

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_84879
Title of the Manuscript:	Application of chemically and physically synthesized metal nanoparticles to Staphylococcus aureus
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstract all taxonomic word should be in italic font Abstract is very low 107 words only it should be up to 200-250 words Application of nanoparticle in abstract sectioned Abrevationed should be metioned after the keywords Citation Uniformity not citation writing is need improve in introduction sectioned Diagrammatic representation should be there chemically and physical synthesise of nanoparticle In conclusion section there will be article conclusion not from another article and the no need of citation article graphical abstract should be there	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Abstract all taxonomic word should be in italic font Abstract is very low 107 words only it should be up to 200-250 words Application of nanoparticle in abstract sectioned Abrevationed should be metioned after the keywords Citation Uniformity not citation writing is need improve in introduction sectioned Diagrammatic representation should be there chemically and physical synthesise of nanoparticle In conclusion section there will be article conclusion not from another article and the no need of citation article graphical abstract should be there	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Citation	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mukul Machhindra Barwant
Department, University & Country	Sanjivani Arts Commerec and Science College, India