# **Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_88930
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlations between Quality of Vision, Higher Order Aberrations and Non-Invasive Keratographic Tear Film Break-Up T Uncomplicated Phacoemulsification
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

#### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalor.com/index.php/OR/editorial-policy)

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol> <li>Minimum 5-6 keywords should be there.</li> <li>In clinical examination section (1.1) under 1.1.1 and 1.1.2 sub-sections if some diagrammatic or flow chart description can be provided then it will enhance the quality of the paper and also increase the effectiveness of the study.</li> <li>For enhancing the quality of the paper, 'Grammarly and other relevant software can be used before publishing.</li> <li>As per the age, the threshold value range of 'NIKBUT' and 'QoV' for all age groups can be mentioned and other factors apart from age which might affect the vision quality and NIKBUT values.</li> <li>Conclusive results of the frequency of the patients to be affected by visual disturbance after cataract surgery as per the study to be mentioned.</li> </ol>	
Minor REVISION comments Optional/General comments	<ol> <li>Abbreviation list can be included</li> <li>A flow chart showing the intercorrelations between NIKBUT, HOA and QoV to be included as it will enhance the quality</li> <li>Abbreviation list can be included.</li> <li>For enhancing the quality of the paper, 'Grammarly and other relevant software can be used before publishing.</li> </ol>	

## Time in Pseudophakic Eyes after

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

# Review Form 1.6

<u>PART 2:</u>

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the man write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Pinki Chakraborty
Department, University & Country	Galgotias University, India

## eed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nanuscript. It is mandatory that authors should