

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_87496
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of extraction techniques and evaluation of antimicrobial activity of Argemone Mexicana leaves and roots extracts in different solvents
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1..Please,remove highlighted statement in abstract.You may use “ The aim of this scientific study was to estimate----- 2.Remove highlightedword”one” in secondto the last line of abstract. 3. Add significantly affected ($p \leq 0.05$) and also state your statistical method under materials and method section. 4.Kindly cite Microwave-assisted extraction and Soxhlet extraction under materials and method section.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Please, for the tables, indicate under each table (Mean \pm SD, no.of determinations, significance and p-value) because you did comparative studies	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P.N.Okoroh
Department, University & Country	Gregory University Ututru, Nigeria