

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_78646
Title of the Manuscript:	Entropic Information Theory: Formulae and Quantum Gravity Bit from Bit
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpsij.com/index.php/PSIJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: Please choose GUT or General Universe Theory and TOE or Theory of Everything in the keyword.</p> <p>Introduction: There is no apparent reason why the entropic information formulae can present entropic information in various unifying aspects. Cite some references to make state of the art, why these methods can use. As in the abstract, the author mentions a problem regarding no framework that can explain and connect all of the universe aspects in all dimensions. However, there is no explanation regarding this in the introduction. So, I suggest writing some reasons to make it more comprehensive.</p> <p>Methodology: Final equation part: what are the difference between Ω in the number of ways the atom and molecule and Ω in the number of complexions. Are both of the same? If yes or no, please give some explanation.</p> <p>Discussion: It is better to provide a case to prove this formulae work.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Please cite all of the references in your paper, especially references no 6-8.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lily Maysari Angraini
Department, University & Country	Mataram University, Indonesia