



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_66875
Title of the Manuscript:	“Decent Work” in the Port Industry- resilience of Social Dialogue: a <a href="#">scope study with related analysis</a> <del>Conceptual and Methodological Exploration.</del>
Type of the Article	Method Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<a href="#">If the author prefers to make, the author may be allowed to make few revisions by reducing the verbose in the wording, highlighting more on the 'work relations' and 'social dialogue' which are the theme of the paper. Further, the method of doing a research is not in sync with the first part of 'Social Dialogue'. No idea why Author combined these two concepts in one paper.</a>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<a href="#">The efforts are appreciable. Lot of efforts and sincerity would have gone in developing this research paper. Trust no plagiarism exists. Too much of literature review is a slight concern although it is a method article. Rest all are very good.</a>	

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0.5"

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>  Not really. But regarding 'Containerization', the wording is corrected by us as per the spirit of the author's intentions. Author may not have meant to derogate	



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

	<p>or make sedition on Govt. Containerization reduced the physical loading and unloading and huge drudgery for the labour. This is a natural and civilized development apart from bringing time, cost, and safety benefits for everyone.</p>	
--	--	--

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Kishore NK
Department, University & Country	India