### **Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_84709
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Microbiological Quality of Water, Sediment and Soil Characteristics in Okrika Local Government Area, Rivers State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalsajrm.com/index.php/SAJRM/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

### **Review Form 1.6**

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		Tils/Her Teeuback Here)
	Materials and Methods	
	Indicate how many and list the names of communities	
	2. Table 1 is not relevant	
	3. Pont 2.2: You can integrate this information in point 2.1	
	4. Point 2.3: How many samples were taken from each sampling pont?	
	5. Point 2.4: At this point you must indicate:	
	What analyzes were performed? (Microorganism by microorganism)	
	Which method was used for each microorganism analyzed?	
	What was the culture medium used for each microorganism?	
	Time and temperature of incubation?	
	Note: use and indicate ISO standards, so cam see exemple in this artitcle: <a href="https://doi.org/10.3390/biology10060558">https://doi.org/10.3390/biology10060558</a> and <a href="https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17197254">https://doi.org/10.3390/biology10060558</a> and <a href="https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17197254">https://doi.org/10.3390/biology10060558</a>	
Minor REVISION comments	The manuscript provides some relevant information that I think that it is important to know for community and adds some information to the science.  However, the manuscript is not systematic structured. The methodology does not follow scientific criteria and the results lack a complete restructuring. The discussion is very weak, and the bibliography is very old.  Comments are provided for the improvement of the manuscript.  I also recommend the general review of English.	
Optional/General comments	Result and discussion	
	For a better understanding, I suggest that you present the results in subchapters for each microorganism.	
	2. You cam summary the table for zone, including all microorganism of zone/area and the to season dry and rain.	
	3. Provide a discussion comparing de zones and season for each microorganism	
	4. Discuss importance of you data for community importance and for public health	
	5. Can found causes of this level of contamination.	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# **Review Form 1.6**

## PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Acacio Salamandane
Department, University & Country	Instituto Superior de Agronomia, Universiade de Lisboa, Portugal

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)