Physicochemical Evaluation of Sugarcane Molasses (black honey) during Storage in Various Packaging Materials

•

Abstract

Sugarcane molasses is a nutritious beverage with a black color, characterized by its viscosity, distinctive taste and aroma, which makes it a unique food with high nutritional value. The quality characteristics of sugarcane molasses stored inside various packaging materials at different storage periods were evaluated. The evaluation included the determination of the physicochemical properties of sugarcane molasses stored in four types of packaging materials (glass jar, pottery pitcher, plastic jar and tin container). The stored sugarcane molasses were analyzed periodically at 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 and 12 months for the optical density (at 420 nm), acidity, viscosity values and total phenol content. All studied physicochemical properties increased significantly (p≤0.05) with increasing storage time, the results showed that pottery pitchers and glass jars are suitable for packaging sugarcane molasses. They produce the most stable sugarcane molasses, so they are practical containers to use. Therefore, it is recommended to use this type of packaging materials with care of the storage temperature.

Keywords: Sugarcane molasses, storage time, packaging materials, physicochemical properties

1-INTRODUCTION

Sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum Linn*.) is a plant of the Poaceae family collected worldwide for its products of economic and medicinal value, such as cane juice, pulp, paper, xylitol, chemical alcohol, electricity, fodder and bore [1]. The original habitats of this species are Southeast Asia and South Tropical Asia. Even in hot and humid conditions, it thrives in tropical and subtropical regions with an abundance of natural materials and highly drained soils (pH 7.5-8.5) [2]. Sugarcane is the cheapest source of energy because it contains fructose and glucose. [3]. Sugarcane syrups and juices have an antioxidant effect due to the presence of flavonoids, phenolic acids and various other phenolic compounds. [4]. In addition, various studies have shown that blackstrap molasses is a valuable source of phenolic compounds [5].

Sugarcane honey is in great demand in the natural food market because it retains nutrients from cane juice, such as iron, phosphorus, salt, magnesium, calcium, potassium, and chlorine. [6]. Sugarcane syrup is a sweetener that can be used in place of refined sugar in recipes, as well as consumed alone or in combination with flour, cookies, and cakes. [7]. Sugarcane syrup production is a traditional agro-industrial process, in which industrial production is readily available on the market. The juice industry has proven to be a profitable option for small rural producers to profit from sugarcane through the use of low-cost equipment and family labor, but basic hygiene and quality control requirements in the production process are often ignored and depend on producer expertise [8]. Aimed at [7], the lack of sanitary requirements leads to the presence of some or whole insects, soil, fungi, yeasts and coliform, which are the most common problems affecting the quality of cane syrup. The lack of adequate equipment and technology to remove impurities can expose the final product to microbiological and chemical contamination when cane honey comes from nonstandard production methods. However, small adjustments to the production

process such as washing the cane sticks with drinking water and filtering the broth, and then some investments such as the acquisition of suitable special cooking vessels and a sterilized place for the manufacture of cane syrup, will be sufficient to improve the quality of the product.

Few studies record how this food is produced and monitored in terms of nutritional quality characteristics. In this context, studies must be done about the product to raise knowledge and then raise consumption, achieve a great deal of reliability, increase demand for the product, and ultimately raise income for small producers. This study aims to evaluate the quality characteristics of sugarcane syrup by studying the characteristics. We chose a storage temperature of 40°C to clarify the extent of tolerance of sugarcane molasses to the difference in storage temperatures, as it is produced in sugarcane squeezers in Upper Egypt in hot weather near the areas of sugar cane cultivation.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS:

2.1. Sugarcane molasses:

Sugarcane molasses was purchased from the main sugarcane squeezer in Upper Egypt. This squeezer is located in the main sugarcane molasses producing governorate, Kena (Nag Hammady).

2.2. Packaging materials:

The glass jars (13×8 cm), pottery pitchers (15×4cm), plastic jars (PET 14×8 cm), and tin containers (16×9 cm) were purchased from local shop. The sugarcane molasses was filtered from impurities with the first observations recorded and then packed under normal conditions in four clean, dried containers and then tightly closed (i.e., glass jars closed with metallic covers, pottery pitchers closed with sterilized tampons, plastic jars closed with plastic

covers, and tin containers with tin covers), at a rate of 500 ml per package for (12 months) at 20°C in an electric incubator and in the same way, four other packages were filled, and then placed in the incubator at 40°C. During the storage period the physiochemical properties, antioxidant activity and sensory evaluation of sugarcane molasses were estimated at regular intervals of 60 days.

