

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_85262
Title of the Manuscript:	REGULATORY SHIFT IN CHINA: EVOLUTION OF NMPA
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	ABSTRACT: <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Abbreviation of NMPA should be mentioned.2. The abstract should be rephrased covering the following headings background, objective, previous studies performed and uniqueness of the study followed by aim should be mentioned in the same chronologic order.3. Kindly check for repetitive words in the sentence highlighted.(China, Chinese)4. Rephrasing of the last highlighted sentence is required.5. The overall abstract should be restructured based on the reviewer's comments. INTRODUCTION <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Detailed explanation of the study by describing the history, what is NMPA, how has been evolved and its use should be mentioned.2. The aim of the study should be added at the last paragraph of introduction. DISCUSSION <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Rephrasing of the entire discussion by explanation of tables, figures and graphs and categorising the part into understandable subheadings is required. CONCLUSION <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Conclusion should be short in single paragraph describing the aim what the article provides and the future directions of the study.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The article should be rephrased checking for grammatical errors, sentence formation errors.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article is averagely written and requires major revision	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jaideep Mahendra
Department, University & Country	Meenakshi Ammal Dental College, Meenakshi Academy of Higher Education and Research, India