

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_86412
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the abnormalities of lipid profile in patients with cholelithiasis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	This MS has an interesting topic; however, it requires a major revision. In the Methodology, the exact place, time, inclusion/exclusion criteria of the study need to be provided in detail. Also, the terminology for serum lipid parameters, such as serum total cholesterol (TC), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), serum triglycerides (TGs), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), and very low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (VLDL-C) – should be used consistently in the article. The Discussion is written in a chaotic way, which needs to be clarified. Limitations of the study need to be added [e.g., a small study sample], and the Conclusion should be rephrased, to be clear and useful for clinicians.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	In the whole text, rephrasing/editing for clarity/style is necessary [for example, different sentences should be corrected grammatically & abbreviations should be used properly in the abstract & in the text]. Also, a list of abbreviations should be done at the end.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This MS requires improvements – a native speaker editorial service should be considered.	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Katarzyna Rygiel
Department, University & Country	Silesian Medical University, Poland