

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_89459
Title of the Manuscript:	Use of Scheimpflug tomography and OCT tomography to examine corneal dimensional and anterior chamber depth before and after LASIK surgery
Type of the Article	Original Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'Lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalor.com/index.php/OR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Problem statement – not clear. Avoid one sentence paragraph. Sample size – too small. Ethical approval? The exact timeline before (pre) and after (post) operation: 1 hour, 1 day, 1 week, 1 month? Provide the name of statistic used in Table 2 & 3. Please include units of measurements Figures 1-3 – need details in figure legends Mean & SD – need more thorough explanation. What is the clinical implication or application of such small difference? Conclusion – ambiguous. Findings were difference but recommended to measure both?	
Minor REVISION comments	Grammar and spelling – need proofreading	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chen Ai-Hong
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi Mara, Malaysia