± 1.50) farmers through sale of animals. The Overall gross income through dairying per day in the study area is 539.31 ± 41.51 rupees where as

Sivaji *et al.* (2018) reported a lower value of 206.12 in Guntur and Prakasam districts of Andhra Pradesh The gross income per day was observed to be 409.15±37.82, 620.03±83.88 and 588.75±82.68 rupees in landless, small and medium farmers, respectively.

The study revealed that small farm women category are spending significantly ($P \le 0.01$) more time (hrs/day) in breeding activities (1.66 ± 0.10), housing management (0.86 ± 0.07), milking management (0.78 ± 0.04), processing and marketing management of milk (0.78 ± 0.04) compared to landless and medium category, whereas landless farm women are spending significantly ($P \le 0.01$) more time in feeding activities (2.86 ± 0.21), manure management (0.21 ± 0.01), health care management (0.97 ± 0.07) and more ($P \le 0.05$) time in management of economic aspects (0.92 ± 0.03) when compared to small and medium farmers (Table 4). Whereas men from medium farmer category are spending more time (hrs/day) on majority of the dairy activities compared to landless and small category (Table 5). The time spent was significantly (P < 0.01) more in breeding management (1.00 ± 0.07), housing management (0.58 ± 0.06) and calf management (0.16 ± 0.02).

Table 6 revealed that women were spending more time (hrs/day) on dairy animal breeding (1.19 \pm 0.06), housing (0.70 \pm 0.03), calf rearing (0.31 \pm 0.01), milking management (0.67 \pm 0.02), and milk processing and marketing of milk (0.67 \pm 0.02) than men. Similarly Punam and Indu (2009) reported that women in Haryana were spending more time in milking and milk management. Men were spending more time on feeding (2.76 \pm 0.14), manure (0.26 \pm 0.00), health care management (1.02 \pm 0.04) and economic activities (0.86 \pm 0.02) of dairy farm than women in the study area. In contrast Punam and Indu (2009) reported that women were spending more time in feeding and manure management. Overall, it was observed that women are spending more time on dairy

activities in the study area. The above findings are similar to the reports of Yadav *et al.*(2018), in which time spent (man hours per annum) by women and men was observed to be 1530 and 878, respectively. The time spent on feeding activities was more compared to any other activity. Similar trend was observed in all the categories of women and men in the study area.

CONCLUSION

Small farmers possessed significantly (P \leq 0.05) more graded Murrah buffaloes (3.68 \pm 0.28), whereas medium farmers possessed significantly (P \leq 0.05) more number of local cows (0.28 \pm 0.07), Murrah buffaloes (0.45 \pm 0.21) as well as more (P \leq 0.01) buffalo heifers (1.22 \pm 0.14).The milk production (13.25 \pm 0.80), consumption (1.48 \pm 0.06) and milk consumption by women per day (0.16 \pm 0.07) was observed to be high in medium women dairy farmers in the study area. Milk sale per day was observed to be significantly (P \leq 0.05) high in small farmers (14.20 \pm 1.90).Small farmers obtained high income (620.03 \pm 83.88 Rs/day) through dairying, followed by medium (588.75 \pm 82.68 Rs/day) and landless (409.15 \pm 37.82 Rs/day) farmers in the study area. It was observed that women are spending more time on dairy activities in the study area compared to men. The time spent was more on feeding activities.

