

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_89282
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review on Phytochemical Constituents and Medicinal Importance of Catharanthus roseus
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalprijournal.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>Catharanthus roseus</i> is a widely studied and important medicinal plant.• At page number 3, the sub topic "History of Vinca rosea" seems to be inappropriate. It should be in description and folklore uses.• As it is widely studied medicinal plant, more phytochemical were already identified. But here only alkaloid and phenol groups was given. Others phytochemicals can also be added.• Incomplete sentences were noted (marked in the manuscript)	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	V. N. Meena Devi
Department, University & Country	Noorul Islam Centre for Higher Education, India