Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_86004
Title of the Manuscript:	Molecular Docking Analysis of Bacoside A with selected Signalling factors Involved in Glioblastoma
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No compulsory revisions are required	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	 The article is original and display an innovation by using recent research techniques. The title informative and relevant References are relevant, recent, referenced correctly and appropriate key studies are included. The research question is properly outlined. All the study methods are reliable, valid and reproducible The data are presented in an appropriate way in the form of tables and figures. Also, definite unites, rounding and numbers are utilized. Titles, columns and rows are labelled in a clear correct way. I have found the text in result section added to the data presented. Also, statistically significant results are presented clearly. Practically meaningful results are understood clearly. The results are discussed from multiple angles and placed into context. The conclusions give a clear answer of the study aim. The authors give a clear conclusions supported by results and references. Also, the study limitations give opportunities for future research. I have found the study design answered the aim appropriately and added new information. 	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zeinab Abd Elkhalek Ali El-Shahid
Department, University & Country	Pharmaceutical Drug Industry and Research Institute, National Research Centre, Egypt

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)