Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_85401
Title of the Manuscript:	DENTIST'S KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE AND PRACTICE TOWARDS OBSTRUCTIVE SLEEP APNEA IN CENTRAL INDIA: A QUESTIONNAIRE BASED SURVEY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		morner research more,
Minor REVISION comments	MDS has good knowledge for domains of screening and diagnosis (64.65% and 50.51%) and treatment and referral (65.66% and 57.58%) while BDS has poor knowledge for same. This statement in the abstract should be modified and the BDS group should be addressed respectably. The sentence can be reframed to: MDS has good knowledge for domains of screening and diagnosis (64.65% and 50.51%) and treatment and referral (65.66% and 57.58%) in comparison to the BDS group. Also, make necessary modifications while this part is featured in the main body of the manuscript as well rather than blatantly stating poor knowledge. BANG, STOP-BANG, ARES CPAP BDS, MDS Kindly expand the abbreviation of the above, if available when the above words are first mentioned in the manuscript	
Optional/General comments	Does not appear so, the authors have not mentioned any	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Supriya Bhat
Department, University & Country	NITTE (Deemed to be University), India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)