Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_88930
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlations between Quality of Vision, Higher Order Aberrations and Non-Invasive Keratographic Tear Film Break-Up Ti Uncomplicated Phacoemulsification
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalor.com/index.php/OR/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	It is an interesting research article. There is only one mistake to correct: in the References section page numbers must be uniform, for instance 101-2.	
Minor REVISION comments	None.	
Optional/General comments	None.	

<u>PART 2:</u>

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the man write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Italo Giuffre
Department, University & Country	Catholic University of Rome, Italy

Time in Pseudophakic Eyes after

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

eed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nanuscript. It is mandatory that authors should