2.3. Physicochemical properties:

Color was measured as the optical density (OD) of the diluted extract (5% TSS), and the absorbance at 420nm was measured using a Perkin Elmer Lambda-UV/VIS spectrophotometer [11]. The viscosity was measured by viscometer (Brookfield Engineering Stoughton MA02072 U.S.A) [15]. Acidity was calculated as the number of milliliters of sodium hydroxide (0.1 N) required neutralizing a gram of the sample [14]. The total phenolic content was evaluated by using Folin-Ciocalteu for sugarcane molasses [9].

2.4. Statistical analysis:

Temperatures, storage periods, and packaging materials all had an impact on the sugarcane molasses quality during storage. Therefore, the nutritional values of sugarcane molasses were statistically determined by Duncan's test using the SPSS 25.0 software statistical package program, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA [10].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. The physicochemical characteristics:

3.1.1. The optical density value (at 420 nm):

Firstly, the results are in the Table (1) it was observed that the optical density of sugarcane molasses (black honey) was 0.550 (OD) at 420 nm, at zero time. The optical density value findings confirmed those previously reported by

[11], who studied whether the pre-treatment of sugarcane juice has an effect on the quality and crystallization of sugarcane syrup (treacle). He concluded that color samples at that level extended from 0.191 to 0.626 (OD) at 420 nm. This may be due to the diversity of sample sources. The results are in the same table it can be seen that the color values of sugarcane molasses gradually increased with the progression of the storage period, and the higher the storage temperature of all packages until the end of the storage period. During the storage periods, the optical density of all packaging materials (glass jar, pottery pitcher, plastic jar and tin container) increased from 0.550(OD) at the time of zero at temperatures of 40°C and 20°C to 0.840, 0.820, 0.970, 0.990, 0.780, 0.750, 0.800, and 0.850 (OD), respectively. At the end of storage, the lowest optical density value is found in the pottery pitcher followed by a glass jar for each of the two storage temperatures, where they recorded the lowest rate of change among the rest of the packaging materials, as it appears from the same table.

As per statistical data, every one of the studied factors (storage temperatures, packaging types, and storage period) had a significant effect, and the interaction between the factors had the same effect at level (p≤0.05). These results are in agreement with those reported by [12], they studied color index changes in clarified sugarcane syrup during storage in pan supply tanks, pointing to the color development was significant during storage, Iron reacts with polyphenols in particular to produce coloured compounds. Also, when syrup is exposed to the air color development may occur. A similar pattern of results was reported by [13]. They showed that once the pH of the syrup is reduced, it is more likely that some browning reaction of the carbonyl group of a reducing sugar with the amine group of an amino acid or peptide occurs in the

advanced stages of the reaction, which may occur during storage, resulting in a darker color.

Table (1): Effect of packaging materials and storage temperatures on the color value sugarcane molasses.

Tem.	packaging materials				rate of					
		0	2	4	6	8	10	12	Mean	change%
	Glass jar	0.550	0.620	0.670	0.690	0.740	0.790	0.840	0.700	52.73
40 °C	Pottery pitcher	0.550	0.630	0.690	0.700	0.720	0.800	0.820	0.701	49.09
Temperature 40 °C	Plastic jar	0.550	0.700	0.750	0.820	0.890	0.920	0.970	0.800	76.36
Tempo	Tin container	0.550	0.670	0.800	0.840	0.900	0.950	0.990	0.814	80.00
Mear	Mean		0.655	0.728	0.763	0.813	0.865	0.905	0.754	
	Glass jar	0.550	0.570	0.590	0.640	0.680	0.700	0.780	0.644	41.82
20°C	Pottery pitcher	0.550	0.580	0.600	0.670	0.730	0.750	0.750	0.661	36.36
Temperature 20°C	Plastic jar	0.550	0.560	0.650	0.700	0.750	0.770	0.800	0.683	45.45
	Tin container	0.550	0.570	0.670	0.760	0.800	0.820	0.850	0.717	54.55
Mean		0.550	0.570	0.628	0.693	0.740	0.760	0.795	0.676	

F-test	A= temperat	ures						*
	B= packagir	ng materia	ls					0.044
	C= Storage	periods						0.069
L.S.D0.05	AB= interact	ion betwe	en temper	atures x p	ackaging n	naterials		0.068
	AC= interact	ion betwe	en temper	atures x s	torage peri	ods		0.080
	BC= interact	ion betwe	en packag	ing mater	ials x stora	ge periods		0.110
	ABC= intera	ction betw	zeen temp	eratures v	nackaging	materials	x storage neriods	0 167

