

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_86017
Title of the Manuscript:	The Community's Perception and Participation in the Project Plan for Merchant Arrangement and Visitor Parking at Borobudur Temple
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The abstract of the work is correctly structured, presenting the objective, methodology and some result. The introduction needs to end with the objective of the work and its structure. It is necessary to develop a theoretical framework prior to the methodology. Thus, in the conclusions, the results can be compared with previous studies. The conclusions are insufficient. It is necessary to expand and deepen this point.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Noelia Araújo
Department, University & Country	University of Vigo, Spain