

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_77212
Title of the Manuscript:	The Importance of Optical Coherence Tomography and Autofluorescence in the Documentation of Papillary Colobomatous Fossette.
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. The patient is either a female or male Abstract: F.D.O. A 14-year-old male student is referred for evaluation of the fundus of the eye due to an alteration in the optic disc noticed by another professional who did not feel safe in the follow-up. The patient was completely asymptomatic at the time of the consultation and did not claim previous visual complaints. She denied systemic and ocular comorbidities and did not use any systemic and topical medication.</p> <p>2. What does 8,13,15,16 represent? And the correct term is lamina cribrosa Abstract: The Congenital optic disc pit is a rare and typically unilateral congenital anomaly, consisting of a retinal herniation that extends into the subarachnoid space through a lamina cribrios defect. 8,13,15,16</p> <p>3. And again_14,16,17,18 This more serious condition can be characterized by important macular alterations, such as serous retinal detachment, cystic degenerations and degenerative pigmentary alterations. 14,16,17,18</p> <p>4. Case report repeats the abstract (and it should be different) with the same error F.D.O. A 14-year-old male student is referred for evaluation of the fundus of the eye due to an alteration in the optic disc noticed by another professional who did not feel safe in the follow-up. The patient was completely asymptomatic at the time of the consultation and did not claim previous visual complaints. She denied systemic and ocular comorbidities and did not use any systemic and topical medication.</p> <p>5. The abstract should be a summary of the paper, not just a few phrases from the text mixed together.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. Biomicroscopy could be more explicit.</p> <p>2. 'white without temporal pressure'.....I think it should be 'white without pressure temporal'</p> <p>3. The Congenital optic disc pit is a rare...congenital shouldn't be capitalized</p> <p>4. Aims: To describe The Importance of Optical Coherence Tomography and Autofluorescence in the Documentation of Papillary Colobomatous Fossette... words within the phrase shouldn't be capitalized</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>1. The introduction section is good, it offers information about the condition and familiarises everyone with the case.</p> <p>2. It is a simple paper without proper documentation that doesn't provide new or original data.</p> <p>3. English and spelling could be improved.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dana Dascalescu
Department, University & Country	Carol Davila University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania