

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology</a>
Manuscript Number:	MS_SAJRM_85189
Title of the Manuscript:	In the era of SARS-CoV-2: where we stand in tuberculosis diagnosis
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajrm.com/index.php/SAJRM/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>This is an interesting, well-written manuscript in which the authors review. The manuscript is well structured but some points must be corrected.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Discuss the impact of SARS-CoV-2 in TB diagnosis.</li><li>2. You only talked about the active diagnostic tools in MTB, but not about tuberculosis diagnosis tool in SARS-CoV-2 pandemic era. Simply you discussed the existed tools as it is, you didn't mention which diagnostic tool is more appropriate for tuberculosis diagnosis in TB-SARS-CoV-2 era. Please compare which method is more relevant to TB diagnosis in SARS-CoV-2 time.</li><li>3. The discussion section looks weak.</li></ol>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Abstract, Line 2: 1.2 million deaths annually. Make it 1.5. million deaths Keyword: Blood is not used as a key word, instead add SARS-CoV-2 Introduction Line 3: Mtb write in extended form <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> Line 15-18: please check the number of MDR/RR-TB cases <i>reported</i> Form last line 4: this review will focus..... this review focused</p>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aynias Seid
Department, University & Country	Debre-Tabor University, Ethiopia