Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Scientific Research and Reports**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JSRR_87656**
Title of the Manuscript:	**An addition for the ichthyofaunal of India Mangroves (Sunderban Biosphere Reserve)**
Type of the Article	**Short Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The manuscript was written in poor language quality, making sections, such as the discussion, unclear. The language in the manuscript must be reviewed to ensure that author's ideas are communicated clearly and accurately. Most flaws are highlighted in the manuscript.** **Some sentences are disconnected from the text and there are missing linking clauses and prepositions. E.g., "***1831. Pterois russelii Bennett [E. T.] (ex Russell), Proceedings of the Committee of Science and Correspondence of the Zoological Society of London 1830-31, 1:128 (Coromandel coast, India, eastern Indian Ocean), No Type specimen preserved.***"** **The results section should be rearranged to provide better writing flow.** **This study reports a reef-associated fish in mangrove ecosystem of Bengal. Although this information provides an important insight to the ecosystem management and species conservation, the manuscript is poorly written.** **First, English language must be deeply reviewed, then the manuscript should be submitted for another review round.** **I would recommend the acceptance for publication after major revision.**	
Minor REVISION comments	**The phylum was wrongly assigned in topic 3. It should be changed to Chordata.** **The unit of measurement in topic 3.2 are incorrect. I believe it is mm instead of cm.**	
Optional/General comments	**I would like to suggest an alternative title: First record of *Pterois russelii* in the Sunderban Biosphere Reserve, India.**	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** ***(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)***
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	***(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)***	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Jurandir Joaquim Bernardes Junior**
Department, University & Country	**Federal University of Santa Catarina, Brazil**