

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_89381
Title of the Manuscript:	Analyze and compare the pain level and complications of septoplasty with and without splints and packing.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Allow me to make the following considerations: 1. In cases of septoplasty without packing, what was used to maintain septal stability? for example, transseptal suture? Since not using any stability method would lead to a greater number of post-surgical hematomas and in the present study this morbidity was very similar between the two groups. 2. What was the criteria for selecting patients to perform the procedure under local or general anesthesia? It is related to the severity of the septal deviation. 3. Obstructive symptoms derived from septal deviation in most cases are associated with turbinal disease that must be corrected simultaneously to resolve the patient's obstructive problem. Why did they exclude patients with turbinal disease?	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	What was the total study sample before the sample of 100 patients was left?	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is noteworthy that there is a very similar morbidity related to the use of a nasal packing and no nasal packing in relation to the presence of hematomas and synechiae.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gabriel Mauricio Morales Cadena
Department, University & Country	La Salle University, México