REFERENCES

- Aparna R, Jancy Gupta, Dileep Kumar R and Sreeram V. Participation of farm women in anaimal husbandry in Shimoga, Karnataka, International Journal of Current Research. 2016; 8: 33864-33869.
- Bhati N K and Upadhyay R. Socio economic profile of women dairy farmers of Rajasthan. Annals of agricultural Research New series. 2017; 38:468-471.
- Dharma Rao M V, Seshaiah Ch V, Jagadeeswara Rao S, Vinoo R, Srinivas Kumar D. Relationship between Morphometric and Milk Production Characters in Ongole Cattle. Indian journal of Animal Research. 2021; 55: 722-726.
- Punam K Y and Indu G. Participation and time spent in dairying activities by members of dairy cooperatives. Asian Journal of Home science. 2009; 4:317-321.
- Krishna N L, Anitha A, Rao S J, Muralidhar M. Participation of farm women in dairy management practices in Krishna district of Andhra Pradesh. Asian journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology. 2020; 38: 206-214.
- Mishra S, Kunwar N and Tripathi S. Involvement of women in dairy enterprise and used modern technologies and training needs in dairy farming. International Journal of Home Science. 2017; 3: 234-237.
- More N M, Thombre B M and Shinde A T. Socio economic status and constraints in feeding and management practices of dairy animals in Latur District of Maharastra. Journal of Entomology and Zoology studies. 2020; 8: 494-498.
- Reddy P P, Hiremath S and Sudhakar K. Genetic analysis of production and reproduction traits of Ongole cattle in an organized farms of Andhra Pradesh. Indian Journal of Animal Research. 2021; 1-5.
- Sharma M, Singh T and Singh G. Farming practices followed by dairy farmers in district shaheed bhagat singh nagar of Punjab. Journal of Krishi Vigyan. 2020; 8:133-137.
- Sivaji D V, Natchimuthu K, Ramkumar S, Sreekumar D and Ganesan. Socio economic profile of Buffalo farmers in Guntur and Prakasam districts of Andhra Pradesh.International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied sciences. 2018; 7: 1950-1955.
- Snedecor G M and Cochran W G. Statistical methods, 8th Ed. IOWA State University

Press, Ames, IOWA; 1994.

Vinoo, R., Rao, G.N., Gupta, B.R., Rao, K.B. Genetic study on productive and reproductive traits of Ongole cattle. Indian Journal of Animal Sciences. 2005; 75: 438-441.

Yadav J N, Singh R A, Harender Yadav, Yadav V P S and Subhash Chandra. Indian research of extension education. 2018; 18:44-48.



Table. 1 Livestock holding of women dairy farmers in Krishna district

Particular				Std.	<mark>F ratio</mark>
S			<mark>Mean±</mark>	Deviatio	1 Tatio
		N	Std. Error	n	
MURRAH	LANDLESS	<mark>75</mark>	0.05 ± 0.03^{b}	0.32	<mark>3.49*</mark>
	SMALL	<mark>75</mark>	0.02 ± 0.02^{c}	0.23	
	MEDIU	<mark>75</mark>	0.45±0.21 ^a	1.87	
	M				
	Total	<mark>22</mark> 5	0.17 ± 0.07	1.11	
		5			
GRADED MURRAH	LANDLESS	<mark>75</mark>	2.85 ± 0.17^{b}	1.48	3.05*
	SMALL	<mark>75</mark>	3.68 ± 0.28^{a}	2.48)
	MEDIU	<mark>75</mark>	3.17 ± 0.24^{a}	<mark>2.10</mark>	
	M ———		b		
	Total	<mark>22</mark>	3.23±0.13	2.08	
		5		<u> </u>	
BUFFALO HEIFERS	LANDLESS	<mark>75</mark>	0.72 ± 0.10^{b}	0.90	4.60*
	SMALL	<mark>75</mark>	1.25±0.16 ^a	1.42	*
	MEDIU M	<mark>75</mark>	1.22±0.14 ^a	1.24	
	Total	22 5	1.06±0.08	1.23	
YOUNG ONES	LANDLESS	<mark>75</mark>	1.60±0.11	<mark>1.01</mark>	<mark>0.74</mark>
	SMALL	<mark>75</mark>	1.89±0.21	<mark>1.88</mark>	
	MEDIU M	<mark>75</mark>	1.70±0.16	<mark>1.44</mark>	
	Total	22 5	1.73±0.09	1.49	
BULLOCK	LANDLESS	75	0.00±0.00	0.00	0.93
BULLUCIA	SMALL	75 75	0.06±0.05	0.47	0.7 <u>3</u>
	MEDIU	75 75	0.09±0.06	0.57	
	M	75	0.0720.00	0.57	
	Total	22 5	0.05±0.02	0.42	
CB COWS	LANDLESS	75	0.17±0.08	0.70	0.24
	SMALL	75	0.28 ± 0.14	1.23	
	MEDIUM	<mark>75</mark>	0.25±0.10	0.91	
	Total	22 5	0.23±0.06	0.97	
LOCAL COWS	LANDLESS	75	0.12±0.05 ^a	0.43	3.95*
	SMALL	<mark>75</mark>	0.08 ± 0.03^{b}	0.27	

