Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_85648
Title of the Manuscript:	EXTRACTION, PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING AND ANTIOXIDANT POTENTIAL OF HYDROALCOHOLIC EXTRACT OF AERIAL PARTS OF BAUHINIA VARIEGATA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Bauhiinia variegata L. (The scientific name of a species may be followed by the name of the person who first described it, usually abbreviated and in normal font (no italics or bold). Example: Genipa americana L. The genus and species must always be spelled out in full in the first citation in the text (article). Thereafter, the genus can be abbreviated, using the capital letter and the abbreviation period, as long as the specific epithet is extended. Example: Bauhinia variegate L. = B. variegate L. Note: Once the abbreviated form has been adopted, it should be continued like this until the end of the text. Therefore, one should avoid the oscillation between the full and abbreviated forms throughout the same text. 2. Avoid repeating words from the Title of the article, put other words related to your article, this will increase the number of people reading your article, and will probably lead to more citations. 4. In topics 6. (a); & (a) and 8 (a) of the methodology: Inform the number of drops used, so that other researchers can test from this study. 5. Results presented, however little discussed, it is necessary that the authors comment more in relation to the results found and also compare, with other researches already carried out, and the authors' position on the subject. Important information not highlighted in the text diminishes the credibility of the investigation. How will the results obtained contribute to the phytochemical sector and human health? Highlight the importance of your study. 1. Title and text: Scientific name of the species: Bauhinia variegata L. Abbreviar The genus throughout the text: B. variegata L. 2. keywords: Avoid repeating words from the Title of the articl 3. Reference: scientific name of species must be written in italics. 4. Methodology: Insert complete information on the methodology used 5. Discussion: Further discuss the results obtained in the study.	snould write his/her feedback here)
	7. Conclusion: The results obtained in the present study clearly demonstrate that t he extract (insert hydroalcoholic extract of aerial parts of <i>Bauhinia variegate</i> L.	
	Minor REVISION comments 1.Table 1 of the topic result and discussion. presents little information I recommend putting this information in full. 2. The title of the tables (1, 2, 3 and 4), is better presented in the justified format (distribute the text evenly between the margins). 3. Avoid leaving tables loose in the text	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments		
	 1.Figures 1 and 2: It would be interesting to identify each image within Figure 1 (A, B, C) and describe what each one represents. Standardize the size of figures. 1.Figure 1: See the possibility of cutting the edge of the figure and standardizing the size according to the others. 	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Caliane da Silva Braulio
Name.	Callatie da Silva Bradilo
Department, University & Country	Federal University of the Recôncavo da Bahia (UFRB), Brazil

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)