

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_89459
Title of the Manuscript:	Use of Scheimpflug tomography and OCT tomography to examine corneal dimensional and anterior chamber depth before and after LASIK surgery
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalor.com/index.php/OR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The authors compare the scheimpflug tomogram with the Optical Coherence Tomogram in the measurement of Corneal thickness and other parameters. They then used Bland Altman analysis to compare the two instruments. They suggest that both instruments should be used to evaluate LASIK patients. There are apparent differences between the two instruments and also before and after LASIK.</p> <p>I would suggest that a linear correlation can also be calculated with a scatter plot line of best fit. The mathematical relationship between the two instruments can then be derived (regression equation). This will benefit practices in which both instruments are not available. However, the sample size is low, and they used both eyes.</p> <p>The Paper may be accepted subject to the suggestions and to the notations in the manuscript file</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	O E Babalola
Department, University & Country	Rachel Eye Center, Nigeria