	MEDIUM	<mark>75</mark>	0.28 ± 0.07^{a}	<mark>0.62</mark>	
	Total	<mark>22</mark>	0.16 ± 0.03	0.47	
		<mark>5</mark>			
HEIFERS	LANDLESS	<mark>75</mark>	0.00 ± 0.00	<mark>0.00</mark>	<mark>1.53</mark>
	SMALL	<mark>75</mark>	0.05 ± 0.03	<mark>0.27</mark>	
	MEDIUM	<mark>75</mark>	0.02 ± 0.01	<mark>0.16</mark>	
	Total	<mark>22</mark>	0.02 ± 0.01	<mark>0.18</mark>	
		<mark>5</mark>			
YOUNG ONES	LANDLESS	<mark>75</mark>	0.22±0.06	0.58	<mark>0.06</mark>
	SMALL	<mark>75</mark>	0.24 ± 0.09	0.80	
	MEDIUM	<mark>75</mark>	0.26 ± 0.07	<mark>0.68</mark>	
	Total Total	<mark>22</mark>	0.24±0.04	<mark>0.69</mark>	
		<mark>5</mark>)

N= No. of women dairy farmer; * Significant at (p≤0.05); ** Significant at (p≤0.01)

Table 2: Milk production, consumption and sale (litres per day) in Krishna district

				Std.
Particulars		N	Mean	Deviation
Milk	LANDLESS	<mark>75</mark>	10.75±0.82	7.18
production	SMALL	<mark>75</mark>	15.33±1.92	<mark>16.71</mark>
	MEDIUM	<mark>75</mark>	13.25±1.14	<mark>9.92</mark>
	<mark>Total</mark>	<mark>225</mark>	13.11±0.80	<mark>12.05</mark>
<mark>Milk</mark>	LANDLESS	<mark>75</mark>	1.13 ± 0.05^{b}	<mark>0.44</mark>
consumption	SMALL	<mark>75</mark>	1.31 ± 0.06^{ab}	<mark>0.55</mark>
	MEDIUM	<mark>75</mark>	1.48 ± 0.06^{a}	0.53
	<mark>Total</mark>	<mark>225</mark>	1.31±0.03	0.52
<mark>Milk</mark>	LANDLESS	<mark>75</mark>	0.04±0.01	<mark>0.09</mark>
consumption	SMALL	<mark>75</mark>	0.08±0.02	<mark>0.24</mark>
by women	MEDIUM	<mark>75</mark>	0.16±0.07	<mark>0.69</mark>
	<mark>Total</mark>	<mark>225</mark>	0.10±0.02	<mark>0.42</mark>
Milk sale	LANDLESS	<mark>75</mark>	9.65±0.81°	<mark>7.02</mark> >
	SMALL SMALL	<mark>75</mark>	14.20±1.90 ^a	16.52
	MEDIUM	<mark>75</mark>	12.74±1.13 ^b	<mark>9.80</mark>
	<mark>Total</mark>	<mark>225</mark>	12.19±0.79	<mark>11.91</mark>
Sale price of	LANDLESS	<mark>75</mark>	41.20±0.69	<mark>6.05</mark>
<mark>milk per litre</mark>	SMALL	<mark>75</mark>	40.58±0.59	<mark>5.15</mark>
in rupees	MEDIUM	<mark>75</mark>	40.57±0.62	<mark>5.42</mark>
	Total Total	<mark>225</mark>	40.78±0.36	<mark>5.54</mark>

