

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_85731
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINING THE ROLE OF IMMUNOHISTOCHEMICAL EXPRESSION OF CK20 IN GRADING UROTHELIAL CARCINOMA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Check my reviewer corrections and suggestions</p> <p>[1] Your conclusion in the abstract and at the end of the article should include new lessons or confirmation of old lessons or findings from your study that you want readers globally to learn from.</p> <p>[2] The English needs to be improved and I have made supporting corrections which you should reflect upon.</p> <p>[3] You have made statements in the text without supporting the sentences with references / reference numbers please do these.</p> <p>[4] After conclusions write the following:</p> <p>(a) Conflict of interest – statements</p> <p>(b) Acknowledgement – statements.</p> <p>[5] The references must be written in full for each reference to include names and initials of the authors, the title of the article, the title of the journal year, volume and edition, first page and last page of articles. If they are not articles make sure you provide the internet link details to support your statements.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	See above	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	See above	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anthony Kodzo-Grey Venyo
Department, University & Country	North Manchester General Hospital, United Kingdom