3.1.2. The viscosity value (sentistock cm²/s):

The data in the Table (2) indicates that the viscosity of sugarcane molasses (black honey) was 480.0 (s t) at zero time. During the storage periods, the viscosity of all packaging materials (glass jar, pottery pitcher, plastic jar and tin container) increased to 556.1, 549.6, 562.7, 574.2, 546.6, 543.2, 556.3, and 563.1(s t) at 40°C and 20°C temperatures, respectively. With increasing storage time, the viscosity values of sugarcane molasses packaging increased. The increase in the viscosity values during storage at 20°C temperature was lower than that of packaging stored at 40°C temperature.

The highest increase in the viscosity values was observed in plastic jars and tin containers during storage until the end of the storage period at both temperatures. They recorded the highest rate of change for both storage temperatures. Meanwhile, pottery pitcher packaging and glass jar recorded the lowest rate of change, as shown in the same table at the end of storage. The results in the Table (2) show that the viscosity values of sugarcane molasses (black honey) increased with the progression of the storage period and the increase in the storage temperature. As per statistical data, the interaction between the factors (temperature, packaging materials, storage period) It had a significant effect at a level ($p \le 0.05$). Every one of the studied factors (storage temperatures, packaging materials, and storage period) had a significant effect, and the interaction between the factors had the same effect at level ($p \le 0.05$). This result is consistent with that mentioned by [16], who mentioned that the production of dextran, a gummy compound produced by bacteria such as Leuconostoc mesenteroides, may be responsible for the increase in viscosity of sugarcane juice.

Table (2): Effect of packaging materials and storage temperatures on the viscosity value (sentistock cm²/s) of sugarcane molasses.

	packaging				rate of					
Tem.	materials	0	2	4	6	8	10	12	Mean	change%
Temperature 40 °C	Glass jar	480.0	488.1	508.3	522.6	531.1	543.6	556.1	518.5	15.85
	Pottery pitcher	480.0	485.4	504.2	516.5	530.2	538.4	549.6	514.9	14.50
	Plastic jar	480.0	491.3	510.5	521.3	543.3	554.5	562.7	523.4	17.23
	Tin container	480.0	494.5	515.6	526.2	550.4	563.3	574.2	529.2	19.63
Mean	1	480.0	489.8	509.7	521.7	538.8	550.0	560.7	521.5	
7.)	Glass jar	480.0	485.2	506.1	519.2	525.6	535.2	546.6	514.0	13.88
re 20°C	Pottery pitcher	480.0	483.5	501.3	511.4	522.7	531.2	543.2	510.5	13.17
Temperature 20°C	Plastic jar	480.0	488.1	504.5	516.3	535.3	544.1	556.3	517.8	15.90
	Tin container	480.0	490.7	509.7	521.2	538.2	551.3	563.1	522.0	17.31
Mean		480.0	486.9	505.4	517.0	530.5	540.5	552.3	516.1	

F-test	A= temperatures	*
	B= packaging materials	0.76
	C= storage periods	0.87
L.S.D0.05	AB= interaction between temperatures x packaging materials	0.93
	AC= interaction between temperatures x storage periods	1.23
	BC= interaction between packaging materials x storage periods	1.73
	ABC= interaction between temperatures x packaging materials x storage	periods 2.50

3.1.3. The acidity value:

In the first, data in Table (3) observed that the acidity of sugarcane molasses (black honey) were 0.120 (0.1milliliter NaoH Noramal / gram of sample) at zero time. The acidity value findings confirmed those previously reported by [14]. It was found that sugarcane syrup samples (treacle) had the titratable acidity (TA) of sugar cane syrup was 0.88 milliliter NoaH 0.1Normal/1gram. On the other hand, [15] studied some sugarcane syrup physicochemical and sensory properties of acidity (in fifteen brands and found that they ranged from 0.87 to .049% with citric acid. These differences can be linked to the shelf life of the syrup after the production stage.