Means with different superscripts in a row differ significantly (P<0.01)

Table 3. Gross income through dairying in Krishna district

Particulars		N	<mark>Mean</mark>	Std. Deviation	F ratio
Total income	LANDLESS	75	409.15±37.82	327.54	2.53
through	SMALL	75 75	620.03±83.88	726.45	2.55
dairying/day in	MEDIUM	75	588.75±82.68	716.05	
rupees	Total	225	539.31±41.51	622.77	
Income through	LANDLESS	<mark>75</mark>	385.66±32.39 ^b	280.56	2.93*
sale of milk/day	SMALL	<mark>75</mark>	587.00±81.70 ^a	<mark>707.56</mark>	
in rupees	MEDIUM	<mark>75</mark>	516.34±54.35 ^{ab}	<mark>470.76</mark>	
	Total	<mark>225</mark>	496.33±34.74	<mark>521.15</mark>	
Income through	LANDLESS	<mark>75</mark>	6.92±0.54	<mark>4.72</mark>	<mark>0.73</mark>
sale of	SMALL	<mark>75</mark>	8.29±0.91	<mark>7.94</mark>	
<mark>manure/day in</mark>	MEDIUM	<mark>75</mark>	8.08±1.03	<mark>9.00</mark>	
rupees	Total	<mark>225</mark>	7.77±0.49	<mark>7.43</mark>	
Income through	LANDLESS	<mark>75</mark>	9.80±1.47 ^{ab}	<mark>12.79</mark>	4.06**
sale of	SMALL	<mark>75</mark>	6.82±1.50 ^b	<mark>12.99</mark>	
animals/day in	MEDIUM	<mark>75</mark>	15.29±3.03 ^a	<mark>26.26</mark>	
rupees	Total	<mark>225</mark>	10.64±1.24	<mark>18.71</mark>	

Means with different superscripts in a row differ significantly

^{*} Significant at (p≤0.05); ** Significant at (p≤0.01)

Table 4: Time spent(hrs/day) by women on different dairy activities in Krishna district (Mean±S.E)

