

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Microbiology Research Journal International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_82434
Title of the Manuscript:	PROXIMATE, MICROBIAL AND SENSORY PROPERTIES OF MOI-MOI PRODUCED WITH CRAYFISH AND GRASSHOPPER
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalmrji.com/index.php/MRJI/editorial-policy>)

**PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<div><div>1. Paper is written good.</div><div>2. Revise the abstract.</div><div>3. Add the following references.<div><div>a. Khan, R., &amp; Amjad, M. (2014). A review on automated test case generation using genetic algorithms. <i>International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science and Software engineering</i>.</div><div>b. Khan, R., &amp; Amjad, M. (2016, March). Optimize the software testing efficiency using genetic algorithm and mutation analysis. In <i>2016 3rd International Conference on Computing for Sustainable Global Development (INDIACom)</i> (pp. 1174-1176). IEEE.</div><div>c. Khan, R., &amp; Amjad, M. (2016, April). Web application's performance testing using HP LoadRunner and CA Wily introscope tools. In <i>2016 International Conference on Computing, Communication and Automation (ICCCA)</i> (pp. 802-806). IEEE.</div></div></div></div>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	Paper is good. Refine the conclusion	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rijwan Khan
Department, University & Country	ABES Institute of Technology, India