During the storage periods, the acidity of all packaging materials (glass jar, pottery pitcher, plastic jar and tin container) increased from 0.120 at the time of zero at temperatures of 40°C and 20°C to 0.240, 0.230, 0.270, 0.290, 0.190, 0.180, 0.200, and 0.210 respectively. At the end of storage, the lowest acidity value is found in the pottery pitcher followed by a glass jar for each of the two storage temperatures. The results in the table (3) show that the acidity values of sugar cane molasses (black honey) increased with the progression of the storage period and the increase in the storage temperature. Based on the rate of change evidence, we find that the increase in storage temperature had a clear effect in increasing the acidity, as the containers stored at 40°C had a rate of change twice as much as their counterparts at 20°C. According to of statistics, the interaction between the factors (temperature, packaging materials, storage period) It had a significant effect at a level ($p \le 0.05$). These results are in accordance with those stated by [16], pointed out that the acidity of the extracted juice increases during storage. The increase in acidity of samples stored at 30°C is higher than those stored at 10°C. This may be due to the consumption of sugar from the cane juice during storage.

Table (3): Effect of packaging materials and storage temperatures on the acidity value (0.1ml NaoH N/g) of sugarcane molasses.

packaging				rate of					
materials	0	2	4	6	8	10	12	Mean	change%
Glass jar	0.120	0.130	0.150	0.170	0.190	0.220	0.240	0.174	100.0
Pottery pitcher	0.120	0.130	0.160	0.190	0.200	0.200	0.230	0.176	91.67
Plastic jar	0.120	0.140	0.170	0.200	0.220	0.230	0.270	0.193	125.0
Tin container	0.120	0.150	0.160	0.220	0.230	0.240	0.290	0.201	141.7
	0.120	0.138	0.160	0.195	0.210	0.223	0.258	0.186	
Glass jar	0.120	0.140	0.150	0.160	0.160	0.180	0.190	0.157	58.33
Pottery pitcher	0.120	0.120	0.150	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.180	0.154	50.00
Plastic jar	0.120	0.130	0.160	0.180	0.180	0.190	0.200	0.166	66.67
Tin container	0.120	0.140	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.190	0.210	0.167	75.00
	0.120	0.133	0.158	0.170	0.170	0.183	0.195	0.161	
	materials Glass jar Pottery pitcher Plastic jar Tin container Glass jar Pottery pitcher Plastic jar	materials O Glass jar Pottery pitcher Plastic jar O.120 Tin container O.120 Glass jar O.120 Pottery pitcher 0.120 Plastic jar O.120 Pottery pitcher O.120 Plastic jar O.120 Tin container O.120 O.120	materials 0 2 Glass jar 0.120 0.130 Pottery pitcher 0.120 0.130 Plastic jar 0.120 0.140 Tin container 0.120 0.150 Glass jar 0.120 0.140 Pottery pitcher 0.120 0.120 Plastic jar 0.120 0.130 Tin container 0.120 0.140	Name	materials 0 2 4 6 Glass jar 0.120 0.130 0.150 0.170 Pottery pitcher 0.120 0.130 0.160 0.190 Plastic jar 0.120 0.140 0.170 0.200 Tin container 0.120 0.150 0.160 0.220 Glass jar 0.120 0.140 0.150 0.160 Pottery pitcher 0.120 0.120 0.150 0.170 Plastic jar 0.120 0.130 0.160 0.180 Tin container 0.120 0.140 0.170 0.170	materials 0 2 4 6 8 Glass jar 0.120 0.130 0.150 0.170 0.190 Pottery pitcher 0.120 0.130 0.160 0.190 0.200 Plastic jar 0.120 0.140 0.170 0.200 0.220 Tin container 0.120 0.150 0.160 0.220 0.230 Glass jar 0.120 0.138 0.160 0.195 0.210 Pottery pitcher 0.120 0.140 0.150 0.160 0.160 Plastic jar 0.120 0.130 0.160 0.180 0.180 Tin container 0.120 0.140 0.170 0.170 0.170	Materials 0 2 4 6 8 10 Glass jar 0.120 0.130 0.150 0.170 0.190 0.220 Pottery pitcher 0.120 0.130 0.160 0.190 0.200 0.200 Plastic jar 0.120 0.140 0.170 0.200 0.220 0.230 Tin container 0.120 0.150 0.160 0.220 0.230 0.240 Glass jar 0.120 0.140 0.150 0.160 0.160 0.180 Pottery pitcher 0.120 0.120 0.150 0.170 0.170 0.170 Plastic jar 0.120 0.130 0.160 0.180 0.180 0.190 Tin container 0.120 0.140 0.170 0.170 0.170 0.190	materials 0 2 4 6 8 10 12 Glass jar 0.120 0.130 0.150 0.170 0.190 0.220 0.240 Pottery pitcher 0.120 0.130 0.160 0.190 0.200 0.200 0.230 0.230 Plastic jar 0.120 0.140 0.170 0.200 0.220 0.230 0.270 Tin container 0.120 0.150 0.160 0.220 0.230 0.240 0.290 Glass jar 0.120 0.140 0.150 0.160 0.195 0.210 0.223 0.258 Pottery pitcher 0.120 0.140 0.150 0.160 0.160 0.170 0.170 0.170 0.180 Plastic jar 0.120 0.130 0.160 0.180 0.180 0.190 0.200 Tin container 0.120 0.140 0.170 0.170 0.170 0.190 0.210	materials 0 2 4 6 8 10 12 Mean Glass jar 0.120 0.130 0.150 0.170 0.190 0.220 0.240 0.174 Pottery pitcher 0.120 0.130 0.160 0.190 0.200 0.200 0.230 0.176 Plastic jar 0.120 0.140 0.170 0.200 0.220 0.230 0.270 0.193 Tin container 0.120 0.150 0.160 0.220 0.230 0.240 0.290 0.201 Glass jar 0.120 0.138 0.160 0.195 0.210 0.223 0.258 0.186 Glass jar 0.120 0.140 0.150 0.160 0.160 0.180 0.190 0.157 Pottery pitcher 0.120 0.120 0.150 0.170 0.170 0.170 0.180 0.190 0.154 Plastic jar 0.120 0.130 0.160 0.180 0.190 0.200

