

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Microbiology Research Journal International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_88631
Title of the Manuscript:	Antibacterial properties of young and mature mango leaves ( <i>Mangifera indica</i> ) extract on some clinical isolates
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalmrji.com/index.php/MRJI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Comments to the Author</p> <p>The authors described antibacterial properties and efficacy of mango (<i>Mangifera indica</i>) leaf extracts on some clinical isolates as test organisms. This research is useful for developments of new antibacterial drugs. Therefore, this paper can be accepted in the Microbiology Research Journal International for the publication after minor revisions. The comments from the review process can be found appended at the bottom of this letter. We hope that you will be able to undertake the additional work and look forward to receiving a revised manuscript.</p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The novelty of your current work should be highlighted in the introduction section</li><li>2. In Result and Discussion: The authors should compare their results of the antibacterial activity with those previously published similar study</li><li>3. The conclusion section should be rewritten again in a more satisfactory way.</li><li>4. Why does the author use water, ethanol, and acetic acid instead of another solvent</li><li>5. In conclusion: acetic acid has a better extracting potential than the other solvent what is the reason?</li><li>6. What is your standard antibacterial drug?</li></ol>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>R.Neelaveni</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Lady Doak College, India</b>