## **Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Parasitology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJP_84812
Title of the Manuscript:	INTRACRANIAL SPACE OCCUPYING LESION WITH HYDATID CYST: A RARE INFESTATION OF HYDATID ISOLATE FROM INTRACRANIAL CYSTIC LESION
Type of the Article	Case study

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://journalsajp.com/index.php/SAJP/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

## **Review Form 1.6**

## **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The content of the case study is well formed. The authors describe the case concisely and in an accurate manner. The language is understandable.	
,	- Make one sentence with good phrasing to avoid this repetition. You should add complication of hydatid disease in abstract	
	-You can change it into (eating contaminated food)	
	Headache is repeated, please, delete one In abstract, three sentences with the same meaning repeated three Times. I make a red mark on them.	
	In discussion line 6, (eating ingested food), this sentence is not correct	
	Most patients present with headache and vomiting and headache	
Minor REVISION comments		
	Write Involves instead of involve	
	Make (P) small letter	
	Change are to were Change was to were	
	Put were instead of was	
	You can delete the first one  Grammar mistake inline 5 of the abstract	
	In presentation of case, line 5 Line 6 Line 7	
	The cyst fluid and abscess pus was aspirated and sent to the Parasitology section	
	The last two sentences of case presentation are repeated	
Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

## **Review Form 1.6**

# PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Reham Mustafa Brakat
Department, University & Country	Menoufia University, Egypt

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)