

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_86221
Title of the Manuscript:	FREQUENCY RISK FACTORS AND PATTERN OF CONGENITAL ANOMALIES IN NEONATES IN NAWABSHAH PAKISTAN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Written well but 1) Better to add more discussion and compare with similar studies briefly. 2) few references are not in good style. 3) usually cross-sectional studies better to mention like sample size justified, as u taken all babies during that period. Still births included? 4) Better to cut short the introduction, better to write me methodology briefly like paper not like thesis. 5) Any combined congenital defects, how do you classify Ambiguous babies. 6) Better to follow STROBE check list	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	no	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Concise and compact	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rakesh kotha
Department, University & Country	India