

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_84467
Title of the Manuscript:	CHARACTERIZATION OF FUNGI IN SOIL FROM SELECTED MECHANIC WORKSHOPS IN PORT HARCOURT
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajrm.com/index.php/SAJRM/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>1- The title of the article clearly reflects its content.</li><li>2- The abstract is well written and clear.</li><li>3- The researcher follows the styles of methodology according to the scientific principles.</li><li>4- In the materials and methods no references were mentioned.</li><li>5- The article is weak, but it is written clearly and easily for the reader, and there are no misspellings.</li><li>5- The research did not include a scientific addition to the field of specialization.</li></ul>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>1- The objective of the study is incomplete.</li><li>2- The researcher did not mention the type of statistical analysis used in the Research.</li><li>3- In the discussion in the fifth line, the word (effect) was repeated.</li></ul>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>1- References are not arranged alphabetically.</li><li>2- The references Aleruchi et al.2019, American Public Health Association (APHA), 2012, and Atlas RM (1984) not found in the text.</li><li>3- The reference Atlas, 1981 not fond in the list of references.</li></ul>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ihsan Flayyih Hasan Al-Jawhari
Department, University & Country	Iraq