

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_86555
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFICACY OF AND SAFETY OF SECUKINUMAB IN PSORIASIS VULGARIS; A PROSPECTIVE STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The major issue of this study is the fact, that it is not new – the results are confirmatory. The data presentation should be modified – please include a graph. Were any concomitant therapy allowed, e.g. topic treatment? How many patients dropped during the study periods. Tables 1-3 can be merged into one table. It is really strange that in a group of more than 100 patients over 1 year no “side effects” were observed. Any common cold? Any headache? What about other adverse events?	
Minor REVISION comments	The authors have to clearly indicate the limitations of the study – e.g. open label design, no comparison arm, etc. The following statement has to be modified: This study concluded that Secukinumab has superior efficacy with excellent skin clearance Superior over what? Excellent is also confusing – why the authors state that? In fact there are 4 agents blocking IL-17 – bimekizumab is already approved in some countries.	
Optional/General comments	The introduction has to be shortened and focused on the most relevant aspects.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adam Reich
Department, University & Country	University of Rzeszow, Poland