

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_83046
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF SUICIDAL THOUGHTS AMONG THE YOUTH: THE CASE OF SCOTTISH LIVINGSTONE HOSPITAL
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>You Erik Erikson pp8-10 section seems so close to the sources as to be plagiarized. You should shorten the entire section into 1-3 paragraphs and cite appropriately.</p> <p>Be consistent on Erikson/Ericson for spelling.</p> <p>How did you record data?</p> <p>Tables 1-6 are entirely too much! Condense and summarize into 1-3 paragraphs. Put the different tables together.</p> <p>Major issue: You do not speak about how you categorized the data. Your themes need developed beyond a small sprinkling of quotes. Qualify and clarify what the different themes are. I think your codes/categories/themes [complete ideas] need developed. Give specific elements of each theme. Otherwise, I do not get a strong feel of the major dynamics of each theme or a succinct definition. A visual here could be helpful.</p> <p>You lack outliers or stubs; what data did not fit the categories?</p> <p>You need a limitations section and future research recommendations section.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>“Accordingly, the suicide rate for young Black American youth was 2.68 per 100,000 (CDC, 2010) compared to non-Black Americans.” Yet, you do not actually give the comparison. Please complete the idea.</p> <p>AWKWARD: According to (Singer J.B. & Slovak K., 2011) in America Counselling is the best intervention service provided to suicidal youth.</p> <p>ELIMINATE AS IRRELEVANT: Thomas was born in 1965 on the 7th of June in Atlanta USA and he is still alive at the age of 55 years.</p> <p>ELIMINATE AS IRRELEVANT: Eric Ericson was born in 1907 on 15th June in Frankfurt, Hesse in Germany. Sadly, he passed away at the age of 91 years in 1999 on the 12 of May in Massachusett, Harwich in the USA.</p>	
Optional/General comments	I THINK WITH SOME MINOR WORK, THE PAPER WILL BE ACCEPTABLE.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	David Coker
Department, University & Country	Fort Hays State University, United States