

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_85114
Title of the Manuscript:	PRELIMINARY PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING AND ANTIMICROBIAL STUDIES ON THE AERIAL PARTS EXTRACTS OF CRINUM LATIFOLIUM L. (AMARYLLIDACEAE)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Is there no record of depositing botanical material in places such as university herbaria or research centers that can guarantee, through taxonomic identification, the species of the plant explored in the present study? For studies that address this topic, this identification is essential.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>In the topic “Introduction” the authors cite among the objectives of the study is to investigate the antimicrobial activity of hydroalcoholic extracts of leaves of A C. latifolium against bacterial and fungal species, however, in the biological tests fungi are not found exposed to vegetable extracts. Please review this information.</p> <p>It is suggested that the authors explore the possible antibacterial mechanisms involved to achieve the results described.</p> <p>Review the bibliographic references and put the scientific names in italics and starting with the first letter in capital letters</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alan Kelbis Oliveira Lima
Department, University & Country	University of Brasília, Brazil