Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_85936
Title of the Manuscript:	Alkaline Hydrogen Peroxide Solution is an Expectorant, Pyolytic, Mucolytic, Hemolytic, and Bleaching Drug for Treating Purulent Diseases, Hematomas and Bruising
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
Compulsory REVISION comments		his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION Comments		
	No Compulsory revision are required	
THE DEVICEOUS		
Minor REVISION comments		
	No	
Optional/General comments		
	 The article is original and display an innovation by using recent research techniques. The title informative and relevant References are relevant recent, referenced correctly and appropriate key studies are included The research question is properly outlined. I have found the text in result section added to the data presented. The results are discussed from multiple angles and placed into context. The conclusions give a clear answer of the study aim. The authors give a clear conclusions supported by results and references. Also, the study limitations give opportunities for future research. I have found the study design answered the aim appropriately and added new information. 	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zeinab Abd Elkhalek Ali El-Shahid
Department, University & Country	Pharmaceutical Drug Industry and Research Institute, National Research Centre, Egypt

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)