district (Me	an±5.E)				
		N	M GF	Std.	F ratio
			Mean±S.E	Deviation	
Time spent by women	<u>LANDLESS</u>	<mark>75</mark>	$0.78 \pm 0.47^{\circ}$	<mark>56.49</mark>	16.55**
in breeding activities	SMALL SMALL	<mark>75</mark>	1.66 ± 0.10^{a}	<mark>57.11</mark>	
	MEDIUM	<mark>75</mark>	1.14 ± 0.14^{b}	<mark>76.38</mark>	
Time spent by women	LANDLESS	<mark>75</mark>	2.86 ± 0.21^{a}	<mark>109.28</mark>	<mark>6.83**</mark>
in feeding activities	SMALL	<mark>75</mark>	2.78 ± 0.21^{b}	112.06	
	MEDIUM	<mark>75</mark>	1.88±0.19°	103.53	
Time spent by women	LANDLESS	<mark>75</mark>	0.78 ± 0.04^{b}	24.89	12.79**
in housing	SMALL	<mark>75</mark>	0.86 ± 0.07^{a}	36.38	
management	MEDIUM	<mark>75</mark>	0.47 ± 0.05^{c}	26.99	
Time spent by women	LANDLESS	<mark>75</mark>	0.21±0.01 ^a	8.30	9.97**
in manure management	SMALL	<mark>75</mark>	0.18 ± 0.01^{b}	<mark>6.90</mark>	
	MEDIUM	<mark>75</mark>	0.12±0.01°	<mark>7.41</mark>	
Time spent by women	LANDLESS	<mark>75</mark>	0.34 ± 0.01^{b}	<mark>8.92</mark>	7.65**
in calf management	SMALL	75	0.34 ± 0.01^{a}	<mark>9.84</mark>	
	MEDIUM	<mark>75</mark>	0.24 ± 0.02^{a}	12.88	
Time spent by women	LANDLESS	<mark>75</mark>	0.72±0.03 ^b	<mark>16.05</mark>	13.07**
in milking management	SMALL	<mark>75</mark>	0.78 ± 0.04^{a}	<mark>25.71</mark>	
	MEDIUM	<mark>75</mark>	$0.53\pm0.02^{\circ}$	12.09	
Time spent by women	LANDLESS	<mark>75</mark>	0.97±0.07 ^a	<mark>38.44</mark>	<mark>2.36**</mark>
in health care	SMALL	<mark>75</mark>	0.81 ± 0.05^{b}	<mark>29.01</mark>	
management	MEDIUM	▶ <mark>75</mark>	$049\pm005^{\circ}$	<mark>26.16</mark>	
Time spent by women	LANDLESS	<mark>75</mark>	0.72 ± 0.03^{b}	<mark>16.05</mark>	13.65**
in processing and	SMALL	<mark>75</mark>	0.78 ± 0.04^{a}	25.71	
marketing of milk	MEDIUM	<mark>75</mark>	$0.52\pm0.02^{\circ}$	12.09	
Time spent by women	LANDLESS	<mark>75</mark>	0.52±0.05 ^b	28.68	<mark>2.93*</mark>
in economic aspects of	SMALL	<mark>75</mark>	0.64 ± 0.14^{a}	73.53	
dairy farming	MARGINAL	<mark>75</mark>	0.32±0.05°	28.59	

Means with different superscripts in a row differ significantly

^{*} Significant at (p \leq 0.05); ** Significant at (p \leq 0.01)

Table 5: Time spent (hrs/day) by men on different dairy activities

				Std.	F ratio
		N	Mean±S.E	Deviation	
Time spent by	LANDLESS	<mark>75</mark>	$0.32\pm0.04^{\circ}$	<mark>77.66479</mark>	25.11**
men in breeding	SMALL	<mark>75</mark>	0.84 ± 0.08^{b}	42.32031	
acitivities	MEDIUM	<mark>75</mark>	1.00±0.07 ^a	<mark>39.65198</mark>	
Time spent by	LANDLESS	<mark>75</mark>	2.33±0.24	127.81807	2.21
men in feeding	<mark>SMALL</mark>	<mark>75</mark>	2.91±0.25	<mark>132.09071</mark>	
acitivities	MEDIUM	<mark>75</mark>	3.05 ± 0.26	<mark>138.61438</mark>	
Time spent by	LANDLESS	<mark>75</mark>	0.32 ± 0.04^{b}	<mark>25.65801</mark>	5.23**
men in housing	SMALL	<mark>75</mark>	0.42 ± 0.05^{ab}	<mark>29.20987</mark>	
activities	MEDIUM	<mark>75</mark>	0.58 ± 0.06^{b}	<mark>34.51726</mark>	
Time spent by	LANDLESS	<mark>75</mark>	0.25 ± 0.01	<mark>5.89549</mark>	1.00
<mark>men in manure</mark>	SMALL	<mark>75</mark>	0.27±0.00	<mark>4.82045</mark>	
management management management	MEDIUM	<mark>75</mark>	0.27 ± 0.00	<mark>4.64642</mark>	
Time spent by	LANDLESS	<mark>75</mark>	0.04 ± 0.01^{c}	<mark>5.99775</mark>	14.58**
men in calf	SMALL	<mark>75</mark>	0.05 ± 0.01^{b}	<mark>7.94674</mark>	
management management management	MEDIUM	<mark>75</mark>	0.16 ± 0.02^{a}	11.37010	
Time spent by	LANDLESS	<mark>75</mark>	0.28 ± 0.05	<mark>26.11478</mark>	<mark>2.36</mark>
<mark>men in milking</mark>	SMALL	<mark>75</mark>	0.30±0.04	25.77624	
management management management	MEDIUM	<mark>75</mark>	0.42±0.04	<mark>25.61003</mark>	
Time spent by	LANDLESS	<mark>75</mark>	> 1.06±0.06	<mark>35.92121</mark>	<mark>0.69</mark>
<mark>men</mark> in health	SMALL	<mark>75</mark>	0.96±0.06	<mark>34.58532</mark>	
<mark>care</mark>	MEDIUM	<mark>75</mark>	1.05 ± 0.07	<mark>37.79532</mark>	
management					
Time spent by	LANDLESS	<mark>75</mark>	0.28±0.05	<mark>26.11478</mark>	<mark>2.12</mark>
men in	SMALL	<mark>75</mark>	0.30±0.04	<mark>25.77624</mark>	
processing and	MEDIUM	<mark>75</mark>	0.42 ± 0.04	<mark>25.61003</mark>	
marketing					
Time spent by	LANDLESS	<mark>75</mark>	0.92±0.03	<mark>20.10042</mark>	<mark>2.65</mark>
men in	SMALL	<mark>75</mark>	0.85±0.03	<mark>17.83634</mark>	
economic	MARGINAL	<mark>75</mark>	0.81 ± 0.03	14.78616	
aspects of dairy					
farming					