F-test	A= temperatures	*
	B= packaging materials	0.056
	C= storage periods	0.070
L.S.D0.05	AB= interaction between temperatures x packaging materials	0.060
	AC= interaction between temperatures x storage periods	0.010
	BC= interaction between packaging materials x storage periods	0.011
	ABC= interaction between temperatures x packaging materials x storage per	riods 0.170

3.1.4. The total phenolic content:

The data in the Table (4) indicates that at zero time, the total phenolic content of sugarcane molasses (black honey) was 335.3 (mg/100g). During the storage periods, the total phenolic content of all packages increased. With increasing storage time, the increase in total phenolic content during storage at 20 °C was less than that observed in packaging stored at 40°C. The highest increase in the total phenolic content was observed in plastic jars and tin containers during storage until the end of the storage period at both temperatures. Meanwhile, pottery pitcher and glass jar packaging were 376.1 and 384.5 (mg/100g) at the end of storage at 20°C respectively. Looking at the rate of change data, we find that the aforementioned two packaging materials (pottery pitcher and glass jar) recorded the lowest rate of change during the storage period.

The results shown in the Table (4) show that the total phenolic content of sugarcane molasses increased with the progression of the storage period and the increase in the storage temperature. Statistical analysis confirms that each of the factors under investigation (storage temperatures, packaging materials, and storage period) had a significant effect, and also that the interaction between the factors had the same effect at level ($p \le 0.05$). These results are in treaty with what was mentioned by [12], they studied color index changes in clarified sugarcane syrup during storage in pan supply tanks, state that the clearer sugarcane molasses contains a high total phenolic content, which induces the polyphenols to increase with color development.

Table (4): Effect of packaging materials and storage temperatures on the total phenolic content of sugarcane molasses.

	Packaging				rate of					
Tem.	materials	0	2	4	6	8	10	12	Mean	change%
	Glass jar	335.3	349.3	354.6	361.7	371.3	382.9	395.0	364.3	17.80
e 40 °C	Pottery pitcher	335.3	348.2	351.8	358.8	366.6	374.6	382.1	359.6	13.96
Temperature 40 °C	Plastic jar	335.3	349.4	353.2	362.6	368.5	385.6	398.4	364.7	18.82
Temp	Tin container	335.3	348.8	354.2	364.7	372.9	388.0	402.8	366.7	20.13
Mean		335.3	348.9	353.5	362.0	369.8	382.8	394.6	363.8	
	Glass jar	335.3	347.4	351.2	357.0	365.9	374.7	384.5	359.4	14.67
re 20°C	Pottery pitcher	335.3	346.5	349.8	355.6	362.7	367.8	376.9	356.4	12.41
Temperature 20°C	Plastic jar	335.3	347.0	351.6	356.9	366.6	377.6	390.7	360.8	16.52
Temp	Tin container	335.3	347.1	349.8	357.6	368.8	373.8	383.9	359.5	14.49
Mean		335.3	347.0	350.6	356.8	366.0	373.5	384.0	359.0	

