Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JPRI_85162**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Perceptions Of Parents About Online Learning And Physical Activity Of Their Children During The Covid Pandemic**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The reviewer commends the author(s) for their efforts. The timing of the study is apt as the globe went through a lot of uncertainties as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. The reviewer finds the report sufficiently robust, fairly technically sound and scientifically motivated. If the suggestions and corrections in the manuscript are adhered to, the paper will even be more robust. Portions that require attention has been highlighted and made bold. Some queries were also raised to guide the author(s) in addressing questionable portions. Generally, suggestions were made in the manuscript to strengthen the paper.**	
Minor REVISION comments	**Topic**: Either write all in capital letters or properly apply the rules if you want to use the capitalize each word style. See suggestions in the manuscript. See the suggested changes in the topic in the manuscript. **Abstract:** Try to make your abstract more robust. Indicate where you carried out your study. Mention the statistical tool you used for analysis (if any). **Data Analysis**: How and what statistical tool (if any) did you use in your data analysis? Be more explicit. Try to show that your findings were based on actual facts. You may display frequency table of similar responses or even use ranking to arrive at majority opinion. This does not stop your displaying striking statements on issues as you did in some portions. **Discussion:** Revisit this portion and see if you can apply the suggestions made in your manuscript, Use the appropriate and the same level of headings for items under 'Qualitative analysis of the Open-Ended Questions' **Use of Abbreviations**: Adhere to the rules of use of abbreviations or acronyms. E.g. COVID-19 and SOP. Write both in full and with abbreviation in bracket in the first place it appears, then subsequently use only the abbreviation or acronym. I think the right practice is to always write COVID in capital letters. **References:** Try to strictly adhere to publisher recommended reference list style**.** You may want to delete "retrieved from' across board; remove the lines under some hyperlinks; complete the reference items that appear incomplete, if these suggestions agree with recommended reference style.	
Optional/General comments	**Key words**: You may add the suggested words in the manuscript to your key words.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* No ethical issues were observed.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Obiageli Chinyelu Chukwuemerie**
Department, University & Country	**Nnamdi Azikiwe University Awka, Nigeria**