Means with different superscripts in a row differ significantly

^{*} Significant at (p≤0.05); ** Significant at (p≤0.01)

Table 6: Time spent (hrs/day) by women and men on dairy activities

Particulars				Std.
2 42 43 43 43 43		N	Mean±SE	Deviation
Time spent on breeding	WOMEN	<mark>75</mark>	1.95±0.06	<mark>65.00</mark>
activities	<mark>MEN</mark>	<mark>75</mark>	0.72±0.04	<mark>55.97</mark>
Time spent on feeding	WOMEN	<mark>75</mark>	2.51±0.12	<mark>111.14</mark>
activities	<mark>MEN</mark>	<mark>75</mark>	2.76±0.14	133.63
Time spent on housing	WOMEN	<mark>75</mark>	0.70 ± 0.03	31.37
management	<mark>MEN</mark>	<mark>75</mark>	0.44±0.03	<mark>30.57</mark>
Time spent on manure	WOMEN	<mark>75</mark>	0.17±0.00	<mark>7.85</mark>
management	<mark>MEN</mark>	<mark>75</mark>	0.26±0.00	<mark>5.16</mark>
Time spent on calf	WOMEN	<mark>75</mark>	0.31±0.01	<mark>10.99</mark>
management	<mark>MEN</mark>	<mark>75</mark>	0.08±0.01	9.23
Time spent on milking	WOMEN	<mark>75</mark>	0.67 ± 0.02	19.8 <mark>3</mark>
management	<mark>MEN</mark>	<mark>75</mark>	0.34±0.03	<mark>25.99</mark>
Time spent on health care	WOMEN	<mark>75</mark>	0.76±0.03	<mark>36.07</mark>
management	<mark>MEN</mark>	<mark>75</mark>	1.02±0.04	<mark>35.82</mark>
Time spent on processing	WOMEN	<mark>75</mark>	0.67±0.02	<mark>25.99</mark>
and marketing of milk	MEN	<mark>75</mark>	0.33±0.02	<mark>25.20</mark>
Time spent on economic	WOMEN	<mark>75</mark>	0.49 ± 0.05	<mark>17.81</mark>
aspects of dairy farming	MEN	<mark>75</mark>	0.86 ± 0.02	<mark>38.32</mark>

N= No. of women dairy farmer