

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_85336
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Knowledge, Attitude and Practice (KAP) about Self-medication among population of Eastern province, Saudi Arabia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>First of all, thank you for giving me the chance to evaluate this work.</p> <p>it is understood that the study is compatible in terms of purpose and result, the narration is fluent and easy to understand, the study was done with the survey entries made in the social media. However, how was the selected study group determined? Was it done randomly? On what basis did you choose which social platforms and the e-mail addresses you sent, and where did you find these e-mail addresses? Has an approval and attempt been made regarding the protection of personal data? Please add these questions to the material method section in general.</p> <p>Why do you think self-medication is troublesome at alarm level? According to the results, the participants used drugs mainly due to pain complaints, based on their previous experiences. And as a result of this use, the rate of admission to the hospital with side effects is low. The fact that more complicated drugs such as antibiotics were not used indicates that this study was conducted with an educated population. The age group distribution of the study cannot be generalized to all people or countries. Therefore, it is recommended to change the title of the study. It is recommended to elaborate and strengthen the material method part.</p> <p>MAJOR REVISION NEEDED</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ejder Saylav Bora
Department, University & Country	Izmir Ataturk Research and Training Hospital, Turkey