

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_88267
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF CROP RESIDUE GENERATION IN MAJOR CROPS OF TELANGANA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript is very simple and does not show the solidity of a review of theories, for the following reasons: the content of the introduction is not referenced and only shows general ideas. The methods item is not clear in the description of the variables or the procedures, and the presentation of the result does not show the necessary forcefulness, without argued discussion.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	It is suggested that the authors provide evidence of the data collected. However, if the journal decides to publish, the manuscript should be improved and expanded according to the observations indicated	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raul Marino Yaranga Cano
Department, University & Country	Universidad Nacional Del Centro Del Peru, Peru