

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_86320
Title of the Manuscript:	THE IMPACT OF MATURITY ON ROLE OF HEAD AND EYE ON OCULAR MOTIONS TO INTERSECTION SCANNING CONDUCT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

**PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Thank you for the opportunities to review the manuscript.</p> <p>I think that it is necessary to revise the manuscript. In particular, the study design is not quite good.</p> <p>1) Is this a cross-sectional study, or a randomized control study? Please fill in the STROBE checklist or CONSORT checklist.</p> <p>2) Why were participants with discomfort in the simulator excluded? This might cause selection bias. The authors should specify the possibility of selection bias and try to control it.</p> <p>3) Please explain how sample size was calculated?</p> <p>4) In the introduction section (not in the method section), please show both the aim and hypothesis of this study.</p> <p>5) What is the definition of maturity in this study? Why will the maturity make an influence on the ocular motion?</p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wei-Chih Lien
Department, University & Country	National Cheng Kung University Hospital, Taiwan