F-test	A= temperatures	*				
	B= packaging materials	0.81				
	C= storage periods	0.89				
L.S.D0.05	AB= interaction between temperatures x packaging materials	0.93				
	AC= interaction between temperatures x storage periods	0.99				
	BC= interaction between packaging materials x storage periods	1.70				
ABC= interaction between temperatures x packaging materials x storage						

4. CONCLUSIONS:

The results seem that, the longer the storage time of sugarcane molasses, the greater the rate of change in physicochemical properties, especially while the temperature increases. The most appropriate packaging materials for sugarcane molasses are pottery pitchers and glass jars, as they recorded the lowest rates of change of the studied properties during the storage period. This may be because of their inert activity with these chemical molecules. High acidity affected color development over storage time. This work provides information on the most appropriate storage conditions and the selection of the best containers.

5. REFERENCES:

- [1] Li, Y.-R., Yang, L. T.: Sugarcane agriculture and sugar industry in China: Sugar Tech 2015; (1), 1–8.
- [2] Koh, H.L., A Guide to Medicinal Plants: An Illustrated, Scientific and Medicinal Approach. World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ.2009
- [3] Yadav, R., Solomon, S., 2006. Potential of developing sugarcane by-product based industries in India: Sugar Tech 2006; (2):104–111.
- [4] Payet, B., Shum Cheong Sing, A., Smadja, J.: Comparison of the concentrations of phenolic constituents in cane sugar manufacturing products with their antioxidant activities. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2006; (19): 7270–7276.
- [5] Guimarães, C.M.; Gião, M.S.; Martinez, S.S.; Pintado, A.I.; Pintado, M.E.; Bento, L.S. and Malcata, F.X.: Antioxidant activity of sugar molasses,

- including protective effect against DNA oxidative damage. J. of food sci., (2007; (1): 72-76
- [6] Sampaio, M.R.; Tomasini, D.; Cardoso, L.V.; Caldas, S.S. and Primel, E.G. (2012): Determination of pesticide residues in sugarcane honey by QuEChERS, and liquid chromatography. J. of the Brazilian Chem. Society. 2012; 23 (2): 197-205.
- [7] Amin, W.A.; Safwat, M. and El-Iraki, S.M.: Quality criteria of treacle (blackhoney): Food Chem.1999; 67 (1):17-20.
- [8]Mejía, V.C.; Martínez,A.B.; Yordi, A.G.and Mejia,G.C:.Simulation Strategy to Reduce Quality Uncertainty in the Sugare Cane Honey Process Design. Chemical, Food and Environmental Engineering.2021; 41(8)2-11.
- [9] Abbas, S.R., Sabir, S.M., Ahmad, S.D., Boligon, A.A., Athayde, M.L.: Phenolic profile, antioxidant potential and DNA damage protecting activity of sugarcane (Saccharum officinarum). Food Chem. 2014; (147): 10–16.
- [10] SPSS. SPSS for windows. Release, 25.0., Standard Version, Armonk, NY: IBM Corp; 2011.
- [11] Abdel-Aleem, W. M. Effect of Sugarcane Juice Pre-Treatment on the Quality and Crystallization of Sugarcane Syrup (Treacle). Journal of Food Processing & Technology 2020;11(7)1-11.
- [12] Hung, L. and Tuan, H. Q.: Changes in colour index of clarified sugarcane syrup during storage in pan supply tanks. J. Sci. & Devel. 2015; (2): 259-263.

- [13] Farrokhi, F.; Mizani, M. and Honarvar M.: Study of probable physicochemical changes during the storage of light and thick sucrose syrups. World Applied Sciences Journal 2012; (5): 715-721.
- [14] Abazied, S.R.: Chemical and technological studies on sweet sorghum. Ph.D. Thesis, Chemistry Dept., Fac. of scie., South Valley Univ., Egypt.2013.
- [15] Vicentini-Polette, C. M.; Belé, S. A. H.; Borges, M. R.; Marta, H.F.; Spoto, R. and Verruma-Bernardi, M.R: Physicochemical and sensorial characterization of commercial sugarcane syrups. Revista de Ciências Agrárias, 2019;(3): 808-816.
- [16] Krishnakumar, T.; Thamilselvi, C.; and Devadas, C.T: Effect of delayed extraction and storage on quality of sugarcane juice. African Journal of Agricultural Research.;2013 (10):930-935.