Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JPRI_85731**
Title of the Manuscript:	**DETERMINING THE ROLE OF IMMUNOHISTOCHEMICAL EXPRESSION OF CK20 IN GRADING UROTHELIAL CARCINOMA**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript "*Determining the role of immunohistochemical expression of CK20 in grading urothelial carcinoma*" is trying to determine the role of immunohistochemical expression of CK20 in grading urothelial carcinoma. It is already known that the implications of cytokeratin 20 in urothelial cancer have been intensely studied and the results are available, but no recommendation was made regarding the use of CK20. The article is well designed, has enough samples, and provides important results supported by some statistical data. On the other hand, some issues should be revised. The authors did not mention anything about the limitations of this study. Also, the English and the grammar require a major revision. Consulting a native English could be useful. For example, there is much mention of the word "study" in the discussion section, which can be annoying. The conclusions shouldn't be written as recommendations and should summarize the main findings of the study.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	***(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)***	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Bulai Catalin Andrei**
Department, University & Country	**"Carol Davila" University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania**