

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_78657
Title of the Manuscript:	BETWEEN INSTAGRAMMABLE ATTRACTION AND SELFIE TOURIST: CHARACTERISTIC AND BEHAVIOR
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The abstract structurally contemplates the necessary elements that a abstract of a scientific article must include, but I suggest not to place it in blocks, but in a single paragraph in a descriptive way, as for example similar to the one shown in the following URL: https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/article/view/30171</p> <p>I consider that the Introduction of the article adequately describes the problem, supported by scientific sources and finally sets out the objective to be achieved, but after that it also sets out contextual and methodological elements that should be located in the corresponding sections for them.</p> <p>The conclusions and recommendations section is only focused on presenting the conclusions of the research carried out, it should also present these recommendations or otherwise leave only CONCLUSIONS as the subtitle of the section.</p> <p>"Analysis of the data revealed that most tourist attractions can be classified as instagrammable attractions."</p> <p>It would be good to specify according to the result obtained from the data analysis in a quantitative way if possible, to demonstrate that there is a majority, as the term majority generates some ambiguity.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>I consider it an excellent work entitled "BETWEEN INSTAGRAMMABLE ATTRACTION AND SELFIE TOURIST: CHARACTERISTIC AND BEHAVIOR", undoubtedly the Lembang Floating Market has a study that can be an important reference and be considered in future decision making.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Gustavo Rodríguez Bárcenas</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Cotopaxy Technical University, Ecuador</i>